BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
BENCH AT NAINITAL

Present : Hon'ble Mr. Capt. Alok Shekhar Tiwari

------ Member (A)

CLAIM PETITION NO. 22/NB/SB/2020

WITH

CLAIM PETITION NO. 23/NB/SB/2020

Rajat Singh Kasana, aged about 34 years, s/o Sri Tejpal Singh, Presently posted as Sub Inspector (Civil Police), Police Line Almora, District Almora.

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. Director General of Police, Uttarakhand Police Headquarters, Dehradun.
3. Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Uttarakhand Police Headquarters, Dehradun.
4. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range Nainital.
5. Superintendent of Police, District Pithoragarh.

.....Respondents.

WITH

CLAIM PETITION NO. 24/NB/SB/2020

WITH

CLAIM PETITION NO. 25/NB/SB/2020

WITH

CLAIM PETITION NO. 26/NB/SB/2020

WITH

CLAIM PETITION NO. 27/NB/SB/2020

Rajat Singh Kasana, aged about 34 years, s/o Sri Tejpal Singh, Presently posted as Sub Inspector (Civil Police), Police Line Almora, District Almora

.....Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. Director General of Police, Uttarakhand Police Headquarters, Dehradun.
3. Inspector General of Police (Personnel), Uttarakhand Police Headquarters, Dehradun.
4. I.G./ D.I.G Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital..
5. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Udham Singh Nagar.

.....Respondents

Present : Sri Anil Kumar Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner
Sri Kishore Kumar, A.P.O. for the respondents No.1 to 5

JUDGMENT

DATED : 04th NOVEMBER, 2026

RELIEFS SOUGHT

By means of Claim Petition 22/NB/SB/2020, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

> "i) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 08.06.2017 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Pithoragarh, whereby the petitioner has been awarded censure entry. (Annexure: A-1).
>
> ii) To quash the impugned appellate order dated 20.12.2017, passed by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital, whereby the departmental appeal filed by the claimant has been rejected and thereby affirmed the punishment order dated 08.06.2017 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Pithoragarh. (Annexure: A-2) .

iii) To issue direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to grant all consequential benefits.

iv) To award the cost of petition or to pass such order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

In Claim Petition No. 23/NB/SB/2020, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"i) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 20.11.2017 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Pithoragarh, whereby the petitioner has been awarded censure entry. (Annexure: A-1).

ii) To quash the impugned appellate order dated 27.03.2018, passed by the Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital, whereby the departmental appeal filed by the claimant has been rejected and thereby affirmed the punishment order dated 20.11.2017 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Pithoragarh. (Annexure: A-2) .

iii) To issue direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to grant all consequential benefits.

iv) To award the cost of petition or to pass such order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

In Claim Petition No. 24/NB/SB/2020, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"i) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 17.08.2016 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar, whereby the petitioner has been awarded censure entry. (Annexure: A-1).

ii) To quash the impugned appellate order dated 17.08.2017, passed by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital, whereby the departmental appeal filed by the claimant has been rejected and thereby affirmed the punishment order dated 17.08.2016 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar. (Annexure: A-2) .

iii) To issue direction in the nature of mandamus

commanding and directing the respondents to grant all consequential benefits.

iv) To award the cost of petition or to pass such order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

In Claim Petition No. 25/NB/SB/2020, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"i) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 17.08.2016 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar, whereby the petitioner has been awarded censure entry. (Annexure: A-1).

ii) To quash the impugned appellate order dated 01.03.2017, passed by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital, *whereby* the departmental appeal filed by the claimant has been rejected and thereby affirmed the punishment order dated 17.08.2016 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar. (Annexure: A-2) .

iii) To issue direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to grant all consequential benefits.

iv) To award the cost of petition or to pass such order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

By means of Claim Petition No. 26/NB/SB/2020, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"i) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 06.11.2017 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar, whereby the petitioner has been awarded censure entry. (Annexure: A-1).

ii) To quash the impugned appellate order dated 27.04.2018, passed by the Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital, whereby the departmental appeal filed by the claimant has been rejected and thereby affirmed the punishment order dated 06.11.2017 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar. (Annexure: A-2) .

iii) To issue direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to grant all

consequential benefits.

iv) To award the cost of petition or to pass such order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

By means of Claim Petition No. 27/NB/SB/2020, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"i) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 19.03.2017 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar, whereby the petitioner has been awarded censure entry. (Annexure: A-1).

ii) To quash the impugned punishment order dated 19.03.2017 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar, whereby the petitioner was denied the salary of 08 days on the principle of no work no pay (Annexure: A-2

iii) To quash the impugned appellate order dated 11.12.2017, passed by the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Kumaon Range, Nainital, whereby the departmental appeal filed by the claimant has been rejected and thereby affirmed the punishment order dated 19.03.2017 passed by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Udham Singh Nagar. (Annexure: A-3) .

iv) To issue direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to grant all consequential benefits.

v) To award the cost of petition or to pass such order or direction which this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

2. These are very old cases.

3. On 02.11.2026, Sri Rajat Singh Kasana, Petitioner had argued the matter himself with the permission of the Tribunal. The petitioner wanted to submit a fresh representation before the D.I.G., Kumoun Range, Nainital with regard to his matter, with the permission from this Tribunal, and to withdraw this claim petition in the light of such permission.

4. Today, in view of above, learned Counsel for the petitioner corrected the petitioner's oral submission and stated that he prays to submit revision as per rules before the I.G. Kumoun Range, Nainital for a speedy and judicious disposal of the matter of the petitioner. As per the petitioner's Counsel, filing of revision under Rule-23 of U.P. Subordinate Rank Police Officers (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1991 is still available to the petitioner.

5. Learned A.P.O. appearing for the respondents No. 1 to 5 conceded to the fact and apprised the Tribunal that on 24.12.2021, Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital has passed an order to this effect in WPSS No. 1451 of 2021 Charanjeet Kaur Vs. State of Uttarakhand & others. The relevant portion of the order reads as under:-

> "Under the Rules of 1991, if any person is aggrieved by an appellate order, imposing the punishment for the misconduct, provided under the Rules, a provision of revision/representation has been contemplated under Rule-23 of the Rules.
>
> Hence, this writ petition is dismissed with the liberty left open for the petitioner to approach before the next superior authority, to the appellate authority to file a revision under Rule 23 of the Rules of 1991".

6. Further, on 22.07.2026, Hon'ble High Court of Uttarakhand at Nainital has again observed in affirmative in WPSS/1912/2026 Khimnath Vs. State of Uttarakhand. The relevant para-4 of the order reads as under:-

> “4. Learned State Counsel, at the outset, raised a preliminary objection that as against the aforesaid impugned orders, remedy of filing revision under Rule 23 of U.P. Subordinate Rank Police Officers (Punishment and Appeal) Rules, 1991, which is still applicable in the State of Uttarakhand, is available to the petitioner.
>
> 5. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that since the statutory remedy of filing revision is available to the petitioner, therefore, he would approach the revisional authority.
>
> 6. Accordingly, learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition.
>
> 7. Permission granted.
>
> 8. Writ petition is dismissed a withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file a revision before the revisional authority.”

7. In view of above, this Tribunal allows the prayer that the petitioner may file a revision before the next superior authority, i.e., I.G. Kumoun Range, Nainital within 15 days from today, which shall be decided by a speaking and reasoned order within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment. The petitioner, if aggrieved by the decision of the concerned respondent, will be at liberty to approach the Tribunal again as per the stipulated procedure.

8. Accordingly, these claim petitions are hereby disposed off as withdrawn . No orders as to costs.

(Capt. Alok Shekhar Tiwari)
Member (A)

DATE: **04th NOVEMBER, 2026**
NAINITAL
BK