

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL
AT DEHRADUN**

CLAIM PETITION NO. 21/SB/2025

Smt. N.R.Dilawar, w/o Late Sri R.B.Dilawar, r/o 198/1 Dobhalwala,
Dehradun.

.....Petitioner

VS.

1. The State Uttarakhand through Secretary, Education, Govt. of Uttarakhand, Subhash Road, Dehradun.
2. Director, Secondary Education, Directorate of Education, Nanoorkheda, Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
3. Chief Education Officer, Dehradun, Mayur Vihar, Dehradun, Uttarakhand.

.....Respondents

Present: Sri Abhishek Chamoli , Advocate, for the petitioner.(online)
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for Respondents.

JUDGMENT

DATED: JUNE 17, 2025

Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

"I. To quash the Respondent No. 2's order dated 13-00-2024, rejecting the Petitioner's representation dated 01-10-2024, as it is arbitrary, lacks due consideration, and violates principles of equity and natural justice.

II. To direct the Respondents to grant the Petitioner the benefit of the selection pay scale in the L.T. Grade with effect from 01-01-1986, keeping in view facts highlighted in the body of the petition and after calling entire record from the Respondents.

III. To direct the Respondents to grant the Petitioner the benefit of the Promotional pay scale in the L.T. Grade with effect from 01-08-1998 along with the other consequential benefits.

IV. Issue any other direction or order as this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case.

V. Award costs of the writ petition to the Claimant."

2. The claim petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner. Relevant documents have been filed along with the same.

3. The claim petition was admitted on 11.02.2025. Respondents were given time to file C.A./W.S.

4. Ld. A.P.O. submitted that he has received narrative and has sent the Counter Affidavit for verification and signatures of the authority concerned. He has, however, filed a copy of para-wise narrative, which has been sent to the department for verification and signatures.

5. The Tribunal would have waited for C.A./W.S., but the petitioner, who is 82 years old woman, and is present in person before the Tribunal, submitted that a direction be given to the respondents to decide her claim in the light of Government's own order dated 21.11.2006 (Annexure: A 6), within a timebound manner, as per law. Ld. A.P.O. has no objection to such innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

6. The claim petition is disposed of, with the consent of Ld. counsel for the parties, by directing the respondents to decide the claim of the petitioner in the light of Govt. Order No. 543 dated 21.11.2006 (Annexure: A 6), by a reasoned and speaking order, after giving opportunity of personal hearing to her, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within eight weeks of presentation of certified copy of this order, along with representation, enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.

7. Rival contentions are left open.

(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)
CHAIRMAN

DATE: JUNE 17, 2025.
DEHRADUN

VM