# ROZNAMA

## BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER

(Secretary, Electronics, Information Technology &
Artificial Intelligence Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.)

**Case No: 03/2026**

**Date of hearing: 03.09.2026**

**Time of hearing: 03:00 PM Onwards**

**M/s. Chandrapur District Central Cooperative Bank : Complainant**

**v**

**Yes Bank Limited & Ors. : Respondent**

| Documents Submitted | Hearing Order |
|---|---|
| 1. Respondent No. 1 submitted their Authority Letter through Saikrishna & Associates for Ms. Riddhi Bhutada.<br><br>2. Respondent No. 7, 7A & 7B submitted their Authority Letter through Arete Juris for Ms. Shreya Kamble.<br><br>3. Respondent No. 10 submitted their Authority Letter for Mr. Rajendra Khandu Kumar. | 1. The Petitioner has placed on record the facts and circumstances pertaining to the present matter. |
| | 2. Heard submissions of the Complainant. The Adjudicating Officer directed the Complainant to furnish documentary evidence regarding the alleged alterations made in the beneficiary details by the Respondents No 1. Upon the request of the Complainant, time is granted to produce the said evidence on the next date. |
| | 3. It is pertinent to note that despite due service of notice, there is no representation on behalf of the Police Station. |
| | 4. Heard the Complainant. An opportunity was granted to Respondent No. 1 to advance submissions; however, Respondent No. 1 has |

| | |
|---|---|
| 4. Respondent No. 11 submitted their Authority Letter through Rupa Patel & Associates for Ms. Pooja Tripathi. | only filed its Vakalatnama and requested time to make submissions on the next date. |
| | 5. Submissions of Respondent No. 1 heard. At the joint request of Respondent Nos. 6, 7, 10, and 11, time is granted to file their responses. |
| | 6. Upon considering the submissions advanced by the parties, an opportunity is granted to the Complainant as well as all Respondents to submit their respective pleadings. Also, the police is directed to remain present in the next date of hearing. |

**Appearances:**

1. Adv. Mahendra Limaye, o/b/o. Complainant;
2. Adv. Riddhi Bhutada, i/b. Saikrishna & Associates, o/b/o. Respondent No. 1, Yes Bank Ltd;
3. Adv. Shreya Kamble, i/b. Arete Juris, o/b/o. Respondent No. 7, 7A & 7B, Bank of India;
4. Mr. Rajendra Khandu Kumar, o/b/o. Respondent No. 10, City Union Bank;
5. Adv. Pooja Tripathi, i/b. Rupa Patel & Associates, o/b/o. Respondent No. 11, State Bank of India, were present.