Order in SLP(Crl) No. 2053/2026 - Regarding **hyd.msj-ts** < hyd.msj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in >

Registrar I.T. cum CPC Telangana < cpc-tshc@aij.gov.in >
Thu, 02 Jul 2026 4:51:46 PM +0530

To "Principal District and Sessions Judge Lbnagar"<rng.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Peddapalli"<pdd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Medchal Malkajgiri"<mdc.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District and Sessions Judges Court Nalgonda" <nlg.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Hanumakonda" <wrn.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"PRL DISTRICT COURT KARIMNAGAR" <krm.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Yadadri Bhuvanagiri" <ydd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Sangareddy"<snd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Narayanpet"<nrn.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Medak"<mdk.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Prl. Dist and Sessions Court Nizamabad"<niz.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Prl. District and Sessions Judge, Khammam Khammam"<kmm.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Siddipet" <sdd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Jagitial"<jgi.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT Mahabubnagar"<mah.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Chief Judge, CCC, Hyderabad. Hyderabad"<hyd.cjccc-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Nirmal"<nrl.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Sessions Judge Nampally Hyderabad"<hyd.msj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Vikarabad"<vkr.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Jogulamba Gadwal"<jgl.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Prl District and Sessions Judge Adilabad"<adi.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Suryapet" <sry.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Rajanna Sircilla" <rjc.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Nagarkurnool"<ngk.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Mancherial"<mnc.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Kamareddy"<kmd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Prl. District and session Judge, Warangal Warangal" <war.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Prl.Spl Judge for CBI Cases, Hyd Hyderabad" <hyd.psjcbi-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Wanaparthy" <wnp.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Chief Judge, CSCC, Hyderabad Hyderabad" <hyd.cjcscc-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Mulugu"<mlg.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Mahabubabad"<mhd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District and Sessions Judge Kumuram Bheem Asifabad"<kmb.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Jayashankar Bhupalapally"<jys.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Jangoan Jangoan"<jnn.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Principal District Judge Bhadradri Kothagudem"<bhd.pdj-ts@indiancourts.nic.in>,"Registrar Judicial Telangana" <reg.jud-tshc@aij.gov.in>

Communicate to all JOs.

3/7/26

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE HYDERABAD
8022
03 JUL 2026

Sir/Madam,

Kindly find the attachment, containing this Hon'ble Court's e-authenticated Record of Proceedings/Order dated 15.05.2026 passed in SLP(Crl) No. 2053/2026 entitled "AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL Vs. STATE OF RAJASTHAN AND ANR." for your information and necessary action.

D.Kiran Kumar
Registrar (I.T.)-cum-
Central Project Coordinator
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Near Madina, Charminar,
Hyderabad - 500066
https://tshc.gov.in

1 Attachment(s)

5659_2026_1_16_71172_Ord...
65.1 KB

ITEM NO.16 COURT NO.1 SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).2053/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-12-2025 in SBCRLMIBA No.13229/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur]

AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR. Respondent(s)

IA No. 36740/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 36741/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 15-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Tushar Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, AOR
Ms. Rashi Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Divynk Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, A.S.G.
Mr. Zoheb Hussainzoheb Hussain, Adv.
Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by ARJUN BISHT
Date: 2026.05.16 10:39:59 IST
Reason:

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. In view of the finding given by the Registrar

(Judicial), the officers/officials of the Registry are advised to remain more careful in future.

2. The petitioner happens to be the wife of the main accused. Their Company – M/s Ablaze Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. (AISPL) is said to have duped investors to the tune of Rs. 3700 crores (wrongly mentioned as Rs. 37000 crores in our order dated 23.03.2026).

3. The petitioner and her associates are arrayed as accused in 257 similar cases. She is said to have been granted bail in 254 cases.

4. The instant petition pertains to the petitioner's prayer for bail in FIR No.18/2018, registered at Police Station Nayashehar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code 1860.

5. The petitioner was first arrested on 26.12.2017 by Uttar Pradesh Police in FIR No.259/2017, registered at Police Station Kavi Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. The instant FIR No.18/2018 was registered on 10.01.2018. The FIR reveals a digital marketing-based scam, in which the company, AISPL offered paid online tasks like sharing advertisements in return for promised earnings. Users were required to purchase IDs/packages by paying upfront money with assurance of regular return. This is how hundreds of investors on a pan-India basis have been duped.

6. Though considering the gravity of the offence and its financial ramifications, coupled with the fact that there are hundreds of victims throughout the country, we would

have declined bail, but for the following mitigating circumstances:

(i) The petitioner is a woman and was inducted as Director after her marriage.

(ii) The Kingpin appears to be her husband, who is also in custody.

(iii) Substantial assets of more than Rs.650 crores have already been attached by the Enforcement Directorate, in addition to Rs.130 crores by Uttar Pradesh Special Task Force (UPSTF).

7. It is further claimed that the Company in default has already refunded over Rs.2600 crores to the investors. This is an unverified fact, and hence, no reliance can be placed.

8. Be that as it may, the petitioner has been in custody since the year 2017. There are multiple cases in different parts of the country, and the conclusion of the trial will take time.

9. Taking into consideration all the attending circumstances, the prayer for bail is allowed, and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner.

10. It is, however, directed that no Court shall de-freeze the assets attached by the Enforcement Directorate, UPSTF or any other State Agency till all the trials are concluded. Similarly, the Enforcement Directorate/State

Police or any other Investigating Agency shall be entitled to attach the left out immovable/movable assets of the petitioner, her family, close relatives or all those associates, who are suspected to have been the beneficiary of the defrauded money. None of such assets shall be released by any judicial/quasi-judicial body or PMLA Court without the leave of this Court.

11. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner, shall impose such other conditions which will ensure that the petitioner appears before the different Courts and does not evade the process of law.

12. This order shall not be treated as a parity for the purpose of considering the prayer for bail by the petitioner's husband or any other accused.

13. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand closed.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR