ROZNAMA

BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING OFFICER

(Secretary, Electronics, Information Technology &
Artificial Intelligence Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.)

Case No: 24/2017

Date of hearing: 22.10.2026

Time of hearing: 3: 00 PM Onwards

M/S Vimalnath Automobiles
Through By Mr. Mitesh Nitin Shah : Complainant

V

Bank of India and Ors. : Respondent

Documents Submitted	Hearing Order
1. Complainant submitted their Vakalatnama in the name of Adv. Amogh Dombe. 2. Respondent No. 5 (Idea Cellular Ltd.) submitted their	The representative of the complainant Mr. Amogh Doghe was not properly prepared and presented the facts of the case. The representative of the complainant then seek time in order to again present the facts in the next hearing.

Vakalatnama for M/s. Bharucha & Partners in the name of Adv. Mihir Tambe and Adv. Yash Arora. 3. Respondent No. 2 (Yes Bank Limited) submitted their Vakalatnama in the name of Adv. Mayur Khaire.	Respondent No. 3 and Respondent No. 5 seeks time to file their respective replies.
	Time is granted by the Adjudicating Officer to both the parties. The representative of the complainant is advised to prepare well in the next hearing, as well as to the respondents, to file their respective replies. Matter adjourned.

Appearances:

1. Adv. Amogh Dombe o/b/o. Complainant;
2. Adv. Mayur Khaire, o/b/o. Respondent No. 2, Yes Bank Ltd.;
3. Adv. Mihir Tambe and Adv. Yash Arora, o/b/o. Respondent No. 5, Idea Cellular Ltd., were present.