

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

CLAIM PETITION NO. 99/SB/2025

Dr. Pradeep Kumar Sharda, Medical Officer, 7-Inder Road, Dalanwala,
Dehradun.

.....Petitioner p

VS.

1. Government of Uttarakhand, through Secretary, Medical, Health and Family Welfare, Secretariat, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
2. Government of Uttar Pradesh, through Secretary, Medical, Health and Family Welfare, Lucknow.
3. The Director General, Medical, Health and Family Welfare, Village-Danda Lakhond, P.O. Gujrara, Sahastradhara Road, New Rajiv Gandhi Playground, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.
4. The Director General, Medical, Health and Family Welfare, Raja Nawab Ali Marg, Qaisar Bagh, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh 226001
5. The Chief Medical Officer (In-Charge), Coronation/ Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyay Hospital, Dalanwala, Dehradun.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Uttam Singh , Advocate, for the petitioner.
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for Respondents.

JUDGMENT

DATED: AUGUST 08, 2025

Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"1. To direct the respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 8-4-2025 in connection with sanction of retiral pension, gratuity(Annexure No A-1)

II) To direct the respondent to release the other dues such as GPF, GIS which the petitioner has contributed from his salary.

III) To direct the respondent to pay the Leave encashment etc.

IV) Award the cost of the petition to the petitioner.”

2. The claim petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner. Relevant documents have been filed along with the same.

3. Sri Uttam Singh, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has last served in the Coronation/ Pt. Deen Dayal Upadhyay Hospital, Dalanwala, Dehradun. He has retired on 28.02.2018 and is entitled to superannuation pension as the petitioner has rendered more than 10 years of service. Non-payment of retiral pension is a recurring cause of action.

4. The sole contention of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has submitted a representation to Respondent No.1 on 08.04.2025 in connection with sanction of his retiral dues, which may kindly be directed to be decided by the said respondent by a reasoned and speaking order, as per law. (Copy of representation: Annexure- A 1). The facts have been mentioned in the representation itself

5. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner also submitted that Prayer No.2 and 3 have also been mentioned in the representation, therefore, the relief clause may be deemed amended as “ to direct the Respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 08.04.2025 in connection with sanction of retiral dues (Annexure: A 1)”. Ld. A.P.O. has no objection to such innocuous prayer of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, if a direction is given by the Tribunal to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the petitioner, as per law.

6. The claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of Ld. Counsel for the parties, by directing Respondent No.1, to decide the representation of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, and without unreasonable delay on presentation of certified copy of this

order, along with representation, enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.

7. Rival contentions are left open.

(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: AUGUST 08, 2025.
DEHRADUN

VM