

**BEFORE THE UTTARAKHAND PUBLIC SERVICES TRIBUNAL  
AT DEHRADUN**

**CLAIM PETITION NO. 102/SB/2024**

Sri Sushil Mohan Dobhal, s/o Sri Jyoti Prasad Dobhal, r/o 514- Race Course Valley, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, presently posted as the District Development Officer, District Chamoli, Uttarakhand.

**.....Petitioner**

**vs.**

1. State of Uttarakhand through Chief Secretary, Govt. of Uttarakhand, Dehradun.
2. Department of Rural Development Uttarakhand through its Secretary.

**.....Respondents**

**Present:** Sri Eshwarya Bangwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.  
Sri V.P. Devrani, A.P.O. for the State Respondents.

**JUDGMENT**

**DATED: SEPTEMBER 20, 2024**

**Justice U.C.Dhyani (Oral)**

By means of present claim petition, petitioner seeks the following reliefs:

*(i) That the Hon'ble Tribunal may pass necessary order directing the concerned respondents to consider the due promotion of the*

*claimant for the post the post of Project Director w.e.f. 13.03.2023 with all consequential benefits.*

*(ii) That any other relief which the Hon'ble Tribunal deems fit in the present case."*

2. The Tribunal was taken through the facts of the case which have been mentioned in Para 4 of the claim petition, to argue that the petitioner is entitled to the reliefs claimed.

3. The claim petition is supported by the affidavit of the petitioner. Relevant documents have been filed with the petition.

4. It has been mentioned in para 4.ix of the petition that the petitioner submitted representations to the Chief Development Officer, Dehradun and the Secretary, Rural Development Department (Respondent No.2). The Chief Development Officer, Dehradun also wrote a letter to Respondent No.2, requesting the authority concerned to look into the matter. It has been mentioned in Para 4.x of the claim petition that the Departmental Promotion Committee has adopted 'sealed cover procedure' in petitioner's case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the representations of the petitioner have not been decided so far, therefore, a direction be given to the respondent department to decide his representation as quickly as possible, in accordance with law. Ld. A.P.O. has no objection to such innocuous prayer of Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.

5. The claim petition is disposed of, at the admission stage, by directing Respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner, as expeditiously as possible, without unreasonable delay, on presentation of certified copy of this order along with copy of representation, enclosing the documents in support thereof.

6. Rival Contentions are left open.

7. Liberty is given to Ld. Counsel for the petitioner to make a mention, if petitioner's representation is not decided within reasonable time.

**(JUSTICE U.C.DHYANI)**  
CHAIRMAN

*DATE: SEPTEMBER 20, 2024.*  
*DEHRADUN*

*VM*