

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Rajendra Singh

----- Acting Chairman

Hon'ble Mr. Arun Singh Rawat

-----Vice Chairman (A)

CLAIM PETITION NO. 80/DB/2026

Dr. Raj Pal Singh, aged about 57 years, s/o Late Sri Jagmal Singh, serving as Pashu Chikitsadhikari (Veterinary Officer), Pilkhuwa District Hapur, Uttar Pradesh.

(The then Pashu Chikitsadhikari (Veterinary Officer Grade-1) Laksar District Haridwar, Uttarakhand).

.....Petitioner

VS.

1. State of Uttarakhand through Secretary, Animal Husbandry, Government of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. The Director Animal Husbandry, Uttarakhand, Mothrowala, Dehradun.
3. Director Animal Husbandry, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.
4. Director Lekha Haqdari, Laxmi Road, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.
5. Chief Veterinary Officer, Haridwar.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Anand Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Sri V.P.Devrani, A.P.O., for State Respondents.

JUDGMENT

DATED: JULY 23, 2026

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

“(i) To consider the representation dated 30-10-2024, 06-12-2024, 27-01-2025, 27-03-2025, 21-07-2025, 19-08-2025, 23-09-2025, 15-10-2025, 14-01-2026, 06-02-2026, 27-04-2026 and 15-06-2026 (so far these relates to release the amount of Leave encashment, Gratuity and Group Insurance

permissible to him for the period he worked in the department of Animal husbandry Uttarakhand as per Govt. order dated 02-03-2026.)

ii. To issue order or direction directing the respondents to allow the amount of Leave encashment, Gratuity and Group Insurance permissible to him for the period he worked in the department of Animal husbandry Uttarakhand permissible to the petitioner.

ii. To issue any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

iii. Award cost of petition."

2. Facts of the claim petition, in brief are that, the petitioner was appointed as a Veterinary Officer in the Animal Husbandry Department, Government of Uttarakhand, on 17.07.2007 and joined his duties at Dugadda, District Pauri Garhwal, on 19.07.2007. Later, he was selected for the post of Veterinary Officer in the Government of Uttar Pradesh and was appointed on 28.05.2018. He submitted a representation dated 30.05.2018 to Govt. of Uttarakhand, through the proper channel, seeking acceptance of his technical resignation and permission to join the Uttar Pradesh Government on lien. The Government of Uttarakhand accepted his technical resignation and granted him lien for two years or until his regularization in Uttar Pradesh, whichever was earlier, vide Government Order dated 20.06.2018 (Annexure A-6). Thereafter, he was relieved on 22.06.2018 and joined his new post at Pilkhuwa, District Hapur, Uttar Pradesh, on 23.06.2018.

3. The petitioner was regularized in the Animal Husbandry Department, Government of Uttar Pradesh, vide Government Order dated 14.09.2022. Consequently, by representation dated 05.01.2023, he requested the Director, Animal Husbandry, Uttarakhand, to treat his technical resignation as final and terminate the lien. Thereafter, through representation dated 30.10.2024, he sought release of his admissible service benefits, including leave encashment, gratuity and Group Insurance, for the period he had served in Uttarakhand. Despite sending about ten reminders, no payment was released.

4. The petitioner states that the Government of Uttarakhand, vide Government Order dated 02.03.2026 (Annexure A-1), directed the

Director, Animal Husbandry, Uttarakhand, to release the petitioner's pending dues, including leave encashment, gratuity, Group Insurance and any other admissible benefits, after consultation with the Finance Controller/Finance Officer.

5. **Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has repeatedly submitted representations to the Respondent No.2, including last representation dated 27.04.2026 (Annexure: No. 23), regarding his grievance, but respondents have not paid any heed to the same. He confined his remaining reliefs for a direction to the authority concerned to decide the pending representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law within the stipulated time period.**

6. Ld. A.P.O, has no objection to such innocuous prayer of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, if a direction is given to the authority concerned to decide the representation of the petitioner, as per rules.

7. In view of the above, Respondent No.2, is hereby directed to decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, but not later than six weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order.

8. Accordingly, the claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage.

(ARUN SINGH RAWAT)
VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

(RAJENDRA SINGH)
ACTING CHAIRMAN

DATE: JULY 23, 2026.
DEHRADUN

VM