

कार्यालय :- जिला एवं सेशन न्यायाधीश, बाड़मेर

:: आदेश ::

क्रमांक: 104

दिनांक: 22/04/26

माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर के पत्र क्रमांक Gen/XIX/Misc/192/2026/737 दिनांक 17.04.2026 तथा राजस्थान सरकार, गृह (ग्रुप-10) विभाग, जयपुर के पत्रांक प.17(264) गृह-10/2019 राजकाज 25274 की अनुपालना में, एस.बी. क्रिमिनल रिट याचिका संख्या 444/2019 (भरत यादव बनाम राजस्थान राज्य एवं अन्य) तथा एस.बी. क्रिमिनल विविध याचिका संख्या 3705/2019 में पारित आदेशों के क्रम में पुलिस एवं अधिवक्ताओं के मध्य समन्वय स्थापित करने हेतु निम्नलिखित "जिला स्तरीय समन्वय समिति" (District Level Coordination Committee) का एतद्वारा गठन किया जाता है:-

क्रम संख्या	पदनाम	समिति में पद
1.	जिला एवं सेशन न्यायाधीश, बाड़मेर	अध्यक्ष
2.	पुलिस अधीक्षक, बाड़मेर	सदस्य
3.	अध्यक्ष, बार एसोसिएशन, बाड़मेर	सदस्य

यह समिति एक स्थायी समिति होगी तथा इस समिति की बैठक प्रत्येक तीन माह में आयोजित की जाएगी।

—सही—


जिला एवं सेशन न्यायाधीश
बाड़मेर

क्रमांक: 4213 to 4217

दिनांक: 22/04/26

प्रतिलिपि सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित है:-

- माननीय रजिस्ट्रार (प्रशासन), राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर को उक्त आदेश की प्रति सूचनार्थ प्रेषित है।
- पुलिस अधीक्षक, बाड़मेर।
- अध्यक्ष, बार एसोसिएशन, बाड़मेर।
- सिस्टम ऑफिसर, जिला न्यायालय बाड़मेर को न्यायालय की वेबसाइट पर अपलोड करने हेतु।
- सीनियर मुंसरिम, कार्यालय हाजा।
- रक्षित पत्रावली।


जिला एवं सेशन न्यायाधीश, 22.4.26.
बाड़मेर

RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT, JODHPUR

No. Gen/XIX/Misc/192/2026/737.

Date : 17/04/2026

From : Registrar General,
Rajasthan High Court,
Jodhpur.

To : **The Principal Secretary (Home),
Department of Home, Govt. Of Rajasthan,
Jaipur.**

Ref. : Your Letter No. 25274 Dt 18.03.2026 and No. 461 Dt 27.01.2026.

Sub : Regarding constitution of Coordination Committee.

Sir,


With reference to the letters referred above on the cited subject, I am directed to convey the consent of this office for the constitution of the District Level Coordination Committee comprising of :-

Chairman	:	District & Sessions Judge.
Member	:	Superintendent of Police / Deputy Commissioner of Police.
Member	:	President of the concerned Bar Association or the Advocate nominated by him.

in compliance of the directions passed by Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court, Bench Jaipur in **S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 444/2019** and **S.B. Criminal Misc. Writ Petition No. 3705 of 2019** titled **Bharat Yadav Vs State of Rajasthan & Ors.** for making coordination between Police and Advocates. The Committee will be permanent and will have its meeting after every three months.

Further, you are requested to send a copy of the Order to this office after constitution of the said Committee.

Yours Sincerely,



17/04/26
REGISTRAR (ADMN.)

No. Gen/XIX/Misc/192/2026/738.

Date : 17/04/2026

Copy forwarded to all the District & Sessions Judges along-with the copy of Letter No. 25274 Dt 18.03.2026 and 461 Dt 27.01.2026 of the Principal Secretary (Home), Government of Rajasthan, Jaipur for information and necessary action.

Encl.: As above.


17/04/26
REGISTRAR (ADMN.)

राजस्थान सरकार
गृह (गुप-10) विभाग

क्रमांक : प.17(264) गृह-10/2019 राजकाज 25274

जयपुर, दिनांक : यथा ई-हस्ताक्षर

रजिस्ट्रार जनरल,
राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय,
जोधपुर, राजस्थान।

विषय : एस.बी. क्रिमिनल रिट याचिका संख्या 444/2019 भरत यादव बनाम राजस्थान राज्य एवं अन्य तथा एस.बी. क्रिमिनल विविध याचिका संख्या 3705/2019 भरत यादव बनाम राजस्थान राज्य एवं अन्य के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय में अनुरोध है कि माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जयपुर में एस. बी. क्रिमिनल रिट याचिका संख्या 444/2019 भरत यादव बनाम राजस्थान राज्य एवं अन्य तथा एस.बी. क्रिमिनल विविध याचिका संख्या 3705/2019 भरत यादव बनाम राजस्थान राज्य एवं अन्य विचाराधीन है। उक्त याचिका में माननीय उच्च न्यायालय के आदेश दिनांक 07.06.2019 में यह आदेशित किया गया कि "It is, therefore, suggested that Shri Rajendra Yadav, Government Counsel shall place before this Court a tentative constitution of such Committee which shall include at least one representative from the respective Bar Association of that district as well as one Member from the judiciary. Apart from the same, there should also be one Official from the concerned police department so that the matter can be examined impartially. The Chairmanship of such a Committee should be given to either the District Judge of the concerned district or to any retired High Court Judge, if need so arises."

इसी विषय पर माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में डी.बी. सिविल रिट पिटीशन संख्या 23646/2025 राजस्थान हाई कोर्ट एडवोकेट एसोसिएशन व अन्य बनाम राजस्थान राज्य विचाराधीन थी, जिसके सन्दर्भ में इस विभाग द्वारा पत्र क्रमांक प.17(461) गृह-10/2025 जयपुर, दिनांक 27.01.2026 एवं 28.01.2026 के द्वारा पुलिस व अधिवक्ताओं के मध्य समन्वय हेतु विद्वान जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश या उनके द्वारा नामित अधिकारी (जिला कैडर) की अध्यक्षता में समन्वय समिति का गठन किए जाने के सम्बन्ध में सहमति हेतु अनुरोध किया गया था।

माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जयपुर के आदेशों की अनुपालना में पुलिस एवं अधिवक्ताओं के मध्य समन्वय स्थापित किए जाने हेतु निम्नानुसार जिला स्तरीय समन्वय समिति का गठन किया जाना प्रस्तावित है :

1	जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश	अध्यक्ष
2	पुलिस अधीक्षक / पुलिस उपायुक्त	सदस्य
3	सम्बन्धित बार एसोसिएशन का अध्यक्ष या उनके द्वारा नामित अधिवक्ता	सदस्य

उक्त समिति स्थाई समिति होगी, जिसकी बैठक त्रैमासिक रूप से आयोजित की जावेगी।
अतः अनुरोध है कि उक्त समिति के गठन के सम्बन्ध में सहमति प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें
ताकि माननीय उच्च न्यायालय में पालना रिपोर्ट पेश की जा सके।

भवदीय,

(तिरुपति कुमार गुप्ता)
शासन सचिव गृह (विधि)



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN AT
JODHPUR**

D.B. Civil Writ Petition No. 23646/2025

1. Rajasthan High Court Advocates' Association, Jodhpur through the Secretary.
2. Rajasthan High Court Lawyers' Association, Jodhpur through the Secretary.

----Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of Home, Secretariat Jaipur.
 2. Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate, Jodhpur.
- Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Dr. Sachin Acharya Sr. Adv. assisted
by Mr. Sunil Purohit
Mr. Anand Purohit, Sr Adv assisted by
Mr. Digvijay Singh Jasol
Mr. Sushil Bishnoi
Mr. Ratna Ram Tholiya
Mr. Shubham Modi
Mr. Manish Tak
Ms. Anita Gehlot
Ms. Deepika Soni
Mr. Rishi Soni
Mr. Rajesh Parihar
Mr. KL Bishnoi
Ms. Deepika Purohit
Mr. Deendayal Purohit
Mr. Rakesh Kalla

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, AAG with
Mr. Gaurav Bishnoi
Mr. Rajesh Panwar, Sr. Adv. & AAG
with Mr. Ayush Gehlot and Mr. Monal
Chug
Mr. BL Bhati, AAG with
Mr. Deepak Chandak
Dr. Praveen Khandelwal, AAG with
Mr. Arpit Samaria
Mr. SS Rathore, AAG
Mr. IR Choudhary, AAG
Mr. Ravindra Jala, AAAG for
Mr. SS Ladreacha, AAG

Police Officers present in person : Mr. Om Prakash, Commissioner of
Police
Mr. Vinit Bansal, DCP(West)
Mr. Roshan Meena, ADCP




HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE MR.SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU

Order

02/12/2025

(At 10:30AM)




1. Learned Senior Advocate Dr. Sachin Acharya, an elected Member of Bar Council of Rajasthan has made a mention of the news item which has been published today in the daily newspaper 'Dainik Bhaskar' relating to manhandling and misbehaviour by the SHO, Police Station, Kudi Bhagtasani, Jodhpur. It is stated that a lawyer namely Mr. Bharat Singh Rathore with his wife who is a lady lawyer of this Court had gone to the Police Station along with rape victim who was the prosecutrix. One person in civil clothes and not wearing the uniform was recording the statement of the prosecutrix without following the procedure. The concerned lawyer went to complain to the SHO who instead of redressing the complaint, misbehaved and manhandled the lawyer and shoved him in a room. The lawyer also demanded that the victim should not be called several times to the police station which angered the SHO and he restrained the concerned lawyer in his room. His wife, a lady lawyer insisted that they have a right to demand proper recording of statement and also requested not to manhandle her husband and if he was to be arrested, she demanded that she also should be arrested. The police officials were trying to tell her to go back which she refused. A lady constable also tried to remove her from the place. A video of the incident was shown to the Court.

2. Taking cognizance of the incident, we asked the Additional Advocate General Mr. Mahaveer Bishnoi, who is present in the Court to summon the Commissioner of Police, Jodhpur and the



Deputy Commissioner of Police(West), Jodhpur to remain present at 11:30 AM. A copy of the news item is taken on record as Ex.A. Registry is directed to register this case as D.B Civil Writ Petition(PIL) [Rajasthan High Court Advocates Association & Anr Vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr.].




(At 11:30 AM)

3. Pursuant to the above direction, Mr. Om Prakash, Commissioner of Police, Jodhpur appears in the Court along with Mr. Vinit Bansal, DCP (West) and Mr. Roshan Meena, ADCP, who is an IPS officer.

4. The incident, which has been recorded in a video, has become viral, reflects that the concerned SHO has actually misbehaved and as suggested by the Commissioner, needs to be trained for soft skills. The Commissioner has also assured this Court of conducting an inquiry in the matter and if required departmental proceedings would be initiated against the concerned officials, who were responsible for misbehaving with the lawyers, who were representing a cause of victim. The incident is most unfortunate and the lawyer community has been agitated by such incident.


5. We feel that so far as the advocates and police personnel are concerned, they both are two limbs of the same justice delivery system and are to act *in tandem* with each other and there should be mutual respect and mutual cooperation between the two. While the police is required to work in difficult situations and has to sometime act strongly with the accused, the same cannot be expected from them when they are dealing with the lawyers. Similarly, lawyers are also expected to deal with the police



personnel in a soft manner and with a polite attitude. The present incident which has been brought to our knowledge reflects lack of coordination between the two wings of administration of justice. The police officials are required to be given thorough soft skill training and as assured by the Commissioner of Police, training would be provided not only to the officials at the Commissionerate of Jodhpur but to the other officials also and we expect him to inform about this aspect to the police academy where the police officers are trained. The present incident should reach to a logical conclusion. We would expect the report from the Commissioner on the next date about what further departmental action has been taken against the concerned officials who were present at the time of the incident including the SHO and other constables and staff.

6. For the purpose that in future no such incidents should occur not only in Jodhpur but in other districts in Rajasthan, we deem it apposite to notice that an order was passed earlier way back in 2019 by one of us in the case of **Bharat Yadav v. State of Rajasthan, (2019 SCC OnLine Raj 782)** and in that case too, a somewhat similar incident had occurred, of-course there the concerned lawyer had been wrongfully detained. The relevant portion of the said order reads as under:


"7. This Court notices that the Apex Court in the case of Harish Uppal v. Union of India has noticed that there are grievances of advocates in relation to the action being taken by the administration at different levels. It also directed that each High Court shall constitute a Grievance Redressal Committee. However, the Grievance Redressal Committee is only at the level of the High Court. The Hon'ble Chief Justice has already constituted such Committee at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur as well as at Jaipur which includes three sitting Judges of the Court and Chairman of the Bar Council, President and Advocate General. Such a Committee although exists at the level of the High Court but the Court appreciates the





stand taken by the Counsels that a Coordination Committee at each district level should be functioning so that the matters may not have to travel up to the High Court with regard to issues which can be sorted out at the level of the district alone.

8. It is, therefore, suggested that Shri Rajendra Yadav, Government Counsel shall place before this Court a tentative constitution of such Committee which shall include at least one representative from the respective Bar Association of that district as well as one Member from the judiciary. Apart from the same, there should also be one Official from the concerned police department so that the matter can be examined impartially. The Chairmanship of such a Committee should be given to either the District Judge of the concerned district or to any retired High Court Judge, if need so arises."



7. It appears that inspite of the observations made therein, the Coordination Committee, as suggested, has not been actively performing its work. We, therefore, direct that Coordination Committee at each district shall be formed afresh and details of the same shall be communicated to this Court on the next date. Further directions shall be passed thereafter relating as to how the said Committee shall function.

8. At this stage, the Commissioner assures this Court that the action against the concerned officials shall be taken immediately and the same shall be reported to this Court by today evening.

9. On oral mentioning made by the Bar Associations, let this petition be registered and be listed on 08.12.2025.

10. A copy of this order shall be sent to the Commissioner of Police and Director General of Police.

(BALJINDER SINGH SANDHU),J

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA),ACJ

divyaP/-

राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय पीठ, जयपुर
Certified Copy of Order, Date 13/2/2019

20784
4/6/19

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
JAIPUR BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 444/2019

Bharat Yadav S/o Shri Narendra Kumar Yadav, aged about 37 years, Resident of Plot No.10/F2, Harshal Appartment, Katewa Nagar, New Sanganer Road, Jaipur

---Complainant-Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan through the Secretary, Department of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur.
2. The Director General of Police, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Commissioner of Police, Jaipur Commissionerate, Jaipur.
4. Additional Commissioner of Police, Jaipur (South).
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur (South).

Respondents

6. Bhagga Ram Borana, S.I., posted at Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur (South).
7. Madan Lal, A.S.I., posted at Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur (South).

Accused Respondents

Station House Officer, Police Station Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur (South).

Respondent (Investigating Officer)

S.B. CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE
226 THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF RULE 315(H) OF THE
RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT RULES.

[Signature]

RAJ. H.C. JAIPUR

AND

IN THE MATTER OF ARTICLES 14, 19 AND 21 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

AND


IN THE MATTER OF FAIR AND IMPARTIAL
INVESTIGATION IN F.I.R. NO. 194/2019
REGISTERED AT POLICE STATION ASHOK NAGAR,
JAIPUR (SOUTH) FOR THE OFFENCE UNDER
SECTION 323, 341, 504 OF I.P.C.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF INACTION OF THE POLICE
AUTHORITIES AGAINST THE RESPONDENT NO. 5
AND 6.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF RAJASTHAN CIVIL SERVICES
(CCA) RULES 1958.



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 444/2019

Bharat Yadav S/o Shri Narendra Kumar Yadav

----Petitioner

Versus

State Of Rajasthan

----Respondent

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sparsh Bansal
Mr. Bharat Yadav

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Tapesh Agarwal, PP.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MUKESH RAJPUROHIT

Order

13/02/2026

Vide order dated 07.06.2019 passed by this Court, certain directions were issued for compliance report. However, despite the lapse of almost seven years, the learned Public Prosecutor has not submitted the said report, although time was sought for the same on 04.02.2025, 06.03.2025, and 29.08.2025.

Today also, learned Public Prosecutor seeks further time to file the compliance report.

Learned Public Prosecutor seeks and is granted six weeks' time to file the status report/compliance report in compliance of the order dated 07.06.2019, failing which the Secretary, Department of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur shall remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List the matter on 23.03.2026.

54—
(MUKESH RAJPUROHIT),J



**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR**

S.B. Criminal Miscellaneous (Petition) No. 3705/2019

Bharat Yadav S/o Shri Narendra Kumar Yadav, Aged About 37 Years, B/c Yadav, R/o Plot No.10/f-2, Harshal Apartment, Katewa Nagar, New Sanganer Road, Jaipur, Raj.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Pp.
2. Madan Lal, Asi, Posted At Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur.

----Respondents

S.B. Criminal Writ Petition No. 444/2019


Bharat Yadav S/o Shri Narendra Kumar Yadav, Aged About 37 Years, R/o Plot No. 10/f2, Harshal Apartment Katewa Nagar, New Sanganer Road, Jaipur.

----Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary Department Of Home, Government Secretariat, Jaipur
2. The Director General Of Police, Rajasthan, Jaipur.
3. Commissioner Of Police, Jaipur Commissionerate, Jaipur, Raj.
4. Additional Commissioner Of Police, Jaipur (South), Raj.
5. Station House Officer, Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur (South), Raj.
6. Bhagga Ram Borana, S.i. Posted At Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur (South), Raj.
7. Madan Lal A.s.i. Posted At Police Station Ashok Nagar, Jaipur South, Raj.
8. Station House Officer, Police Station Jyoti Nagar, Jaipur (South), Raj.

----Respondents





For Petitioner(s) : Mr. Anil Kumar Upman, Adv.
For Respondent(s) : Mr. Rajendra Yadav, AG with
Mr. Arvind Kumar Chawla, PP.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA (V.J.)

Order

07/06/2019

The matter come up on S.B.Crim. Misc. Petition No.3705/2019 filed by Advocate Bharat Yadav and also S.B.C.W.P.No.444/2019 filed by him separately.

This Court vide order dated 04.06.2019 notices certain events which took place at the Police Station Ashok Nagar resulting in harassment and manhandling of an advocate and the Court called the Commissioner of Police, Police Commissionerate, Jaipur and he was asked to submit his report in regard to the said incident. A report has been sent by the Police Commissioner to the Government Advocate Shri Rajendra Yadav on 07.06.2019 wherein he mentions that the concerned Assistant Sub-Inspector Madanlal has been removed from the Police Station, Ashok Nagar and with regard to the other aspects, a preliminary enquiry is being conducted by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ashok Nagar, Jaipur (South).

Heard representatives of the Bar as well as the Government Advocate Shri Rajendra Yadav at length.

Learned Sr. Counsel Mr. N.A.Naqvi, Adv. submitted that such incident relating to advocates going to various police stations in the districts are being noticed time and again and the advocates apprehend that they are not being properly attended to by the



police Officials and they are being harassed and intimidated. He suggests that in each districts a Coordination Committee be formed so that such type of matters may be handled properly in various districts and the dispute between the police authorities and the advocates may not arise in future.

Mr. Anil Upman, President, Rajasthan High Court Bar Association also submits that such untoward incident should be stopped for all times and effective measures should be taken in this regard. Mr. Rajesh Karnal, President, Bar Association also submits that advocates need to be given due respect and the police Officials ought not be misbehaving with them.

Shri Rajendra Prasad, Government Counsel agrees that there should not be any conflict between two main pillars of administration of law and order namely police as well as the lawyers and states that Committee in this regard shall be formed in each districts so that there may not be any such incident occurred and, if any, such complaints are received, effective powers shall be provided to such Committee to take action in this regard.

This Court notices that the Apex Court in the case of *Harish Uppal Vs. Union of India & Ors.* has noticed that there are grievances of advocates in relation to the action being taken by the administration at different levels. It also directed that each High Court shall constitute a Grievance Redressal Committee. However, the Grievance Redressal Committee is only at the level of the High Court. The Hon'ble Chief Justice has already constituted such Committee at the Principal Seat, Jodhpur as well





as at Jaipur which includes three sitting Judges of the Court and Chairman of the Bar Council, President and Advocate General. Such a Committee although exists at the level of the High Court but the Court appreciates the stand taken by the Counsels that a Coordination Committee at each district level should be functioning so that the matters may not have to travel up to the High Court with regard to issues which can be sorted out at the level of the district alone.

It is, therefore, suggested that Shri Rajendra Yadav, Government Counsel shall place before this Court a tentative constitution of such Committee which shall include at least one representative from the respective Bar Association of that district as well as one Member from the judiciary. Apart from the same, there should also be one Official from the concerned police department so that the matter can be examined impartially. The Chairmanship of such a Committee should be given to either the District Judge of the concerned district or to any retired High Court Judge, if need so arises.

With the said observation, while quashing the FIR's which were preferred by Bharat Yadav, Adv. in regard to the present matter, the case is kept open for further orders and arguments immediately after vacations on 03.07.2019.

In the meanwhile, Mr. Rajendra Yadav, Govt. Counsel shall submit the report received from the Commissioner after the decision on the preliminary enquiry conducted by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ashok Nagar, Jaipur. He also submit






report regarding the formation of the Committee its scope and powers made available to it.

List on 03.07.2019.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA (V.J.)),J

N.Gandhi/159 & 181



RAJASTHAN HIGH COURT



राजस्थान सरकार
गृह (ग्रुप-10) विभाग

क्रमांक : प.17(461) गृह-10/2025

जयपुर, दिनांक : यथा ई-हस्ताक्षर

श्रीमान रजिस्ट्रार जनरल,
राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय,
जोधपुर।

विषय : डी.बी. सिविल रिट पिटीशन संख्या 23646/2025 राजस्थान हाई कोर्ट एडवोकेट एसोशिएसन व अन्य बनाम राजस्थान राज्य व अन्य के सम्बन्ध में।

महोदय,

उपरोक्त विषय में समसंख्यक पत्र दिनांक 27.01.2026 के द्वारा जो प्रस्ताव प्रेषित किये गये थे, को अतिलंगित करते हुए अनुरोध है कि माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर में डी.बी. सिविल रिट पिटीशन संख्या 23646/2025 राजस्थान हाई कोर्ट एडवोकेट्स एसोशिएसन व अन्य बनाम राजस्थान राज्य व अन्य विचाराधीन है। उक्त प्रकरण में माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर द्वारा पारित आदेश दिनांक 02.12.2025 एवं 08.12.2025 की अनुपालना में पुलिस एवं अधिवक्ताओं के मध्य समन्वय हेतु जिला स्तर पर विद्वान जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश या उनके द्वारा नामित अधिकारी (जिला कैडर स्तर के) की अध्यक्षता में समन्वय समिति का गठन किया जाना प्रस्तावित है।

अतः आप उक्त प्रस्तावित समन्वय समिति का अध्यक्ष संबंधित विद्वान जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश या उनके द्वारा नामित अधिकारी (जिला कैडर स्तर के) को नामित किये जाने के संबंध में माननीय राजस्थान उच्च न्यायालय, जोधपुर की सहमति प्रेषित करने का कष्ट करें।

भवदीय,

(तिरुपति कुमार गुप्ता)
शासन सचिव गृह (विधि)