

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 06/SB/2025

*(Arising out of judgment dated 16.01.2025,
passed in Claim Petition No. 08/SB/2025)*

Binder Kumar, S/o Sri Rajpal Singh, Ex-Chief Assistant, Uttarakhand
Jal Sansthan, Haridwar.

.....Petitioner-Executioner

versus

1. State of Uttarakhand through the Secretary, Peyjal, Government of Uttarakhand, Secretariat, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
2. The Chief General Manager (HQR), Uttarakhand Jal Sansthan, Jal Bhawan, B-Block, Nehru Colony, Dehradun.
3. The Superintending Engineer, 58, Rishikesh Road, Bhoopatwala, Haridwar, Uttarakhand-247663.
4. The Executive Engineer, Rural Engineering Service Department, Haridwar Division, Haridwar.

..... Respondents

Present: Sri Uttam Singh, Advocate, for the Petitioner-Executioner
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O., for the Respondent No. 1

JUDGEMENT

Dated: 11th April, 2025

Justice U.C. Dhyani (Oral)

Petitioner, by way of present execution application, seeks to secure the compliance of order dated 16.01.2025, passed by the Tribunal in claim petition no. 08/SB/2025, Binder Kumar vs. State of Uttarakhand and others.

2. The execution application is supported by the affidavit of Sri Binder Kumar, petitioner.

3. The prayer made by the petitioner in present execution application reads as below:

“(i) To direct the respondent to decide the representation within two weeks.

(ii) To attach the salary account of the respondent department till the order is not complied with.

(iii) Any other order the Hon’ble Tribunal may kindly deem fit.”

4. It is the submission of Sri Uttam Singh, learned Counsel for the petitioner-executioner that the order dated 16.01.2025 has not been complied with by the respondent department so far.

5. Operative portion of the order dated 16.01.2025 rendered by this Tribunal in claim petition no. 08/SB/2025, is reproduced herein below, for convenience:

“

5. The claim petition is disposed of, with the consent of Ld. counsel for the parties, by directing Respondent No.2, to decide the representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, as per law, as expeditiously as possible and without unreasonable delay on presentation of certified copy of this order along with representation highlighting his grievance, enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.

6”

6. The Tribunal feels it proper to remind the concerned authority(ies) to comply with the aforesaid order, which, according to learned Counsel for the petitioner, has not been complied with. The Tribunal, therefore, serves a reminder upon the respondent department that a duty was cast upon them to do something, which has not been done. The same should be done now, at the earliest possible, without unreasonable delay.

7. If order dated 16.01.2025 is not complied with by the respondent department within a reasonable time, it will be open for the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy before the appropriate forum against the erring officials, as per law

8. The execution application is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of learned Counsel for the parties, with a direction to the respondent department to ensure compliance of order dated 16.01.2025, passed in claim petition no. 08/SB/2025, Binder Kumar vs. State of Uttarakhand and others, without further delay.

(JUSTICE U.C. DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: 11th April, 2025
DEHRADUN
RS