S. GOVERDHAN REDDY
REGISTRAR GENERAL

HYDERABAD
Dt:22.07.2026

ROC.NO.1552/SO/2026

To
All the Unit Heads in the State of Telangana.

Sir/Madam,

Sub:- High Court for the State of Telangana – E-mail received from the Registrar (I.T-cum-C.P.C), High Court for the State of Telangana – Forwarded an Order dt.15.05.2026 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).2053/2026, on the file of the Supreme Court of India, New Delhi – Certain directions issued – Forwarding the same for compliance and necessary action – Reg.

Ref:- E-mail dt.02.07.2026 from the Registrar (I.T-cum-C.P.C), High Court for the State of Telangana along with Order dt.15.05.2026 in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).2053/2026, on the file of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, New Delhi.

*****

Adverting to the subject and reference cited, I am to inform that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India while passing the Orders in Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).2053/2026, between Ayushi Mittal @ Ayushi Agarwal Vs. State of Rajasthan & Anr., issued directions and the relevant Para is extracted hereunder:

> **"10. It is, however, directed that no Court shall de-freeze the assets attached by the Enforcement Directorate, UPSTF or any other State Agency till all the trials are concluded. Similarly, the Enforcement Directorate/State Police or any other Investigating Agency shall be entitled to attach the left out immovable/movable assets of the petitioner, her family, close relatives or all those associates, who are suspected to have been the beneficiary of the defrauded money. None of such assets shall be released by any judicial/quasi-judicial body or PMLA Court without the leave of this Court."**

Therefore, as directed, I am to forward herewith a copy of the above said Order and request you to comply with the directions laid down by the Hon'ble

27 JUL 2026

13941

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT SESSIONS COURT BHADRADRI KOTHAGUDEM
27 JUL 2026

Supreme Court of India and also to circulate the same among all the Presiding Officer under your control for information and necessary compliance.

Yours sincerely,

REGISTRAR GENERAL

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS COURT, BHADRADRI KOTHAGUDEM

Endt.Dis.No. 9553 **Date:** 28.07.2026

Ref:- High Court's ROC.NO.1552/SO/2026, Dt:22.07.2026

// Communicated //

The Officers are hereby instructed to comply with the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and to comply with the directions issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the State of Telangana. All the concerned Superintendents are directed to download the copy from the District Judiciary Website.

PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,
BHADRADRI KOTHAGUDEM

To

1. All the Judicial Officers working in the unit of District Judge, Bhadradri Kothagudem.
2. The Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, Bhadradri Kothagudem

Order in SLP(Crl) No. 2053/2026 - Regarding **spl.officer.sec-tshc** < spl.officer.sec-tshc@aij.gov.in >

Registrar I.T. cum CPC Telangana < cpc-tshc@aij.gov.in >
Thu, 02 Jul 2026 4:52:53 PM +0530

To "Registrar General Telangana"<reg.gen-tshc@aij.gov.in>

Cc "Upender Reddy T"<spl.officer.sec-tshc@aij.gov.in>,"Registrar Judicial Telangana"<reg.jud-tshc@aij.gov.in>

Sir/Madam,

1552/26

Kindly find the attachment, containing this Hon'ble Court's e-authenticated Record of Proceedings/Order dated 15.05.2026 passed in SLP(Crl) No. 2053/2026 entitled "AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL Vs. STATE OF RAJASTHAN AND ANR." for your information and necessary action along with a request to kindly communicate this Order to the concerned Enforcement Directorate, Police and other concerned Investigating Agencies for information and necessary action/compliance.

D.Kiran Kumar
Registrar (I.T.)-cum-
Central Project Coordinator
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Near Madina, Charminar,
Hyderabad - 500066
https://tshc.gov.in

1 Attachment(s)

5659_2026_1_16_71172_Orde...
65.1 KB

ITEM NO.16 COURT NO.1 SECTION II-D

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).2053/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 11-12-2025 in SBCRLMIBA No.13229/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jodhpur]

AYUSHI MITTAL @ AYUSHI AGARWAL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF RAJASTHAN & ANR. Respondent(s)

IA No. 36740/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 36741/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 15-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Tushar Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, AOR
Ms. Rashi Chaudhary, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mr. Divynk Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, A.S.G.
Mr. Zoheb Hussainzoheb Hussain, Adv.
Ms. Sairica Raju, Adv.
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Animesh Upadhyay, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. In view of the finding given by the Registrar

(Judicial), the officers/officials of the Registry are advised to remain more careful in future.

2. The petitioner happens to be the wife of the main accused. Their Company - M/s Ablaze Info Solutions Pvt. Ltd. (AISPL) is said to have duped investors to the tune of Rs. 3700 crores (wrongly mentioned as Rs. 37000 crores in our order dated 23.03.2026).

3. The petitioner and her associates are arrayed as accused in 257 similar cases. She is said to have been granted bail in 254 cases.

4. The instant petition pertains to the petitioner's prayer for bail in FIR No.18/2018, registered at Police Station Nayashehar, District Bikaner, Rajasthan, under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code 1860.

5. The petitioner was first arrested on 26.12.2017 by Uttar Pradesh Police in FIR No.259/2017, registered at Police Station Kavi Nagar, Uttar Pradesh. The instant FIR No.18/2018 was registered on 10.01.2018. The FIR reveals a digital marketing-based scam, in which the company, AISPL offered paid online tasks like sharing advertisements in return for promised earnings. Users were required to purchase IDs/packages by paying upfront money with assurance of regular return. This is how hundreds of investors on a pan-India basis have been duped.

6. Though considering the gravity of the offence and its financial ramifications, coupled with the fact that there are hundreds of victims throughout the country, we would

have declined bail, but for the following mitigating circumstances:

(i) The petitioner is a woman and was inducted as Director after her marriage.

(ii) The Kingpin appears to be her husband, who is also in custody.

(iii) Substantial assets of more than Rs.650 crores have already been attached by the Enforcement Directorate, in addition to Rs.130 crores by Uttar Pradesh Special Task Force (UPSTF).

7. It is further claimed that the Company in default has already refunded over Rs.2600 crores to the investors. This is an unverified fact, and hence, no reliance can be placed.

8. Be that as it may, the petitioner has been in custody since the year 2017. There are multiple cases in different parts of the country, and the conclusion of the trial will take time.

9. Taking into consideration all the attending circumstances, the prayer for bail is allowed, and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner.

10. It is, however, directed that no Court shall de-freeze the assets attached by the Enforcement Directorate, UPSTF or any other State Agency till all the trials are concluded. Similarly, the Enforcement Directorate/State

Police or any other Investigating Agency shall be entitled to attach the left out immovable/movable assets of the petitioner, her family, close relatives or all those associates, who are suspected to have been the beneficiary of the defrauded money. None of such assets shall be released by any judicial/quasi-judicial body or PMLA Court without the leave of this Court.

11. The Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bikaner, shall impose such other conditions which will ensure that the petitioner appears before the different Courts and does not evade the process of law.

12. This order shall not be treated as a parity for the purpose of considering the prayer for bail by the petitioner's husband or any other accused.

13. The Special Leave Petition is, accordingly, disposed of. Pending applications, if any, also stand closed.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR