BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL, BENCH AT NAINITAL

Present: Hon'ble Mr. Capt. Alok Shekhar Tiwari

------ Member (A)

Claim Petition No. 50/NB/SB/2025

Bhupal Singh Adhikari (Male), Aged about 68 years, S/o Late Sri Dev Singh Adhikari, R/o Village Nathupur, P.O. Kumbhichaur Kotdwar, District Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand

…… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttarakhand, through Secretary Transport Department, Dehradun.
2. Uttarakhand Transport Corporation, through its General Manager, Head Quarter 117, Indira Nagar, Dehradun.
3. Regional Manager (Operation) Uttarakhand Transport Corporation, Kumoun Region, Kathgodam, District Nainital.

……. Respondents

Present : Sri Harish Adhikari, Advocate for the petitioner

Sri Kishore Kumar, A.P.O. for the respondent No. 1

Sri Prem Kaushal, Advocate for the respondents No. 2 & 3

JUDGMENT

DATED : **JULY 23 , 2025**

By means of this petition, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

> "(i). To issue appropriate direction directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to expunge the punishment of stoppage of annual increment for two years with cumulative effect and correct the salary of the petitioner by granting the benefit of withhold two years' increment and pay the difference with interest or in alternate pass any other order direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances stated in the body of the claim petition.
>
> (ii). To issue any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case."

2. Heard learned Counsel for the parties at the stage of admission.

3. During the course of arguments, learned A.P.O. states that the respondent No. 1 merely is a formal party in the present claim petition. The learned Counsel for the respondents No. 2 & 3 states that in the present case, the petitioner has not submitted any representation earlier regarding the pending grievances of the petitioner, and if the petitioner submits a detailed and well reasoned representation before the concerned respondents, an appropriate decision would be taken on the same.

4. Thereafter, the learned Counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer to the extent that the petitioner will move a detailed representation before the concerned authority, stating all the relevant facts mentioned in the instant claim petition, which may kindly be directed to decide the same within a stipulated time, by a reasoned and speaking order.

5. The learned Counsel for the respondents No. 2 & 3 has no objection to this innocuous prayer made by learned Counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to move a detailed and well reasoned representation before the respondents within 15 days, and the respondent authorities shall

decide the representation of the petitioner by a reasoned and speaking order within a period of two months from the date of presentation of certified copy of this order alongwith the representation. The petitioner, if aggrieved by the decision of the respondent authorities, may approach the Tribunal, as per the stipulated procedure.

7. Accordingly, the claim petition is disposed of at the stage of admission itself. No orders as to costs.

(Capt. Alok Shekhar Tiwari)
Member (A)

DATE: **JULY 23, 2025**
NAINITAL

BK