

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT DEHRADUN**

**ORDER**

**IN**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. C-07 /DB/2025**

Bhuwan Chandra Pandey **vs.** Sri Pankaj Pandey, Secretary P.W.D., State  
of Uttarakhand and another.

**DATED: 11.07 2025**

Present: Sri M.C.Pant & Sri Abhishek Pant, Advocates,  
for the petitioner-applicant.(online)  
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O., in assistance of the Tribunal.

Ld. A.P.O. has placed a copy of Office Memorandum dated 10.07.2025,  
issued by Sri Rajesh Chandra, Engineer-in-Chief, P.W.D., Dehradun, to show  
that the representation of the petitioner has been decided.

Initially, claim petition No. 77/DB/2022 was filed by the petitioner. The  
same was disposed of by the Tribunal *vide* order dated 06.07.2022. It will be  
useful to reproduce relevant paragraphs of the judgment dated 06.07.2022,  
herein below for convenience:

“2. At the very outset Ld. Counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer  
only to the extent that petitioner’s representation dated 15.05.2022  
(Annexure: A-11) may kindly be directed to be decided by respondents, at  
an early date, in accordance with law, to which Ld. A.P.O. has no objection.

3. Ld. A.P.O. stated that the petitioner’s representation dated  
15.05.2022 (Annexure: A-11) has been forwarded by Executive Engineer,  
Provincial Division, P.W.D., Haridwar to Engineer-in-Chief (HOD),  
P.W.D., Respondent No.2. and the decision of Respondent No.2 on the  
representation of the petitioner is awaited.

4. The claim petition is, accordingly, disposed of at the admission stage  
by directing Respondent No.2 to decide the pending representation of the  
petitioner dated 15.05.2022 (Annexure: A-11), after giving an opportunity of  
personal hearing to the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, in  
accordance with law, at an earliest possible, and without unreasonable delay,  
on presentation of certified copy of this order along with copy of the  
representation.”

When Tribunal's order was not complied with, petitioner filed execution petition, which too was disposed of by the Tribunal *vide* order dated 18.07.2023.

Still, the representation of the petitioner was not decided, which compelled him to file present contempt petition.

When notice was sent to Respondent No.2, to show cause, through Counsel, why order dated 06.07.2022, passed by the Tribunal in Claim Petition No. 77/DB/2022, has not been complied with, representation of the petitioner has now been decided *vide* O.M. dated 10.07.2025.

Representation of the petitioner has been decided against the petitioner. Although, Tribunal's order has been complied with, but the petitioner must be aggrieved with the same, for which he can file fresh claim petition before the Tribunal, for redressal of his grievances, as per law.

Contempt petition is closed. Notice issued to Respondent No.2 is discharged.

**(ARUN SINGH RAWAT)**  
VICE CHAIRMAN (A)

**(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)**  
CHAIRMAN