TELANGANA STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY, HYDERABAD

ROC. NO. 6168/TSLSA/2023, DT. 13-12-2023

//COMMUNICATED WITH A DIRECTION TO FOLLOW THE SOP AND SUBMIT COMPLIANCE //

MEMBER SECRETARY

To,

All Chairpersons, District Legal Services Authorities in the State of Telangana

DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY, YADADRIBHUVANAGIRI

Endt.Dis.No. 1698 /DLSA/2025 Date: 17.12.2025

Communicated with a request to follow the revised guidelines and SOP and to furnish the information pertaining to the prisoners under the Scheme for Support to Poor Prisoners.6

SECRETARY 17/12/25

To:

1) All the Judicial Officers & Chairmen in Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri district.
2) All the Superintendents, Central Prisons, Hyderabad and District Prison, Nalgonda.
3) Chief Legal Aid Defense Counsel & all the Legal Aid Defense Counsels, LADCS, Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri
4) All the Legal Aid Counsels attached to the JMFC courts in Yadadri-Bhuvanagiri.

Format of interaction for Prisoners Not Released Within 7 Days of Bail Order

SECTION A – BASIC DETAILS

1. Name of Prisoner / UTP:
2. Prisoner Number / UID:
3. Age:
4. Gender:
5. Father's / Mother's Name:
6. Address of Family/Relative/any other(specify):
   a. _____
   b. _____
7. Contact Details of Family (if any):
8. Identity proof of Prisoner (if available attach one copy):

SECTION B – CASE DETAILS

1. Case Number(s):
2. Police Station:
3. Sections of Law Invoked:
4. Date of Bail Order:
5. Court Which Granted Bail:
6. Surety Amount:
7. Whether any application pending in connection with bail:
   a. Yes / No
8. If Yes, give details:
9. Represented by LAC/Private Counsel/No Lawyer:

SECTION C – FINANCIAL STATUS & ABILITY TO SECURE SURETY

1. Prisoner Savings Account Number:
2. Whether UTP has money in Prisoner Savings Account:
   a. Yes / No
3. If yes, amount available:
4. Whether UTP/Family attempted to arrange surety:
   a. Yes / No

5. If Yes, outcome:
6. Reported Reason for Non-Furnishing of Surety:
    a. Poverty
    b. No family contact
    c. Family unable to come
    d. Surety amount too high
    e. Other:

SECTION D – SOCIO-ECONOMIC PROFILE OF UTP

1. Profession/Occupation before arrest:
2. Work performed inside prison (if any):
3. Monthly Income (approx.):
4. Vulnerable Category (if applicable):
    a. Homeless / Migrant / PwD / Senior Citizen / Other:
5. Employment interest upon release:

SECTION E – RECOMMENDATION BY JVL/PLV

UNDERTAKING BY THE PRISONER / UTP

I, _____ (name of Prisoner/UTP), presently lodged in _____ Prison, hereby state that:

1. I have provided the information recorded in this format during my interaction with the authorised Para Legal Volunteer/ Jail Visiting Lawyer.
2. The statements made by me and the details furnished herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.
3. In the event it is found that any information furnished by me is false or misleading, I agree and undertake that any amount disbursed on my behalf shall be recoverable from me by the concerned authorities.
4. I am giving this undertaking voluntarily and without any pressure or coercion.

Signature/Thumb Impression of UTP:

Date:

VERIFICATION BY JVL / PLV

I, _____ (name of JVL/PLV), hereby verify that:

1. I interacted with the above-named Undertrial Prisoner on ___ / ___ / _____ inside _____ Prison.
2. I explained to the UTP all sections and questions contained in this prescribed format.
3. The responses provided by the UTP were recorded by me accurately and without any alteration.
4. This report is being forwarded to the Secretary, DLSA for further necessary actions.

Name of JVL/PLV:

Signature:

Date:

Certified to be true copy
Assistant Registrar (Judl.)
26/11/2025
Supreme Court of India

ITEM NO.5 COURT NO.6 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No.2034/2022 in MA 1849/2021 in SLP(Crl) No.5191/2021

SATENDER KUMAR ANTIL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

25144921

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ANR. Respondent(s)

[TO BE TAKEN UP AT THE 2 P.M.]
Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv./AC, Mr. D.L Chidananda (AC) Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Advocate for high court of karnataka; Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Advocate for high court of jharkhand; Mr. P.I. Jose, Advocate for gauhati high court; Mr. Arjun Garg, Advocate for high court of madhya pradesh; Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for high court of delhi; Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Advocate for high court of meghalaya; Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, Advocate for high court of Orissa,Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, Advocate for State of Arunachal Pradesh, Mr.Somanadri Gaud Katam, Advocate for High Court of Telengana; Mr. Aaditya A. Pande, Advocate for the State of Maharashtra; Mr. Ankur Prakash, Advocate for the State of Uttarakhand; M/s Arputham Aruna, Mr. Debojit Borkakati, Advocate for the State of Assam, Mr. S.N.Terdol, Advocate for the State of Ladakh,Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Advocate for the State of Meghalaya, Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate for the State of Bihar,Mr. Mahfooz A.Nazki, Advocate for the State of Andhra Pradesh, Mr. Maibam N.Singh, Advocate for the High Court of Manipur, Mr. Prashant S.Kenjale, Advocate for the High Court of Bombay, Mr. Ajay Pal, Advocate for the State of Punjab, Mr. Gagan Gupta, Advocate for High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Advocate for the State of Kerala,Mr. SuvenduSuvasis Dash, Advocate for the State of Orissa, Mr. Pradeep Mishra, Advocate for the State of Uttar Pradesh, Mrs. Swati Ghildiyal,Advocate for the State of Gujarat, Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar,Advocate for the Government of Manipur, Mr. Abhay Anil Anturkar, Advocate for the State of Goa, Mr. Anupam Raina, Advocate for the High Court of Jammu,Mr. Sunny Choudhary, Advocate for State of Madhya Pradesh, Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Advocate for High Court of Himachal Paradesh, Ms. K.Enatoli Sem, Advocate for State of Nagalanad,Mr. R.Ayyam Perumal, Advocate for State of Madras, Ms. Pallavi Langar, Advocate Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Sameer Abhayankar, Advocate for Stae of Sikkim, Mr. Aravindh S.Advocate of U.T. Pudducherry, Ms. D.Bharthi Reddy, Advocate for High Court of Uttrakhand,Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Advocate for High Court of Jharkhand, Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, Advocate for High Court of Patna, Mr. Aproo Kurup, Advocate for High Court of Chhatisgarh, Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate for High Court of Gujarat, Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for High Court of Tripura,, Mr. Sandeep Kumar Jha, Advocate for State of Rajasthan,, Mr. Shailesh

HK
27/11

Mandiyal, Advocate for U.T. J and K, Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, Advocate for state of Goa, Dr. Monika Gusain, Advocate for State of Haryana,Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Advocate for State of Tripura, Ms. Manish Ambwani, Advocate for High Court of Rajasthan, Mr. Kunal Chatterjee, Advocate for High Court of Calcutta, Mr. Rahul Gupta, Advocate for High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Mr. Aproov Shukla, Advocate for High Court of Allahabad, Mr. Nirnimesh Dubey, Advocate for state of Mizoram, Mr. Joseph Aristotle S. Advocate for State of Tamil Nadu, Mr. Vishal Prasad, Advocate for State of Chhattisgarh,Ms. Astha Sharma, Advocate for State of West Bengal, Mr. Raghuvendra Srivastava, Advocate for High Court of Karnataka,Mr. Rajiv Kumar Choudhary, Advocate for State of Telengana,Mr. S.N.Terdol,Advocate forState of Daman & Diu.Mr. T.G.N.Nair, Advocate for High Court of Kerala,Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Advocate for State of Kerala, Mr. Arvind S.Advocate for state of Pudducherry, Mr. Mudit Gupta, Advocate state of Himachal Pradesh, Ms. Saroj Tripathi,Advocate,Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay Siddhanta, Advocate for High Court of Sikkim, Mr. Shibashish Misra, Advocate for High Court of Orissa, Mr. Kumar Mihir, Advocate for the High Court of Manipur.

WITH
MA 2035/2022 in SLP(Crl) No. 5191/2021 (II)

Date : 08-10-2025 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

By Courts Motion, AOR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv./AC
Mr. Karl P Rustomkhan, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Osama, Adv.
Mr. Rajneesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Suhail Ahmed, Adv.
Ms. Komal Thakkar, Adv.
Mr. D. L. Chidananda, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Akhil, Adv.
Mr. Padmesh Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Shrdha Deshmukh, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Malak Manish Bhatt, AOR

Mr. Amit Gupta, AOR

Ms. Muskan Nagpal, Adv.
Mr. Kshitij Vaibhav, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv.
Ms. Neha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR
Ms. Saakshi Singh Rawat, Adv.
Mr. Sunny Sachin Rawat, Adv.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Ms. Karishma Malani, A.A.G.
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR
Mr. Nikunj Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Drishti Rawal, Adv.
Ms. Aakanksha, Adv.
Ms. Ishika Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sarthak Arya, Adv.
Ms. Richa Verma, Adv.
Ms. Seema Sindhu, Adv.
Ms. Kirti, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Mayur Goyal, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chand, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR
Ms. Divya Jain, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Mr. Shaurya Sahay, AOR
Mr. Aman Jaiswal, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Singh, AOR

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR
Mr. James P. Thomas, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR

Mr. Karan Sharma, AOR
Mr. Mohit Siwach, Adv.
Mr. Chetan Manchanda, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR
Mr. Suvendu Suvasis Dash, AOR

Mr. Pai Amit, AOR
Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Abhiyudaya Vats, Adv.
Ms. Merin Francis, Adv.

Ms. Vishakha, AOR

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.
Ms. Shweta J. Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR
Mrs. Anu K Joy, Adv.
Mr. Alim Anvar, Adv.
Mr. Santhosh K, Adv.
Mrs. Devika A.l., Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Mr. Vatsal Joshi, Adv.
Ms. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv.
Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR
Mr. Kunal Rana, Adv.

Mr. Abhay Anil Anturkar, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Tank, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha Awalgaonkar, Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, AOR
Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv.
Mr. Bhagwant Deshpande, Adv.
Ms. Subhi Pastor, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Dhananjay Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.

Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv.
Ms. Disha Singh, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR

Mr. Ahantham Henry, Adv.
Mr. Ahantham Rohen Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aniket Rajput, Adv.
Ms. Khoisnam Nirmala Devi, Adv.
Mr. Yeshu Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Tanay Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Mihir, AOR

Mr. Prafulla Kumar Bharat, Advocate General
Ms. Prerna Dhall, Adv.
Mr. Ambuj Swaroop, Adv.
Mr. Kapil Katare, Adv.
Ms. Rajnandani Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Singh, AOR
Ms. Minakshi Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Kumar Anand, Adv.
Mr. M.a. Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, A.A.G.
Mr. Amogh Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR
Ms. Samyuktha H Nair, Adv.
Mr. Ajaya Kumar K.r., Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR

Ms. Rashmi Nandakumar, AOR
Ms. Yashmita Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Kumar Choudhry , AOR

Mr. Kunal Mimani, AOR
Mr. Prashant Alai, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi Barua, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Anand, Adv.

Mr. Maibam Nabaghanashyam Singh, AOR

Mr. Parth Awasthi, Adv.
Ms. Maitreyee Jagat Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Astik Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Akansha Tomar, Adv.
Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AOR

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, AOR
Ms. Jaya Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Shishir Kumar Saxena, Adv.
Mr. R.n. Pareek, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. T.k. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Aakash Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Prasad, AOR

Ms. Asmita Singh, AOR
Ms. Asmita Singh, Adv.
Ms. Ankita Makan, Adv.

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, AOR

Ms. Pallavi Langar, AOR
Ms. Pragya Bhagel, Adv.
Mr. Sujeet Kumar Chaubey, Adv.

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR
Mr. Somesh Chandra Jha, AOR
M/S. Arputham Aruna And Co, AOR

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, AOR
Mr. Umesh Kumar Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. A. Sai Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Yashvardhan, Adv.
Mr. Apoorv Shukla, AOR
Ms. Prabhleen A. Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Aman Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Akash Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Gupta, AOR

Mr. Ashish Batra, AOR
Mr. Ankur Prakash, AOR

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.
Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.
Ms. Yanmi Phazang, Adv.

Mrs. Madhuri Negi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR

Mr. Sarthak Raizada Ga, Adv.
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR
Ms. Rashmi Singhania, Adv.

Ms. Rooh-e-hina Dua, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Anjuman Tripathy, AOR
Mr. Aditya Jain, AOR

Mr. Somanadri Goud Katam, AOR
Mr. Sirajuddin, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay Siddhanta, AOR
Mr. Rao Raj Bahadur Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. Murugaiyan, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR
Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR
Mr. Aman Gautam, Adv.
Ms. Anika Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard the learned Amicus and the learned Additional Solicitor General. It is agreed that the SOP with respect to the formation of the Empowered Committee and the SOP with respect to Under Trial Prisoners as extracted by this Court in order dated 13.02.2024 may be modified:

"Point (iii) in the "Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for implementation of the Scheme for support to poor prisoners" may be modified as under:

iii) An 'Empowered Committee' may be constituted in each District of the State/UT, comprising of i) District Collector (DC)/District Magistrate (DM)'s *nominee*, ii) Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, iii) Superintendent of Police, iv) Superintendent/Dy. Superintendent of the concerned Prison and v) Judge Incharge of the

concerned Prison, as nominee of the District Judge. *Secretary, DLSA will be the Convener/Coordinating Incharge of the meetings of the EC*."

Similarly, the Standard Operating Procedure for Under Trial Prisoners may be modified as under:

"1) If the UTP is not released from jail within a period of 7 days of order of grant of bail, then the jail authority should inform the Secretary, DLSA

2) Upon receiving information, the Secretary, DLSA must immediately arrange for an interaction with the UTP. The Secretary, DLSA will authorise a JVL/PLV to interact with the UTP and to verify if the UTP has funds in his Prisoner's Savings Account. If not, based on information obtained from the UTP in the format prescribed (to be given by NALSA) the form will be forwarded to the Secretary, DLSA within a period of 5 days, pending integration in the ICJS.

3) The District Level Empowered Committee will direct release of funds for surety on the recommendation of DLSA within a period of 5 days from the date of receipt of the report, pending integration in the ICJS.

4) The District Level Empowered Committee shall

meet on every 1st and 3rd Monday, (and in the event if there is holiday on such days, then on the next working days) of each month to consider the cases recommended by DLSA.

5) For cases where the Empowered Committee recommends that the identified UTP be extended the benefit of financial support under 'Support to poor prisoners Scheme', then the requisite amount of upto Rs.50,000/- per case for one prisoner, can be directed to be drawn and made available to the Hon'ble Court by way of Fixed Deposit or any other prescribed method, which the District Committee feels appropriate within 5 days of its decision pending integration in the ICJS. This decision would simultaneously be informed to DLSA and Jail Authorities by email, pending integration in the ICJS.

In the event, the fund is not forthwith deposited within 5 days in Court, and the UTP not released, the Jail authorities should on the 6th day, inform the DLSA. If the prisoner is acquitted/convicted, then appropriate orders may be passed by the trial Court so that the money comes back to the Government's account as this is only for the purposes of securing bail unless the accused is entitled to the benefit of bail under Section 389(3) Cr.P.C. [S.430(3)

BNSS] in which event the amount can be utilised for bail by the Trial Court to enable the accused to approach the Appellate Court and also if the Appellate Court grants bail under Section 389(1) of Cr.P.C.[S.430(1) BNSS];

6) If the bail surety amount is higher than Rs.50,000/- the Empowered Committee may exercise its discretion to pay such higher amount not exceeding Rs.1 lakh. If the Empowered Committee declines to exercise discretion, it would inform the Secretary, DLSA forthwith of its decision by email (and not later than 2 days), pending integration in the ICJS, to enable the Secretary, DLSA to instruct a Legal Aid Advocate to move the Court granting bail or any superior Court to have the surety amount reduced.

Once the Secretary, DLSA instructs a Legal Aid Advocate to seek reduction of surety amount, this application/Petition would be filed by the Legal Aid Advocate within 7 days of the case being assigned to the Legal Aid Advocate."

Accordingly, we direct the Union of India to carry out the necessary modifications and issue a modified SOP in the aforesaid terms.

We have further been informed by the learned

Amicus that the earlier direction issued by this Court vide order dated 21.01.2025 has not been given effect to by the State of West Bengal, while all the other States have complied with the same.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of West Bengal seeks a week's time for compliance.

We may note that notwithstanding the time granted for compliance on different occasions, no compliance has been reported even today.

As a last and final opportunity, we are inclined to grant a further time of two weeks to the State of West Bengal to comply with the direction, failing which appropriate orders will be passed, including a direction to the Chief Secretary of the State to appear before this Court nad imposition of adequate costs.

List the matters on 26.11.2025 at 2 p.m.

Gahwadumyal 18/10/25

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

Poonam 18/10/25

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

d18/10

Revised Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for implementation of the Scheme for support to poor prisoners

Guidelines (as on 2 December 2025)

i) An **'Empowered Committee'** may be constituted in each District of the State/UT, comprising of i) **Nominee of the** District Collector (DC)/District Magistrate (DM), ii) Secretary, District Legal Services Authority (DLSA), iii) Superintendent of Police, iv) Superintendent/ Dy. Supdt. of the concerned Prison and v) **Judge incharge** of the concerned Prison **as nominee** of the District Judge, to consider cases of eligible prisoners.

Note 1: **Secretary, DLSA will be the Convener/Coordinating Incharge** of the meetings of the Empowered Committee.

Note 2: The Committee may appoint a Nodal Officer and take assistance of Jail Visiting Lawyer (JLV)/ Paralegal Volunteer (PLV) or any civil society representative/social worker/ District Probation Officer, or any other officer, etc. to assist them in processing cases of needy prisoners.

Note 3: The Empowered Committee will assess the requirement of financial support in each case for securing bail or for payment of fine, etc. and based on the decision taken, the **Nodal Officer of the State/UT Prison Headquarter** shall draw funds from the CNA account and take necessary action in this regard.

ii) An **Oversight Committee** may be constituted at the State Government level, comprising of i) Principal Secretary (Home/Jail), ii) Secretary (Law Deptt), iii) Secretary, State Legal Services Authority, iv) DG/IG (Prisons) and v) Registrar General of the High Court.

Note: The composition of the District level 'Empowered Committees' and 'Oversight Committee' are only suggestive in nature. 'Prisons/persons detained therein' being 'State-List' subject, the Committees may be constituted and notified by the concerned State Governments/UT Administrations.

iii) Funds to the States/UTs will be made available through the Central Nodal Agency (CNA). The Ministry of Home Affairs has designated the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) as the Central Nodal Agency (CNA) for implementing this scheme.

iv) Each State/UT should open a subsidiary account at the State/UT Headquarter level under the CNA's account (NCRB) and have it mapped on PFMS as all funds from the Centre will flow through this account.

v) Each State/UT may appoint a Nodal Officer at the State/UT Headquarter level. The Nodal Officer of the State/UT Prison Headquarter will draw the requisite amount from the CNA as per the recommendation of the Empowered/Oversight Committee, as the case may be, and release the amount of fine/bail surety, to the concerned Prison's account where the beneficiary is lodged.

vi) The concerned prison shall remit the amount to the Court through challan or any other expeditious mode of payment accepted by the Court.

Note: In case any clarification is required, the Ministry of Home Affairs can be contacted by the State/UT.

Standard Operating Procedure

UNDERTRIAL PRISONERS

1. If the undertrial prisoner (UTP) is not released from the jail within a period of 7 days of order of grant of bail, then the jail authority should inform Secretary, District Legal Services Authority (DLSA).

2. Upon receiving information, the Secretary, DLSA must immediately arrange for an interaction with the UTP. Secretary, DLSA will authorise a Jail Visiting Lawyer (JLV)/ Paralegal Volunteer (PLV) or any civil society representative/ District Probation Officer, etc. to interact with the UTP to verify if the UTP has funds in his Prisoner's Savings Account. If not, based on information obtained from the UTP in the format prescribed (to be provided by NALSA) the form will be forwarded to the Secretary, DLSA within a period of 5 days.

3. The District Level 'Empowered Committee' will direct release of funds for surety on the recommendation of DLSA within a period of 5 days from the date of receipt of the report.

4. Secretary, DLSA will place all such cases before the District Level 'Empowered Committee'. The Empowered Committee shall meet on every 1st and 3rd Monday, (and in the event if there is holiday on such days, then on the next working days) of each month to consider the cases recommended by DLSA.

5. After examination of such cases, if the Empowered Committee recommends that the identified Under Trial Prisoner be extended the benefit of financial support under 'Support to poor prisoners Scheme', then the requisite amount upto Rs. 50,000/- per case for one prisoner, can be directed to be drawn and made available to the Hon'ble Court by way of Fixed Deposit or any other method, which the District Committee feels appropriate within 5 days of its decision. This decision would simultaneously be informed to DLSA and Jail Authorities by email. In the event, the fund is not forthwith deposited within 5 days in Court, and the UTP not released, the Jail authorities should on the 6th day, inform the DLSA.

6. This benefit will not be available to persons who are accused of offences under Prevention of Corruption Act, Prevention of Money Laundering Act, NDPS, Unlawful Activities Prevention Act or any other Act or provisions, as may be specified later.

Persons involved in heinous crimes such as acts of terrorism, offences affecting national security, dowry deaths, rape, human trafficking, or offences under the POCSO Act may also not be extended the benefit of the scheme. **The Empowered Committees/Oversight Committee of States/UTs may exercise due caution in this regard.**

7. If the prisoner is acquitted/convicted, then appropriate orders may be passed by the trial court so that the money comes back to the Government's account as this is only for the purposes of securing bail unless the accused is entitled to the benefit of bail u/s. 389 (3) Cr.P.C. [S. 430 (3) BNSS] in which event the amount can be utilised for bail by Trial Court to enable the accused to approach the Appellate Court and also if the Appellate Court grants bail U/s. 389 (1) of Cr.P.C [S. 430 (1) BNSS].

8. If the bail amount is higher than Rs. 50,000/-, the Empowered Committee may exercise discretion to pay higher amount not exceeding Rs. 1 lakh. If the Empowered Committee declines to exercise discretion, it would inform the Secretary, DLSA forthwith of its decision by email (and not later than 2 days), to enable the Secretary, DLSA to instruct a Legal Aid Advocate to move the Court granting bail or any superior Court to have the surety amount reduced.

9. Once the Secretary, DLSA instructs a Legal Aid Advocate to seek reduction of surety amount, this application/Petition would be filed by the Legal Aid Advocate within 7 days of the case being assigned to the Legal Aid Advocate.

10. In cases where the surety amount exceeds Rs. 1,00,000/-, or where the Empowered Committee does not exercise its discretion to sanction any amount between Rs. 50,000/- and Rs. 1,00,000/-, the proposal shall be referred to the State Level Oversight Committee for its consideration and approval.

CONVICTED PRISONERS:

1. If a convicted person is unable to get released from the jail on account of non-payment of fine amount, **the Superintendent of the Jail would immediately inform Secretary, DLSA** (Time bound manner: 7 days).

2. Secretary, DLSA would enquire into the financial condition of the prisoner with the assistance of a Jail Visiting Lawyer (JLV)/ Paralegal Volunteer (PLV) or any civil society representative/District Probation Officer or any other Government official in processing cases of needy prisoners. (Time bound manner: 7 days)

3. The Empowered Committee will sanction the release of the fine amount upto Rs. 25,000/- to be deposited in the Court for securing the release of the prisoner. For **any amount over and above** Rs. 25,000/-, the proposal may be approved by the Oversight Committee.

सूचना
का अधिकार

NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY

राष्ट्रीय विधिक सेवा प्राधिकरण

B-Block, Ground Floor, Administrative Buildings Complex, Supreme Court of India, New Delhi-110001
बी-ब्लाक, भू-तल, एडमिनिस्ट्रेटिव बिल्डिंग्स काम्प्लेक्स, सुप्रीम कोर्ट ऑफ़ इंडिया, नई दिल्ली-110001

Email-nalsa-dla@nic.in
Website-www.nalsa.gov.in

F.No. NALSA-CM/05/2023
Dated: 13.12.2025

Urgent-Court Matter

To,

The Ld. Member Secretary,
All State Legal Services Authorities

Sub: M.A. No.2034/2022 in M.A. No. 1849/2021 in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191/2021 titled Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI and Anr.

Sir/Madam,

I am directed to forward herewith: -

i) The order dated 08.10.2025, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the above captioned matter;

ii) The revised Guidelines and SOP issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs for the implementation of the Scheme for support to Poor Prisoners; and

iii) The prescribed format, prepared by NALSA, in compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court contained in the Record of Proceedings dated 08.10.2025, in the above captioned matter.

2. You are requested to kindly take note of the revised Guidelines and SOP issued by the MHA. It is further requested that the same may be transmitted to all District Legal Services Authorities under your jurisdiction, so that the DLSAs may:

a) take note of the revised Guidelines and SOP issued by the MHA;

b) take necessary action in accordance with the revised Guidelines and SOP for convening timely meetings of the Empowered Committees; and

c) implement and circulate the prescribed format prepared by NALSA for use by Jail Visiting Lawyers (JVLs) or Paralegal Volunteers (PLVs) to obtain information from prisoners regarding their financial capacity and availability of funds in the Prisoner's Savings Account for effective and uniform implementation of the scheme.

Yours faithfully,

(Rajeev Kumar Yadav)
Deputy Secretary

Encl: As above

M.A. No.2034/2022 in M.A. No. 1849/2021 in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191/2021 titled Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI and Anr.-Urgent Court Matter

1 message

National Legal Services Authority <nalsa-dla@nic.in> Sat, Dec 13, 2025 at 12:13 PM

To: Andaman SLSA <secy.law2016@gmail.com>, Andhra Pradesh SLSA <apslsauthority@yahoo.com>, Arunachal Pradesh SLSA <apslsa2013@rediffmail.com>, Assam SLSA <assamslsa1@gmail.com>, Assam SLSA <aslsa.guwahati2020@gmail.com>, Assam SLSA <aslsa@gmail.com>, Bihar SLSA <bslsa_87@yahoo.in>, Bihar SLSA <ms.bslsa-bih@gov.in>, Chandigarh SLSA <slsa-chd@nic.in>, Chandigarh SLSA <slsa_utchd@yahoo.com>, Chhattisgarh SLSA <cgslsa.cg@gov.in>, Dadar & Nagar Haveli SLSA <dj-dnh@nic.in>, Dadar & Nagar Haveli SLSA <dj-dnh@ddd.gov.in>, Dadar & Nagar Haveli SLSA <reg.slsa-dnh@gov.in>, Daman & Diu SLSA <damancourt@gmail.com>, Daman & Diu SLSA <slsa-damandiu@daman.nic.in>, Daman & Diu SLSA <slsa-damandiu@ddd.gov.in>, Delhi SLSA <dslsa-phc@nic.in>, Delhi SLSA <dataentry-dslsa@nic.in>, Delhi SLSA <dslsa-co@nic.in>, Delhi SLSA <legallitwing-dslsa@nic.in>, Goa SLSA <ms-gslsa.goa@nic.in>, Goa SLSA <reg-high.goa@nic.in>, Gujrat SLSA <msguj.lsa@nic.in>, Haryana SLSA <hslsa.haryana@gmail.com>, Himachal Pradesh SLSA <mslegal-hp@nic.in>, Jammu and Kashmir SLSA <jkslsa1234@gmail.com>, Jharkhand SLSA <jhalsaranchi@gmail.com>, Jharkhand SLSA <jhalsa_ranchi@yahoo.co.in>, karnataka SLSA <mskar-slsa@hck.gov.in>, karnataka SLSA <karslsa@gmail.com>, Kerala SLSA <kelsakerala2@gmail.com>, Kerala SLSA <kelsakerala@nic.in>, Ladakh SLSA <ladakhlsa1234@gmail.com>, Madhya Pradesh SLSA <mplsajab@nic.in>, Maharashtra SLSA <mslsa-bhc@nic.in>, Maharashtra SLSA <legalservices@maharashtra.gov.in>, MANIPUR SLSA <maslsa.imphal@gmail.com>, MEGHALAYA SLSA <mslsa-meg@nic.in>, MEGHALAYA SLSA <megshillong@gmail.com>, MIZORAM SLSA <slsamizoram@gmail.com>, NAGALAND SLSA <nslsa.nagaland@yahoo.in>, ODISHA <oslsa@nic.in>, ODISHA <oslsa1997@gmail.com>, PUDUCHERRY SLSA <msutplsa@gmail.com>, Punjab SLSA <ms@punjab.gov.in>, RAJASTHAN <rj-slsa@nic.in>, RAJASTHAN <rslsajp@gmail.com>, SIKKIM SLSA <sikkim_slsa@live.com>, TAMIL NADU SLSA <tnslsa@nic.in>, TAMIL NADU SLSA <tnslsa@gmail.com>, TELANGANA SLSA <telenganaslsa@gmail.com>, TRIPURA SLSA <tslsaagt@gmail.com>, TRIPURA SLSA <salsatripura@gmail.com>, UTTAR PRADESH SLSA <upslsa@nic.in>, Uttarakhand SLSA <ukslsanainital@gmail.com>, WEST BENGAL SLSA <wbstatelegal@gmail.com>, Lakshdweep SLSA <lakshadweepjusticeforall@gmail.com>

Cc: RAHUL YADAV <rahul.nalsa@gov.in>, reshmanalsa <reshma.nalsa@gov.in>, RAJEEV YADAV <rajeev.nalsa@gov.in>

F.No. NALSA-CM/05/2023
Dated: 13.12.2025

Urgent-Court Matter

To,

The Ld. Member Secretary,
All State Legal Services Authorities

Sub: M.A. No.2034/2022 in M.A. No. 1849/2021 in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191/2021 titled Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI and Anr.

Sir/Madam,

I am directed to forward herewith: -

i) The order dated 08.10.2025, passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the above captioned matter;

ii) The revised Guidelines and SOP issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs for the implementation of the Scheme for support to Poor Prisoners; and

iii) The prescribed format, prepared by NALSA, in compliance with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court contained in the Record of Proceedings dated 08.10.2025, in the above captioned matter.

2. You are requested to kindly take note of the revised Guidelines and SOP issued by the MHA. It is further requested that the same may be transmitted to all District Legal Services Authorities under your jurisdiction, so that the DLSAs may:

a) take note of the revised Guidelines and SOP issued by the MHA;

b) take necessary action in accordance with the revised Guidelines and SOP for convening timely meetings of the Empowered Committees; and

c) implement and circulate the prescribed format prepared by NALSA for use by Jail Visiting Lawyers (JVLs) or Paralegal Volunteers (PLVs) to obtain information from prisoners regarding their financial capacity and availability of funds in the Prisoner's Savings Account for effective and uniform implementation of the scheme.

Yours faithfully,

sd/-

(Rajeev Kumar Yadav)
Deputy Secretary

NATIONAL LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY
B BLOCK, GROUND FLOOR,
ADMINISTRATIVE BUILDINGS COMPLEX
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA,
NEW DELHI-110001

COMMUNICATION ADDRESS:
JAISALMER HOUSE 26,
MAN SINGH ROAD,
NEW DELHI-110011
PH. NO.-011- 23382778, 23071450
FAX NO.-011-23382121

To know more about National Legal Services Authority - CLICK HERE

TELANGANA STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY
6468
15 DEC 2025
RECEIVED
High Court Premises, Hyderabad

5 attachments

NALSAs letter dt. 13.12.2025.pdf
441K

order dated 8.10.2025 of Honble SCI.pdf
6173K

revised Guidelines SOP issued by Ministry of Home Affairs.pdf
2264K

NALSA FORMAT.pdf
111K

NALSA FORMAT-word file.docx
31K

TELANGANA STATE LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY::HYDERABAD

ROC.NO. 6468/TSLSA/2025, Dt: 15-12-2025

// COMMUNICATED WITH A DIRECTION TO FOLLOW THE SOP AND SUBMIT COMPLIANCE //

15/12/25

MEMBER SECRETARY

To:

All Chairperson, District Legal Services Authorities in the State of Telangana.