OFFICE OF THE SESSIONS JUDGE
GROUND FLOOR, RED HILLS, NAMPALLY, HYDERABAD-04
(hyd.msj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in, msjcourts@gmail.com)
Telephone No. 040 23442467, 04023442469

Dis.No. 5359/2026/Admn/SJ/Hyd Dt 15-09-2026

Communicated the Hon'ble High Court's ROC.No.18/Reg. Judl-I/2026 dt 10-09-2026 .

Sessions Judge,
Hyderabad.

SESSIONS JUDGE
Hyderabad

To:

All the Judicial Officers } through email
Of this unit.

Copy to

1. The Sr. Supdt(Copying Section), SJ Court, Hyderabad
2. The Sr.Supdt(Judicial Section), SJ Court, Hyderabad
3. The District System Administrator of the Court
   of the Sessions Judge, Hyderabad
   (with a direction to place the same in the official website)

G. RAJA GOPAL
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL-I)

HYDERABAD
Date: 10.09.2026

ROC.No.18/Reg.Judl-I/2026

To
All the Unit Heads in the State.

Sir,

Sub: TSHC – Orders of the Hon'ble High Court dt.15.12.2025 in C.R.P.No.2322 of 2025- Certain directions issued- Regarding.

Ref: Copy of the order dated 15.12.2025 in C.R.P.No.2322 of 2025 of the Hon'ble High Court.

*****

Adverting to the subject and reference cited, I am to state that the Hon'ble High Court, while disposing of C.R.P.No.2322 of 2025 vide order dated 15.12.2025, was pleased to direct all the Principal District Judges in the State to instruct the Superintendents of the Copying Section concerned to put up a note, in case of rejection of application for issuance of certified copy, before the Presiding Officer concerned for passing appropriate judicial orders.

Therefore, while enclosing a copy of the order in the reference cited, I am to request you to instruct the Superintendents, Copying Section in your Unit, to put up a note before the Presiding Officer concerned, wherever issuance of certified copy is proposed to be rejected, for passing appropriate judicial orders.

Further, I am requesting you to follow the directions of the Hon'ble Court scrupulously.

Dt.....15../...9...../20..26...
Check and Putup

Sessions Judge
Hyderabad

Yours faithfully,

REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL-I)

Encl: Copy of the Order, dt.15.12.2025 in C.R.P.No.2322 of 2025.

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

MONDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE RENUKA YARA

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 2322 OF 2025

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India aggrieved by the order dated 19.06.2025 in CA No. 5010 of 2025 in O.S. 561 of 2023, on the file of the Court of the I Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-IX Additional Metropolitan Magistrate Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally.

Between:

Mrs. R.L. Sailaja, D/o Mr. R.V. Ramana Rao, R/o C2-2307, Age. 36 years, 7 Hills Apartments, Kokapet, Hyderabad, 500075

...PETITIONER/LANDLORD/DEFENDANT

AND

M/s Divi Metal Industries, Rep by. Mr. P Sairam, Resident of Flat No. 302, S.V.R. Nirman Castle (SVR Nirmal A.P.) Opp. Alekya Golden Bamboos, Hitex Road, Hitech City, Hyderabad, 500081

...RESPONDENT/TENANT/PLAINTIFF

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri Vijay Gopal

Counsel for the Respondent: Sri A. P. Reddy

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE RENUKA YARA

CIVIL REVISION PETITOIN NO.2322 of 2025

15TH DAY OF DECEMBER, 2025

Between:

Mrs. R.L.Sailaja

...Petitioner/Landlord/defendant

and

M/s. Divi Metal Industries

...Respondent/Tenant/plaintiff

ORDER:

1. Heard Mr. Vijay Gopal, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. A.P.Reddy, learned counsel for respondent. Perused the record.

2. This Civil Revision petition is preferred by the petitioner/defendant aggrieved by the Docket Order dated 19.06.2025 in C.A.No.5010 of 2025 in O.S.No.1641 of 2023 on the file of the I Additional Junior Civil Judge cum-IX Addl. Metropolitan Magistrate, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally.

3. The respondent filed suit for perpetual Injunction against the revision petitioner herein along with an

Interlocutory Application seeking ad-interim injunction. In support of the suit and I.A, list of documents were filed as per page No.20 of the material papers. The revision petitioner filed Copy Application No.5010 of 2025, dated 05.06.2025 at page No.10 of the material papers seeking copies of the following documents:

1. Docket order in I.A.No.656 of 2024, dated 06.03.2025
2. Memo filed by defendant in O.S.No.561 of 2023
3. Authorization letter filed by the plaintiff in O.S.No.561 of 2023 in material paper with resolution, if any, dated 16.06.2023
4. All material papers filed in O.S.No.561 of 2023, dated 16.06.2023

4. The Senior Superintendent, Central Copying Section, Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally vide endorsement dated 19.06.2025 refused to give copies of documents at Sl.Nos.3 and 4. Aggrieved by said endorsement, the present Civil Revision Petition is filed.

5. The learned counsel for the respondent supplied a copy of all the documents required to the learned counsel for petitioner. Hence, the grievance of the revision petitioner is addressed.

6. Be that as it may, there is a need to take serious note of the anomalies in the Civil Revision petition filed. First and foremost, the impugned docket order dated 19.0(.2025 is not a judicial order passed by a Judicial Officer in any judicial proceeding. Said docket order proceeding is an endorsement is an endorsement made by the Superintendent of Copying Section which does not qualify to be categorized as a judicial order. Therefore, the Civil Revision Petition arising from such endorsement made on administrative side by staff of the court is not maintainable and is liable to be dismissed.

7. Whenever, any endorsement is made refusing to issue certified copies, the same has to be put up before the learned Presiding Officer of the concerned court for hearing. Only when the learned Presiding Officer considers the objections and passes a judicial order, said judicial order passed by the Presiding Officer can be challenged by way of Civil Revision petition. All the Principal District Judges in the State are directed to instruct their respective Copying Section Superintendents to put up the endorsements rejecting the issuance of certified copies before the concerned Presiding Officers for passing judicial orders.

8. In the result, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed as not maintainable. The Registrar (Judicial-1) is directed to communicate a copy of this order to all the Principal District Judges in the State.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. No costs.

SD/- K.AMMAJI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The I Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-IX Additional Metropolitan Magistrate Ranga Reddy District at Kukatpally.
2. One CC to Sri Vijay Gopal, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri A. P. Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CD Copies

VH/PSL

HIGH COURT

DATED: 15/12/2025

ORDER

CRP.No.2322 of 2025



DISMISSING THE CRP
AS NOT MAINTAINABLE

GNT
18/2/26