कार्यालय प्रधान जिला एवं सत्र न्यायाधीश, दमोह

पुष्ठां. क. /एक-15-1/17

दमोह, दिनांक08 अप्रैल 2025

प्रतिलिपि :-

समस्त न्यायालय दमोह/हटा/पथरिया/तेंदूखेड़ा जिला दमोह की

ओर

01. मान्नीय उच्च न्यायालय मध्यप्रदेश जबलपुर का पत्र कं. ए/2431/दो-4-1/2010 जबलपुर दिनांक 07.04.2025 का (Compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur order dated 26.03.2025 passed in AA No.16 of 2024, in the case of Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Ltd. Vs.V.K. Udyog Ltd.)

02. मान्नीय उच्च न्यायालय मध्यप्रदेश जबलपुर का पत्र कं. ए/2433/तीन—1—46/58 जबलपुर दिनांक 07.04.2025 का (Compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur order dated 03.04.2025 passed in FA No. 55 of 2024, in the case of Anita Sahu Vs. Abhinav Sahu) की प्रति सूचनार्थ, पालनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित।

संलग्न- उपरोक्तानुसार।

प्रभारी अधिकारी सांख्यिकीय अनुभाग दमोह म.प्र.



HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH: JABALPUR

Dyno Otou25

t the foot

No.

1.4-1/2010

Jabalpur, dated 7./04/2025

To.

The Principal District and Sessions Judgess

All in the State (M.P.)

Subject:- Compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur Order dated 26.03.2025 passed in AA No. 16 of 2024, in the case of Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Ltd. Vs. V.K. Udyog Ltd.

Sir/Madam,

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the Order passed by Honourable High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur dated 26.03.2025 in AA No. 16 of 2024, (Madhya Pradesh Power Transmission Company Ltd. Vs. V.K. Udyog Ltd.).

As directed, I request you to bring the same into the knowledge of all the Judicial Officers under your kind control for information, compliance and necessary action.

Encl:- As above.

MUKESH RAWAT

REGISTRAR District Establishment

Endt. No. 12432 /

Copy forwarded to Registrar (J-I) for information and appropriate action.

MUKESH RAWAT REGISTRAR District Establishment

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

AA No. 16 of 2024

(MADHYA PRADESH POWER TRANSMISSION COMPANY LIMITED Vs V.K.UDYOG LTD.)

Dated: 26-03-2025

Shri Aditya Khandekar - Advocate for Appellant.

Shri K.R. Thakre - Senior Advocate with Ms. Simran Mamtani - Advocate for Respondent.

Request for adjournment is made on behalf of appellant on the ground that arguing counsel is not available.

Said request is strongly opposed by learned Senior Counsel for respondent who submits that he is an outstation counsel and had to travel from Kolkata and no prior intimation was given that an adjournment would be sought. He further states that the appellant has obtained an interim protection on 23.01.2024 and, thereafter he has been avoiding to address arguments and an application for vacating interim protection has already been filed.

We note that on 17.03.2025, a similar request for adjournment was made on behalf of the appellant and a specific date of today i.e. 26.03.2025 was fixed, with the consent of the counsels. Once again, a request for adjournment is made.

Subject appeals emanates out of orders rejecting the objections filed by the appellant under Section 34 of Arbitration Conciliation Act and there is an unconditional stay from taking steps pursuant to the arbitral awards.

In the interest of justice and subject to payment of cost of Rs.1 Lakh,



as a last opportunity to address arguments, re-notify on 22.04.2025 at 02:30 PM.

We are informed that the Arbitral Tribunal record was available with the commercial court. However, while transmitting the record to this court, the commercial court has separated the Arbitral Tribunal record and transmitted only the commercial court record on an understanding that the direction to transmit the commercial court record did include a direction to transmit the Arbitral Tribunal record and the record of Arbitral Tribunal has been returned to the Tribunal.

We direct the Registry to ensure that whenever there is a direction to requisition the commercial court record, said requisition should also include a direction to commercial court to transmit all available record with the commercial court including the record of Arbitral Tribunal.

Registry shall requisition the Arbitral Tribunal record from the commercial court or from the Arbitral Tribunal, wherever the same may be available and append the same for the next date of hearing.

Interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

(SANJEEV SACHDEVA) JUDGE (VINAY SARAF) JUDGE

Shub



HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH: JABALPUR

No.

Jabalpur, dated /04/2025

To.

The Principal District and Sessions Judg

All in the State (M.P.)

Subject:- Compliance of directions of Hon'ble High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur Order dated 03.04.2025 passed in FA No. 55 of 2024, in the case of Anita Sahu Vs. Abhinav Sahu.

Sir/Madam,

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the Order passed by Honourable High Court of Madhya Pradesh, Jabalpur dated 03.04.2025 in FA No. 55 of 2024, (Anita Sahu Vs. Abhinav Sahu).

As directed, I request you to bring the same into the knowledge of all the Judicial Officers under your kind control for information, compliance and necessary action.

Encl:- As above.

MUKESH RAWAT

REGISTRAR District Establishment

Jabalpur, dated/04/2025

for Registrar forwarded to Copy information and appropriate action.

> MUKESH RAWAT REGISTRAR District Establishment

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MADHYA PRADESH AT JABALPUR

FA No. 55 of 2024 (ANTIA SAHUVS ABHINAV SAHU)

Dated: 03-04-2025

Shri Brijendra Swaroop Sahu - advocate for petitioner.

Shri Anil Kumar Dwivedi - Advocate for respondent.

Though mediation has failed, learned counsel for the parties prays for some time to explore the possibility of amicable resolution of disputes.

At request, re-notify in week commencing 23.06.2025.

We note that the Mediator has conducted detailed proceedings and even recorded detailed order sheets as well as statements of the parties and appended the same to the mediation report. The entire process of mediation has to be confidential otherwise whole purpose of mediation is lost.

Registry is directed to remove all the annexures to the mediation report including the order sheets as well as statements and return the same to the Mediation Centre for being kept in the records of the Mediation Centre. No copy of the same shall be appended to the Court's file, supplied to the parties or be relied upon in any judicial proceedings, to ensure confidentiality of the mediation process.

(SANJEEV SACHDEVA) JUDGE (VINAY SARAF) JUDGE

