

ACHIEVEMENTS IN ACADEMICS 2025-2026

CLASS X

KENDRIYA VIDYALAYA NTPC RAMAGUNDAM

RESULT ANALYSIS - CLASS X

2025-2026

OVERALL PERFORMANCE

Appeared	Passed	Compartment	Other results	Pass %	P.I.
50	50	0	0	100.00	59.35

GRADE WISE PERFORMANCE

A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2	D1	D2	E
22	30	38	34	52	48	25	1	0

90% & above	75 to <90%	60 to <75%	45 to <60%	33 to <45%	Below 33%
2	9	23	16	0	0

Main five subjects, excluding Artificial Intelligence. P.I.: grade weights A1=8 through D2=1, E=0; weighted average $\times 12.5$.

RESULT ANALYSIS - CLASS X

2025-2026

SUBJECT WISE PERFORMANCE

Subject	App.	Pass	Pass %	A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2	D1	D2	E	P.I.
Artificial Intelligence	50	50	100.00	1	5	7	10	9	17	1	0	0	56.00
English Language & Literature	50	50	100.00	6	3	8	1	15	8	8	1	0	55.75
Hindi Course-A	14	14	100.00	3	2	1	3	2	1	2	0	0	66.07
Mathematics Basic	36	36	100.00	3	6	11	7	8	1	0	0	0	70.14
Mathematics Standard	14	14	100.00	3	0	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	66.96
Sanskrit	36	36	100.00	3	11	6	6	3	3	4	0	0	68.06
Science	50	50	100.00	2	3	4	9	11	18	3	0	0	52.50
Social Science	50	50	100.00	2	5	5	5	10	15	8	0	0	51.75

Subject pass counts use the recorded grades (E = not passed). Regional ranks and regional P.I. were not supplied.

RESULT ANALYSIS - CLASS X

2025-2026

CLASS X - TOPPERS

Rank	Name of the Student	Marks Scored (out of 500)	%	Photo
1	BYRAM NILOTPALA	468	93.60	Not supplied
2	JOSHAN JOBY T	452	90.40	Not supplied
3	I F ISHITA GRACY	449	89.80	Not supplied

Main five subjects, excluding Artificial Intelligence. Students are ranked by these totals; photographs were not provided.

RESULT ANALYSIS - CLASS X

2025-2026

REPORT BASIS

Item	Basis
Academic year	2025-2026, as identified by the supplied Excel files.
Calculation basis	Main five subjects, excluding Artificial Intelligence.
Student results	Overall pass and compartment status follows the student result records.
Unavailable reference sections	Current teacher awards, regional comparisons and internal-class results were not supplied.
Photographs	The Excel files contain no student photographs. Photo cells are marked accordingly.
Gender details	34 of 50 student records have no gender value. Class totals are used; incomplete gender summaries are omitted.