By - Email
Regj.ghc@gmail.com

// OFFICE OF THE //
DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
CHIRANG AT KAJALGAON

==========

NO. DJCH.III-1/7/2025/ 29 /STT

From : **Mr. Chandranshu Chaturvedy,**
District & Sessions Judge,
Chirang, Kajalgaon.

To : **The Registrar (Judicial)**,
Hon'ble Gauhati High Court,
Guwahati

Dated: 06/ 01/ 2026

Sub : Monthly information regarding Compliance of direction passed by the Hon'ble S.C. of India for the month of **DECEMBER, 2025**

Ref : *No. HC.VII-59/2022/623/A dated 28-02-2024.*

Sir,

With reference to your a letter cited above, I have the honour to furnish herewith the report from the criminal courts for the month of December, 2025, in district judiciary, Chirang, as per prescribed format of Part-A and B.

This is for favour of your kind information and necessary perusal.

Encl: As stated above,

Yours faithfully,

(C. Chaturvedy)
District and Sessions Judge,
Chirang, Kajalgaon

REPORT

MONTH : **DECEMBER, 2025**
DISTRICT : **Chirang**

PART- A								
Sl. No.	Courts	Whether compliance of the direction issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titles as "Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar" (2014) 8 SCC 273 is being made specially with regard to section 41 and 41A of Cr.PC [para 73(b)]	Whether any accused has been granted bail due to non-compliance of section 41 and 41A of Cr.PC [para 73(c)]	Whether courts are insisting for bail application while considering the bail application under section 88, 170, 204 & 209 CrPC [para 73(e)]	Whether the mandate laid down in the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Sidharth Vs. State of UP (2021) 1 SCC 676 is being strictly complied with [para 73(f)]	Whether directions passed in Bhim Singh vs UOI (2015) 13 SCC 605 for release of Under Trial Prisoners eligible for bail under section 436A of CrPC are being complied with [para 73(j)]	Whether the regular bail application are decided within 2 weeks [para 73(k)]	Whether the anticipatory bail application are being decided within 6 weeks [para 73(k)]
1	D&SJ, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
2	Addl. D&SJ, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3	Addl. D&SJ, Bijni	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	CJM, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
5	Addl. CJM, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
6	SDJM(M), Bijni	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
7	SDJM(S), Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
8	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) -Cum-JMFC-1, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
9	JMFC-2, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
10	JMFC-3, Chirang	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A
11	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) -Cum-JMFC, Bijni	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A

PART-B (giving details District and Court wise)						
Sl. No.	Courts	No. of Under Trial Prisoners identified who are unable to comply with the bail condition (list be also annexed) [para 73(h)]	Whether the Under Trial Prisoners mentioned in column 3 have been informed about their right u/s 440(2) CrPC	Total no. of application received under section 440(2) CrPC (list to be annexed)	Number of Regular bail application not decided within 2 weeks of institution	Number of anticipatory bail application not decided within 6 weeks of institution.
1	D&SJ, Chirang	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil
2	Addl. D&SJ, Chirang	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil
3	Addl. D&SJ, Bijni	Nil	Nil	Nil	None	None
4	CJM, Chirang	02	Yes	Nil	Nil	N/A
5	Addl. CJM, Chirang	01	Yes	Nil	Nil	N/A
6	SDJM(M), Bijni	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	N/A
7	SDJM(S), Chirang	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	N/A
8	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) -Cum-JMFC-1, Chirang	01	Yes	Nil	Nil	N/A
9	JMFC-2, Chirang	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil	N/A
10	JMFC-3, Chirang	Nil	Yes	Nil	Nil	N/A
11	Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) -Cum-JMFC, Bijni	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil

List of identified UTPs who are unable to comply with the bail condition

1. **PRC Case No. 150/2025**, the name of UTP Salam Hoque. The bail is allowed by the court of Hon'ble Sessions Judge, Chirang in Sessions case No. 33/2025, but the family member of the accused person being poor could not arrange a bailor. Steps are taken by the Ld. LADC to reduce the bail amount and vide order dated 0612-2025 of this court the bail amount has been reduced, but till date the family members could not arrange a suitable bailor for the UTP's release.

2. **PRC Case No. 155/2025**, name of UTP- Sanswrang Brahma. The engaged Ld. Advocate has filed a bail petition before this court on dated 29-12-2025 which has been granted, but till date the family members of the UTP could not arrange a suitable bailor for the UTP's release.

3. **PRC Case No. 119/2025**, Accused Rajib Champramary is already granted bail but their bail bonds have not been submitted yet.

4. **PRC Case No. 151/2024**: The Ld. LADC Farhana Khatun has informed the court that she has contacted with the wife of the accused **Pinanta Narzary** and she has stated that she cannot afford to submit the abil bond as she is a destitute person. Accordingly, the Ld. LADC Farhana Khatun has filed a petition bearing No. 534/2025 dated 29/10/2025 for reduction of the surety amount or to release the accused on P.R. Bond. Accordingly, the accused is allowed to go on P.R. Bond. However, PR Bond has not been furnished till date and the same is informed to the Ld. LADC Farhana Khatun.

District & Sessions Judge,
Chirang, Kajalgaon