

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Rajendra Singh

----- Acting Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Arun Singh Rawat

-----Vice Chairman (A)

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. C-18/DB/2025

*(Arising out of judgment dated 27.09.2023,
passed in Claim petition No. 37/SB/2022 and order dated
20.03.25 passed in execution petition No. 05/SB/2025)*

Sri Ashok Kumar Joshi, aged about 59 years, s/o Late Sri Khayaliram Joshi, presently posted as Office Superintendent (Special Grade), UJVNL, r/o House No. P-III-27, Yamuna Colony, Dehradun, and others.

.....Petitioners/ applicants

vs.

Sri R. Minakshi , Sundaram, presently posted as Principal Secretary (Energy) Civil Secretariat, Dehradun.

.....Respondent/O.P.

Present: Sri B.D.Pande Advocate, for the petitioners/applicants.(online)
Sri V.P.Devrani, A.P.O., in assistance of the Tribunal.
Dr. N.K.Pant, Advocate for sole respondent/O.P.

JUDGMENT

DATED: JULY 22, 2026.

Present contempt petition has been filed by the petitioners/applicants with the following prayer:

“To summon and punish the opposite party for deliberate and intentional non-compliance of the order dated 27.09.2023 passed in Claim Petition No. 37/SB/2022, subsequently reiterated in Execution Petition No. 05/SB/2025.”

2. Contempt petition is supported by the affidavit of the Sri Ashok Kumar Joshi, petitioner/applicant No.1. Relevant documents have been filed along with the contempt petition.

3. It will be useful to reproduce the operative portion of the decision dated 27.09.2023, passed by the Tribunal in Claim Petition No. 37/SB/2024, as under:

8.7 In view of the above it shall be in the fitness of the things that every petitioner be asked by the respondents to either opt for pay fixation with M.A.C.P. according to the G.O.s dated 25.09.2017 and 22.12.2017 or opt for A.C.P. and pay fixation according to G.O. dated 06.01.2022 and U.J.V.N.L. office memorandum dated 15.03.2022. The Tribunal hereby directs that such option may be sought from every petitioner within a period of three months of this order and action for fixation of pay and applicability of A.C.P or M.A.C.P. to him/her be taken accordingly.”

4. When nothing was done by the Respondents, petitioners filed Execution Petition, which was numbered as Execution Petition No. 05/SB/2025024, Ashok Kumar Joshi and others vs. State of Uttarakhand and others. The execution petition was disposed of by the Tribunal *vide* order dated 20.03.2025. Relevant paragraphs of such decision read as under:

“29. Execution application is disposed of, at the admission stage, by making a request to First Respondent to take an informed decision on the letters dated 27.03.2024 and 03.07.2024 of the Second Respondent, as expeditiously as possible and without unreasonable delay.

10. Liberty is given to the petitioners to file an appropriate contempt petition, as per law, before the Tribunal, if no decision is taken by the First Respondent within 08 weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order, along with documents in support thereof before the First and Second Respondents.”

5. On 04.02.2026, Dr. N.K.Pant, Ld. Counsel for the sole respondent, filed response/compliance affidavit in present contempt petition, copy of which was received by Ld. Counsel for the petitioners/applicants, who sought time to file reply to the same. But, so far no objections have been filed against the same.

6. The Tribunal has been taken through the contents of response/compliance affidavit, filed on behalf of the respondent.

7. Today, Sri B.D.Pande, Ld. Counsel for the petitioners/applicants submitted that in compliance of Tribunal's

order/judgment dated 27.09.2023, the respondent has decided the representation of the petitioners and now the petitioners do not want to file reply to the response/compliance affidavit filed on behalf of respondent. He, however, prayed that contempt petition may be closed by giving petitioners/applicants liberty to file fresh claim petition, if they so desire.

8. In view of the above, in compliance of Tribunal's order dated 27.09.2023 passed in Claim Petition No. 37/SB/2024, the respondent has decided the representation of the petitioners. No other issue remains undecided.

9. Hence, the contempt petition is hereby closed with the liberty, as above.

(ARUN SINGH RAWAT)
VICE CHAIRMAN (A)
(virtual)

(RAJENDRA SINGH)
ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: JULY 22, 2026.
DEHRADUN

VM