

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Rajendra Singh

----- Acting Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Arun Singh Rawat

-----Vice Chairman (A)

CLAIM PETITION NO. 99/DB/2026

Ms. Sunita Bhatt, aged about 61 years, d/o Late Sri Shyam Lal,
r/o Om Sai Baba Complex THDC Colony, Patel Nagar, Dehradun,
District Dehradun.

.....Petitioner

VS.

1. State of Uttarakhand, through Secretary, Home, Secretariat, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.
2. Director General of Police, Police Headquarters Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
3. Deputy Inspector General of Police, Garhwal Region, Dehradun.
4. Superintendent of Police, District Chamoli.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Kishore Rai, Advocate, for the petitioner.(online)
Sri V.P.Devrani, A.P.O., for State Respondent.

JUDGMENT

DATED: SEPTEMBER 21, 2026

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

“(a)Set aside impugned dismissal order dated 05.06.2018 passed by the Superintendent of Police, Chamoli and appellate order dated 16.12.2021 passed by Deputy Inspector General of Police Garhwal Division (both annexed as Annexure-1)

(b) Direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in service with effect from 05.06.2018 with all consequential service benefits including continuity of service, arrears of salary, seniority and all retiral/pensionary benefits.

(c) Direct the respondents to decide the petitioner's representation dated 09.04.2026 in light of the judgment of acquittal dated 16.02.2026 within a time fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

(d) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

(e) Award cost of the petition."

2. Facts in brief of the claim petition are that ,the petitioner was dismissed from service vide order dated 05.06.2018 passed by the SSP, Chamoli, for obtaining an advance from the Jeevan Rakshak Fund by using forged and fabricated documents. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that her dismissal was pursuant to the registration of FIR No. 290/2017, in which she faced trial before the competent Trial Court. The petitioner has been acquitted by the competent criminal Court vide judgment dated 16.02.2026.

3. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has submitted representation dated 09.04.2026 before the Respondent No. 4, seeking reinstatement with all consequential pensionary benefits, as she has been acquitted in the criminal case registered against her. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that despite acquittal in the criminal case, no action has been taken by the authority concerned.

4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner confined his all reliefs to the extent of Relief (c) of the relief clause. He submitted that liberty be given to the petitioner to make a fresh representation detailing her grievances and a direction may kindly be given to the authority concerned to decide the representation of the petitioner, keeping

in view her acquittal in the criminal case, in accordance with law, within a stipulated period.

5. Ld. A.P.O, has no objection to such innocuous prayer of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, if a direction is given to the authority concerned to decide the representation of the petitioner, as per rules.

6. In view of the above, Respondent No. 4 is hereby directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, in the light of judgment dated 16.02.2026, acquitting the petitioner in the criminal case, by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, but not later than eight weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order along with representation.

7. The claim petition is disposed of, accordingly, at the admission stage.

(ARUN SINGH RAWAT)
VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

(RAJENDRA SINGH)
ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: SEPTEMBER 21, 2026.
DEHRADUN

VM