# BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL AT DEHRADUN

## CLAIM PETITION NO. 69/SB/2025

Smt. Vijay Laxmi Uniyal, aged about 70 years, w/o Sri Mahidhar Prasad Uniyal, r/o Gali No. 02, Bhagirathi Colony, Lower Nathanpur, Dehradun.

.....Petitioner

#### vs.

- 1. The State Uttarakhand through Secretary, Education, Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
- 2. Director, Basic Education, Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
- 3. Additional Director (Primary Education), Garhwal Mandal, Pauri Garhwal.
- 4. District Education Officer, (Basic Education), Pauri Garhwal.

.....Respondents

Present: Dr. N.K.Pant , Advocate, for the petitioner.(online) Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for Respondents.

### JUDGMENT

### **DATED: JUNE 17, 2025**

#### Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

*" i. Issue a suitable order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to pay the pension to the petitioner for the post of Assistant Teacher Government Nagar Palika Junior High School Pauri Garhwal according to* 

7th pay commission report implemented in State of Uttarakhand.

*ii.* Issue a suitable order of direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to pay the arrear of deference's between the pension which is being paid to the petitioner and the pension which is payable to him according to Seventh Pay Commission implemented in the State of Uttarakhand since 31.03.2016 along with the applicable interest thereon till the final payment to the petitioner.

*iii.* Issue any suitable order or direction which this Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

iv. Award the cost of claim petition to the Petitioner."

2. The claim petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner. Relevant documents have been filed along with the same.

3. At the very outset, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has moved several representations before the authorities concerned, which may kindly be directed to be decided within a reasonable time, as per law. Ld. A.P.O. has no objection to such innocuous prayer of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.

4. The claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, with the consent of Ld. counsel for the parties, by directing the authority(ies) concerned, to decide pending representation of the petitioner, by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and without unreasonable delay, on presentation of certified copy of this order, along with copy of representation, enclosing the documents in support thereof. No order as to costs.

5. Rival contentions are left open.

### (JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI) CHAIRMAN

*DATE:* JUNE 17, 2025. *DEHRADUN* 

VM