



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

Crl.M.P.No.156/2026

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000171-2026)

and

Intervene Petition Crl.M.P.No.159/2026

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000181-2026)

1. Sundarapandi, (aged 21),
S/o.Palaiah.
2. Siva, (aged 24),
S/o.Palaiah.
3. Manoj Prabhakar @ Majojkumar, (aged 22),
S/o.Arumugam.
4. Chandru @ Chandrakumar, (aged 25),
S/o. Ramesh.
5. Sakthikumar @ Sasi, (aged 23),
S/o. Ramesh.
6. Muniyasamy @ Mugi, (aged 26),
S/o. Thangavel.
7. Venkatesh, (aged 21),
S/o. Dinakaran.
8. Anandaraj, (aged 23),
S/o. Sobiraj.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Mandalamanickam P.S.,
in Cr.No.30/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Kannan

....Intervener/Defacto
Complainant.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
19:30:59 +0530



Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

Intervene Petition dated 27.05.2026

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.Gunasekaran, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.A.Ramalingam, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the intervener and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1, A2, A4 to A9 who were apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.30/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there existed a dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant relating to the dance program conduct of a Valavanthan Amman Thiruvizha festival. Due to which, on 23.05.2026 at about 10.30 p.m. while the defacto complainant after finishing his charcoal work, was returning to his house near drama stage att that time, all the accused abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with wooden log on his head and pushed down the defacto complainant and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have



been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the injured was treated as inpatient and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that A3 was released on bail by this Court and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence they prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Counsel for the intervener submitted that the defacto complainant and his wife had sustained grievous injuries and were admitted in Govt.Hospital, Kamuthi. He further submitted that if they are released on bail, they would threaten the witnesses and objected to release them.

5. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 9 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1, A2, A4 to A9 and that A3 was released on bail on 23.05.2026 by the District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi. He further submitted that the injured was treated as inpatient and that four witnesses have been examined and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of the allegations and the materials collected so far, this court is of the view that the investigation is in a nascent stage. The injured taking treatment as inpatient as till date. Taking into consideration the seriousness of the allegations, the stage of investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:31:09 +0530



bail to the petitioners at this stage.

In the result, this anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

In respect of the Intervene Petition in CrI.M.P.No. 159/2026 is allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:31:12 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Mandalamanickam P.S.,
The petitioner through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No. 24/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000025-2026)

1. Sakthivel, (aged 46),
S/o.Mani.

2. Chinnathambi, (aged 56),
S/o.Palanivelu.

3. Vadivelu, (aged 43),
S/o.Mani.

4. Muthumari, (aged 54),
W/o.Chinnathambi.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
R.S.Mangalam P.S.,
in Cr.No.79/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 08.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.M.Kaleeswaran, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T

Date: 2026.05.27
19:34:43 +0530



ORDER

The petitioners/A1 to A4 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.79/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) BNS r/w section 4 of TNPHW Act, seek anticipatory bail.

No representation made by the learned counsel for the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

In the result this petition is dismissed as no representation.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:34:48 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi

Wednesday, the 20th day of May 2026
CrI.M.P.No. 56/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000093-2026)

Ajay, (aged 22),
S/o.Gunasekaran.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thirupalaikudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.111/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 18.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this Court, in the presence of Thiru.M.Vijay, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.111/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, on 13.05.2026 at about 6.00 p.m., the de facto complainant and his friends were proceedings their two wheeler to the Manakudi



Wine Shop, and at that time, a quarrel arose between them and at the time the petitioner abused him in filthy language and assaulted with wooden log on his head and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was treated as inpatient and that most of the investigation is complete and that there are 2 previous cases pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the the injured was treated as inpatient at Government Hospital, Ramanathapuram and that five witnesses have been examined and that two previous cases pending against the petitioner u/s.379 IPC, 21(4) M&N(D&R) Act, 2) Cr.No.151/21, u/s.363 IPC @ 363 IPC 5(1), 6 POCSO Act.

5. Considering the nature of the allegations and the materials collected so far, this court is of the view that the investigation is in a nascent stage. The injured taking



treatment as inpatient as till date. Taking into consideration the seriousness of the allegations, the stage of investigation, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
19:04:34 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thirupalaikudi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026
CrI.M.P.No.75 /2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000109-2026)

Babu, (aged 57),
S/o.Baluchamy.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Parthibanoor P.S.,
in Cr.No.88/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 18.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this Court, in the presence of Thiru.K. Kumar, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/Sole who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.88/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, who is a disabled person, was staying at her parents' house, and A2 is the mother of the



de facto complainant. On 11.05.2026 at about 6.30 a.m., an argument arose between the defacto complainant and her mother over certain issues in the kitchen. At that time, her father came out of the bathroom and assaulted her with a grinding pestle on her head and caused injuries and also treated her like a slave Based on the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 2 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 25.05.2026 and that three witnesses have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost



completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioners/accused. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

1. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands canceled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;



iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
19:05:01 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanoor P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.113/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000164-2026)

Mohamed Sathick, (aged 27),
S/o.Sahubar Sathick.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thondi P.S.,
in Cr.No.96/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.96/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 115(2),118(1), 351(2) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
19:31:21 +0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that there was previous enmity between the petitioners and the de facto complainant. Subsequently, on 06.05.2026 at about 11.30 p.m., when the de facto complainant and his driver were proceeding in their vehicle near Orulaikal Pallivasal Street, the petitioner, along with others, came there, abused the de facto complainant in filthy language, and assaulted him with an iron rod on his forehead, nose and face causing injuries. They also threatened him with dire consequences on the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions may be imposed if any by this Court and he will not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 5 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that and that A2 & A3 were released on own bail on 13.05.2026 by the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai and that A4 was released on Anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.MD.No.9516, dated 19.05.2026. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 08.05.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined



and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioners/accused. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai**; on condition that the petitioner shall **execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions;

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of may 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:31:33 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from*

TNRM000001642026



Android or iOS.

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thondi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026
Crl.M.P.No. 147 /2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000173-2026)

1. Muthukumar @ Kammampandi, (aged 19)
S/o.Veeranan.

2. Dinesh @ Dinesh Kumar, (aged 21)
S/o.Veerapandi.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1,4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kamuthi P.S.,
in Cr.No.92/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this Court, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both side submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1, A4 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.92/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 109, 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:31:41 +0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and A5 are college students and there is previous motive between them. Subsequently, on 08.04.2026 at about 12.20 p.m, the defacto complainant and his friends came out from the college for drinking tea and they were coming Thevar Statue, at that time the petitioner and others came there in two bikes and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and A1 assaulted the defacto complainant's friend one Sivamanikandan with sword on his head and A4 also involved in the above occurrence and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and that they are in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that there is a previous enmity between them and that most of the investigation has been completed. He further submitted that co-accused (A5) was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P(MD) No.8182/2026 dated 27.04.2026. He further submitted that A4 not named in the FIR and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions and they would not threaten the witnesses and abscond. Hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally six accused are involved in this case and that the petitioners are arrayed as A1& A4 and that A5 was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras



High Court in Crl.O.P(MD) No.8182/2026 dated 27.04.2026 and A2 is in judicial custody. He further submitted that injured were discharged from hospital on 08.04.2026 and 10.04.2026 and that seven witnesses have been examined and that four previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner for the offences u/s. 302 IPC and 310(2), 311 IPC including NDPS Act and that no previous case pending against the 2nd petitioner and that custodial interrogation of the petitioners are required for further investigation and that if they are released on anticipatory, there is every possibility that they would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Earlier petition was dismissed by this court on 20.05.2026 and there is no change of circumstances considering the grave nature of the offence, the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that, although the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital and the major part of the investigation has been completed, the co-accused were granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court on 27.04.2026, there are several previous cases pending against the 1st petitioner. Further, considering the specific overt acts attributed to the 1st petitioner custodial interrogation is necessary for further investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 1st petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed against the 1st petitioner alone.

On considering the facts and circumstances this court and there is no specific over acts and no previous case pending against the 2nd petitioner and considering A5



was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P(MD) No.8182/2026 dated 27.04.2026 this court inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 2nd petitioner (Dinesh) with the following condition.

6. Accordingly, the 2nd petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi**; on condition that the 2nd petitioner shall **execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the 2nd petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions;

ii) that the 2nd petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the 2nd **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:31:50 +0530



iv) that the 2nd petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the 2nd petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the 2nd petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:31:53 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,*

“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of may 2026.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thondi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.151/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000172-2026)

Alexpandian, (aged 24),
S/o.Vetrivel.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kamuthi P.S.,
in Cr.No.92/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K. Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.92/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 109, 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant and A5 are college students and there is previous motive between them. Subsequently, on



08.04.2026 at about 12.20 p.m, the defacto complainant and his friends came out from the college for drinking tea and they were coming Thevar Statue, at that time the petitioner and others came there in two bikes and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and A1 assaulted the defacto complainant's friend one Sivamanikandan with sword on his head and also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner have been falsely implicated in this case and that they are in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that there is a previous enmity between them and that most of the investigation has been completed. He further submitted that co-accused (A5) was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in Crl.O.P(MD) No.8182/2026 dated 27.04.2026 and that and that if the petitioner are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions and they would not threaten the witnesses and abscond. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally six accused are involved in this case and that the petitioner are arrayed as A3. He further submitted that injured were discharged from hospital on 08.04.2026 and 10.04.2026 and that seven witnesses have been examined and that nine previous cases are pending



against the petitioner and that if he is released on anticipatory, there is every possibility that he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Earlier petition was dismissed by this court on 20.05.2026 and there is no change of circumstances considering the grave nature of the offence, the facts and circumstances of the case, and the fact that, although the injured persons have been discharged from the hospital and the major part of the investigation has been completed, the co-accused were granted bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court on 27.04.2026, there are several previous cases pending against the petitioner. Further, considering the specific overt acts attributed to the 1st to 3rd petitioner, custodial interrogation is necessary for further investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:32:13 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

TNRM000001722026



➤ * *Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

Crl.M.P.No.154/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000176-2026)

Abusuhail, (aged 28),
S/o.Abdullaiah Sahib.

...Petitioner/Accused No.5

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thondi P.S.,
in Cr.No.96/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.Raja Sahul Hameed, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A5 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.96/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 118(1), 115(2), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was previous enmity between the petitioners and the de facto complainant. Subsequently, on 06.05.2026 at about 11.30



p.m., when the de facto complainant and his driver were proceeding in their vehicle near Orulaikal Pallivasal Street, the petitioner, along with others, came there, abused the de facto complainant in filthy language, and assaulted him with an iron rod on his forehead, nose and face causing injuries. They also threatened him with dire consequences on the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions may be imposed if any by this Court and he will not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 5 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A5 and that and that A2 & A3 were released on own bail on 13.05.2026 by the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai and that A4 was released on Anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.MD.No.9516, dated 19.05.2026. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 08.05.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital and A4 was released on Anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble



Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in Crl.O.P.MD.No.9516, dated 19.05.2026. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioners/accused. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai**; on condition that the petitioner shall **execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions;

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and



when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of may 2026.

BALAMURUGAN
T

Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:32:31 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thondi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.59/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000094-2026)

Dineshmoorthi, (aged 35),
S/o.Thirunagu.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Elanchembur P.S.,
in Cr.No.34/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 18.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K,Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.34/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(2) BNS,



seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was previous enmity between both the parties. Due to which, on 12.05.2026 at about 5:00 p.m., while the husband of the defacto complainant was grazing goats in the village, the petitioner abused him in filthy language and assaulted him on his cheek and it was informed to the defacto complainant and she went there and questioned about the said occurrence. At that time, the petitioner abused her in filthy language and assaulted her with neem wood on her head and right hand shoulder and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and he would not abscond and tamper the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

BALAMURUGAN
T
Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:45:25 +0530



4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the injured was discharged from hospital on 22.05.2026 and that six witnesses have been examined and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioners/accused. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled



automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269

TNRM000000942026



BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:45:42 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to
The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Elanchembur P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.70/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000105-2026)

and

CrI.M.P.No.100/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000119-2026)

Nanthini, (aged 25),
D/o.Durairaj.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.199/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Sangavi, (aged 30),
D/o.Shanmugam.

... Intervener/Defacto
Complainant.

Petition dated 18.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

Intervene Petition dated : 20.05.2027 u/s.339 BNSS.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.M.Mayakannan, M.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. M.Vigneswaran, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the Intervener and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:



ORDER

The petitioner/A2 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.199/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 49, 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that A1 and the defacto complainant are husband and wife. A1 had illegal contact with this petitioner, which was questioned the A1 by the defacto complainant. Due to which a wordy altercation arose between them, following which on 15.05.2026 at about 9.10 hours A1 abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted with knife and caused injuries and also threatened her with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and she is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, she is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and she would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Counsel for the intervener contended that there is an illegal



contact between A1 and this petitioner and that as per instigation of this petitioner, A1 attacked his wife/defacto complainant with knife and caused injuries and that however, the injured was discharged from the hospital, he objected to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 2 accused involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A2 and that A1 moved anticipatory bail before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and the same was pending. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 17.05.2026 and that four witnesses have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged and that the substantial part of the investigation is over and also that there is no antecedents against the petitioner, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to



the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Considering the nature of offence the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, West P.S., Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that she shall make available herself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.



Insofar as the intervening petition is concerned, it is closed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:46:25 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026.

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, West P.S., Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District,
The petitioner through her Counsel.
The Intervener through her Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.101/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000132-2026)

Nagavalli, (aged 50),
W/o.Babu.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Parthibanur P.S.,
in Cr.No.88/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K.Kumar, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/ A2 who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.88/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant, who is a disabled person, was staying at her parents' house, and A2 is the mother of the



de facto complainant. On 11.05.2026 at about 6.30 a.m., an argument arose between the defacto complainant and her mother over certain issues in the kitchen. At that time, her father came out of the bathroom and assaulted her with a grinding pestle on her head and caused injuries and also treated her like a slave Based on the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and she is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, she is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and she would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence she prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 2 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A2. She further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 25.05.2026 and that three witnesses have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been



discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioners/accused. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

1. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands canceled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and



when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:05:37 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026



- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanoor P.S.,
The petitioner through her Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.102/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000133-2026)

1. Dhilipan, (aged 27),
S/o.Siralan.

2. Divya, (aged 31),
D/o.Siralan.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.208/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R.Rajasekar, B.E., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1, A2 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.208/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:33:05 +0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that, there is some previous enmity due to land dispute between the defacto complainant family and petitioners family. Due to which on 17.05.2026 at about 7.30 p.m., the petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and A1 assaulted the husband of defacto complainant with stone on his stomach and also assaulted the defacto complainant with a sickle on her head and A2 trampled on her hip and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. On the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have not committed any such offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and they would not abscond and tamper the witnesses and they pray to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 2 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2 and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that four witnesses have been examined and that there is one previous case is pending against the 1st petitioner and there is no previous case is pending against the 2nd petitioner. He further submitted that counter case has also



been registered in Cr.No.209/2026.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. One previous case is pending against A1 and no previous case is pending against A2 and counter case has also been registered in Cr.No.209/2026. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation and the absence of criminal antecedents against A2, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners / accused with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN
T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:33:17 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to



The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

Crl.M.P.No.104/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000120-2026)

Rishi, (aged 25),
S/o.Narayanan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.5

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.110/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R.Sureshbabu, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A5 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.110/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 318(4) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the Manager of a ready-made garments shop. On 06.03.2026, at about 4:45 p.m., the defacto complainant and another person were in the staff room when the petitioner and others



arrived in a car bearing Registration No. TN 12 D 6681. They introduced themselves as Income Tax officers and asked the defacto complainant to accompany them to the shop for inspection. The defacto complainant asked their ID cards, they refused to give the same. Believing their representation, the defacto complainant went along with the petitioner and seven others. Once there, they collected the mobile phones of the staff, dishonestly took a sum of Rs.6,10,000/- from the cash box, assaulted the staff with their hands, and threatened them with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the petitioner name is not found in the FIR and that there is no specific overt-act against the petitioner and that most of the investigation is over and that earlier two anticipatory bail applications were dismissed in CrI.M.P.No.552/2026 dated 20.04.2026 by the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram and in CrI.M.P.No.66/2026 dated 20.05.2026 by this Court and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 9 accused are involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A5 and that A1 to A4 were released on mandatory bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram on various



dates and that A6 moved for anticipatory bail before this Court and that A9 moved anticipatory bail before the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and the same was pending and that others are still absconding. He further submitted that the petitioner is belongs to Sivagangai District and that the amount cheated in this case is Rs.6,10,000/- out of which Rs.1,59,300/- was recovered from A1. Based on confession of A1 other accused included in this case and that at the time of occurrence the petitioner is there along with other accused and there is specific overt-act against this petitioner. He further submitted that earlier two anticipatory bail applications were dismissed in CrI.M.P.No.552/2026 dated 20.04.2026 by the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram and in CrI.M.P.No.66/2026 dated 20.05.2026 by this Court and that the investigation is not yet complete and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is every possibility that he would threaten the witnesses and tamper the evidence and has objected to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

5. It is an offence of cheating by impersonating Income Tax officers. There is specific overt-act against the petitioner as per prosecution. The cheated amount of Rs.6,10,000/- out of which Rs.1,59,300/- was recovered from A1 and the remaining amount and also mobile phones are yet to be recovered.

6. The earlier application was dismissed on 20.05.2026, and there is no change in circumstances. Considering the grave nature of the offence, the huge amount



involved in the case, the fact that the investigation is not yet complete, and the submission of the prosecution that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, as well as the fact that the other accused are yet to be arrested and the remaining amount is yet to be recovered, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, this petition is liable to be dismissed..

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:20:59 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026.

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,*

“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,

The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

Crl.M.P.No.105/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000134-2026)

1. Sounthiram, (aged 70),
W/o.Karuppaiah.

2. Mayakrishnan, (aged 43),
S/o.Karuppaiah.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Chathirakudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.93/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.B.Duraimurugan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1, A2 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.93/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 74, 303(2) BNS @ Sec. 296(b), 118(1), 74 BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

BALAMURUGAN
T

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
19:33:30 +0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that both parties are relatives and there was a family dispute between both the parties. Due to which, on 18.05.2026 at about 3.15 p.m when the defacto complainant and her daughter were went to their house, at that time all the accused abused her in filthy language and assaulted her with hands and iron rod on her head and overall body and caused injuries and pulled her saree and pushed her down and also one sovereign of gold chain and mobile phone belonged to the defacto complainant were missing and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have not committed any such offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and they would not abscond and tamper the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 3 accused involved in this case and that the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A3 and that A2 was allowed on bail by Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi on 26.05.2026. He further submitted that the injured were discharged from hospital on 22.05.2026 and that most of the investigation is complete and that one previous case is pending against the 1st petitioner for the offence u/s.189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 133, 351(2), BNS r/w Sec.4 of



TNPHW Act and two previous cases are pending against 2nd petitioner for the offence u/s.379 IPC r/w Sec.21(1) MMDR Act and Sec. 189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 133, 351(2), BNS r/w Sec.4 of TNPHW Act. Further submitted that the prosecution removed u/s.303 of BNS during investigation.

5. Considering the nature of the offence and civil dispute pending, the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured have been discharged from hospital and the prosecution removed u/s.303 of BNS during investigation and that the substantial part of the investigation is over and also that there are antecedents against the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN T
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:33:40 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026.

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*



Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Chathirakudi P.S.,

The petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.107/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000157-2026)

Kamalesh, (aged 23),
S/o.Senthilkumar.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.76/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R.Balaji, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.76/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was previous enmity between the



petitioner's group and the defacto complainant's friend, namely Ilamaran. Due to the said enmity, on 03.05.2026 at about 12.00 p.m., while the defacto complainant and his friends were consuming ice apples, the petitioner and others came there, abused Ilamaran in filthy language, and attacked the defacto complainant and his friends, causing injuries. They also threatened them with dire consequences, and on the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the injured was treated as out-patient and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and they would not abscond and tamper the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there is one named accused and about 10 unnamed accused involved in this case. He further submitted that the injured was treated as an out-patient and that six witnesses have been examined and that there is one previous case pending against the petitioner for the offences punishable u/s.4(1)(A) TNP Act.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has been treated as an out-patient. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and major



materials have already been collected by the Investigation Officer. One previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioner/accused.

6. Taking into condition the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/accused.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

BALAMURUGAN
T
Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:34:48 +0530



iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:34:54 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.108//2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000155-2026)

1. Harish @ Hariharan, (aged 19),
S/o.Kaleeswaran.

2. Aathinarayanan, (aged 48)
S/o.Nagamalai.

3. Alagarsamy, (aged 25),
S/o.Aathinarayanan.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2 to 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Rameswaram Jetty P.S.,
in Cr.No.78/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R.Karthick, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A2 to A4 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent



police in Cr.No.78/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.132, 351(2) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution is that, the defacto complainant is a forest range officer. On 22.05.2026 at about 10.00 a.m while the defacto complainant involving patrolling duty at Serankottai sea shore, the petitioners and another illegally transported 50 Kgs of Sea-cucumber in a plastic can without getting any permit or licence and it was enquired by the defacto complainant. At that time, all the accused thrown the Sea-cucumber into the sea and deterred the public servant from discharging of their duty and threatened him with dire consequence and fled away from the occurrence place and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence and most of the investigation is complete and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence they prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 4 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners arrayed as A2, A3, A4 and that A1 is in judicial custody. He further submitted that the investigation is in very beginning stage and



that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail they would tamper or threaten the witnesses.

5. The petitioners illegally transported 50 kgs of sea cucumber without permit, obstructed the public servant during enquiry, destroyed evidence by throwing the contraband into the sea, and threatened him with dire consequences. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the investigation is not yet complete, this court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner .

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:42:08 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Jetty P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: **Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.109/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000161-2026)

Pavin, (aged 20),
S/o.Muniyasamy.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Uchipuli P.S.,
in Cr.No.119/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Tmt.STR.Thenmozhi, B.Sc., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following...

ORDER

The petitioner/A3 who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.119/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 324(4), 351(3) BNS and section 25(1A) Arms Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 25.04.2026 at about 5.55 p.m. when the defacto compliant was at Attrangarai ground with his friends, at that time his



sister informed him that the petitioner and others damaged the backside glass of the car belonged to the defacto complainant using sword. Further, the defcto complaint found the accused persons based on CCTV footage and questioned about them. At that time, all the accused abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with sword and hands on his back and stomach and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that, he has been no way connected with the offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that co-accused (A2) was released on anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram in CrI.M.P.No.727/2026 dated 30.04.2026 and A1 was released on bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.27/2026 dated 13.05.2026 and an earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.67/2026 dated 20.05.2026 and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions and he would not threaten the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that totally 3 accused are involved in this case based on CCTV footage and that the petitioner is arrayed as A3 and others were released on bail and anticipatory bail by this Court and Principal Sessions Court



and an earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.67/2026 dated 20.05.2026. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 26.04.2026 and that six witnesses have been examined and that damage cost of Rs.10,000/- and seven previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offence u/s.427, 448, 506(ii), 324, IPC and 191(2), 191(3), 351(3), 308(4), 109, 125(a) BNS and his name is under History Sheet and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court on 20.05.2026. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that even though the injured has been discharged from hospital and substantial part of the investigation is over and release of co-accused, the petitioner is having several previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:37:30 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram,
27.05.2026.

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

TNRM000001612026



Copy to:

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.110/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000156-2026)

Praveen, (aged 19),
S/o.Gurunathan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thirupalaikudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.98/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R.Balamurugan, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.98/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(2) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 16.04.2026 at about 1.30 p.m the



defacto complainant's family came from temple in two wheeler and while crossing Chozhanthur, the petitioner and other accused came there in three two wheelers way-laid them and attacked the defacto complainant's son-in-law who came in a two wheeler bearing Reg.No. TN 67 AK 3020 with wooden log and hands and beer bottles and robbed the neck chain of one Kishore and threatened them with dire consequences and caused injuries and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that A5 was released on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.58/2026 dated 20.05.2026 and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and he would not abscond and tamper the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there the injured was discharged from hospital on 22.04.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that A5 was released on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.58/2026 dated 20.05.2026 and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of the offence, the facts and circumstances of the



case, and the fact that the injured has been discharged from hospital and that the substantial part of the investigation is over and that there is no criminal antecedent and also that release of co-accused an anticipatory bail by this court, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:42:33 +0530



iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:42:40 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,*

“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, (through e-mail)

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Thirupalaikudi P.S.,

The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026
Crl.M.P.No.111/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000160-2026)

1. Rajeshkanna @ Kanna, (aged 46/2026),
S/o.Santhiyagu.
 2. Mohamed Satham @ Thavamani, (aged 39/2026)
S/o.Santhiyagu.
 3. Anthonyrasu @ Anthony (aged 35/2026),
S/o.Santhiyagu.
 4. Sollin Pawlas @ Pawldoss, (aged 20/2026),
S/o. John Peter.
- ...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thirupallaikudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.113/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R. Silambaraj, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 to A4 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent



police in Cr.No.113/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. In view of the endorsement made by the petitioner's Counsel, the petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN
T

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:43:00 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.112/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000158-2026)

Murugan, (aged 42),
S/o.Karupanan.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Abiramam P.S.,
in Cr.No.90/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.90/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 351(2) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant heard information about her brother-in-laws wife that, she had illegal intimacy with this



petitioner/A1. Due to which some misunderstanding between the defacto complainant and the petitioner, following which on 21.05.2026 at about 6.00 p.m all the accused abused her in filthy language and assaulted with neem wood on her body and caused injuries and the petitioner pushed her and trampled on his chest and they also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the injured was treated as outpatient and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions may be imposed if any by this Court and he will not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 4 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that summons were issued to the A2 to A4 and that the injured was treated as outpatient. He further submitted that seven witnesses have been examined and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured was treated as outpatient and that substantial part of the investigation is complete and also that there is no criminal antecedents against the



petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi**; on condition that the petitioner shall **execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions;

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:43:28 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“<https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Abiramam P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026
CrI.M.P.No.114/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000162-2026)

Madhan, (aged 21),
S/o.Gnanasivakumar.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
B1 Town P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.93/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.T.Kirubakarasekar, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.93/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, the defacto complainant and the accused were friends, and there was some misunderstanding between them regarding the petitioners



taking the defacto complainant's two-wheeler and returning it with low fuel. Due to this, on 22.05.2026 at about 10.40 a.m., the accused allegedly took the defacto complainant to a forest area, wrongfully restrained him, abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with a sickle and by hands on his right ankle and back, caused injuries, pushed him down, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 2 accused involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that A2 is still absconding. He further submitted that the the injured was discharged from hospital on 25.05.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost



completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigation Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioner.

6. Taking into condition the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, the anticipatory bail is granted with following conditions:

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands canceled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;



iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

BALAMURUGAN
T
Digitally signed by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:19:01 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026.

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, B1 Town P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

Crl.M.P.No.115/2026
(CNR No.TNRM-00-000163-2026)

Harish, (aged 20),
S/o.Arjunan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
B1 Town P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.93/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K.Narenbabu, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A2 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.93/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, the defacto complainant and the accused were



friends, and there was some misunderstanding between them regarding the petitioners taking the defacto complainant's two-wheeler and returning it with low fuel. Due to this, on 22.05.2026 at about 10.40 a.m., the accused allegedly took the defacto complainant to a forest area, wrongfully restrained him, abused him in filthy language, assaulted him with a sickle and by hands on his right ankle and back, caused injuries, pushed him down, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 2 accused involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A2 and that A1 is still absconding. He further submitted that the the injured was discharged from hospital on 25.05.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that there is one previous case pending against the petitioner for the offences punishable u/s.189(2),



296(b), 551(c) BNS.

5. There is a criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioner. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigation Officer.

6. Taking into condition the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In the result, the anticipatory bail is granted with following conditions:

8. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands canceled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy



of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Considering the facts of the previous case the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:18:15 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026



- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, B1 Town P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.116/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000166-2026)

Vigneswaran, (aged 23),
S/o.Muniyasamy.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Keelakkarai P.S.,
in Cr.No.68/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.T.Kirubakarasekar, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.68/2026 for the offences punishable U/s. 296(b), 125, 351(2) of BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:16:38 +0530



2. According to the prosecution, on 01.04.2026, during the temple festival, the petitioner and others abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and threw broken liquor bottles, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was treated as out-patient and that most of the investigation has been completed and that A3 was released on anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram in CrI.M.P.No.606/2026 dated 10.04.2026 and that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 5 accused are involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that and that A3 was released on anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram in CrI.M.P.No.606/2026 dated 10.04.2026 and that others are still absconding. He further contended that the injured was treated as out-patient and that two witnesses



have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that main witnesses have been examined and that there is no antecedent against the petitioner and also that release of co-accused(A3) on anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram on 10.04.2026. Hence, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram;** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of 15 days** and on



further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:16:59 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

TNRM000001662026



Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelakkarai P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.144/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000153-2026)

1. Pandiyaraj, (aged 26),
S/o.Muthupandi.

2. Balamuralikrishnan, (aged 41),
S/o.Sekar.

...Petitioners/Accused No.8,20

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
DCB, Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.03/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.S.Muneeskumar, M.B.A., L.L.B(Hons)., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A8 and A20 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.03/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.336(2), 336(3), 340(2), 318(4), 319(2), 61(2) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners and others created forged documents relating to the property belonging to the defacto complainant's father and executed sale deeds among themselves by impersonation. In the year 2012, while the defacto complainant's father was alive, all the accused allegedly obtained a forged death certificate of the defacto complainant's father and also procured forged legal heir certificates in the names of the accused. Thereafter, they executed sale deeds on various dates among themselves before the document writer and the Sub-Registrar, Velipattinam. On the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have not committed any such offence and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that most of the investigation is complete and that co-accused (A5, A6) were released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.OP(MD).No.9703/2026 dated 21.05.2026 and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and they would not abscond and tamper the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 20 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A8 and A20 and that A1 was died and



that A2, A3, A4, A7, A19 are in judicial custody and that A5 and A6 were released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.OP(MD).No.9703/2026 dated 21.05.2026 A2 was released on bail by the concerned Court on 07.05.2026 and that others are still absconding. He further submitted that the 2nd petitioner/A20 is the organizer of this case and that the investigation is in initial stage and that seven witnesses have been examined and that the cost of the property is about Rs.9,18,000/-.

5. The 2nd petitioner/A2, who is the organiser of the crime, requires custodial interrogation for further investigation. Hence, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 2nd petitioner/A20. Considering the nature of the offence and the facts and circumstances of the case, and in view of the fact that co-accused Nos. 5 and 6 were granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.OP(MD).No.9703/2026 dated 21.05.2026 as they were only subsequent purchasers, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 1st petitioner/A8, who is also a subsequent purchaser, subject to the following stringent conditions:

6. Accordingly, the 1st petitioner/A8 is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the 1st petitioner/A8 shall execute a bond for a



sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with **two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the 1st petitioner/A8 did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the 1st petitioner/A8 and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the 1st petitioner/A8 shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the 1st petitioner/A8 shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the 1st petitioner/A8 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the 1st petitioner/A8 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the 1st petitioner/A8 released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid



down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

As far as, this petition is dismissed in respect of the 2nd petitioner/A20.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:16:09 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, DCB, Ramanathapuram,
The petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

CrI.M.P.No.149/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000174-2026)

Ajithkumar, (aged 28),
S/o.Saminathan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Keelathooval P.S.,
in Cr.No.60/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.M.Kumar, B.B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M,Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.60/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant was allegedly



involved in a love affair through Instagram with the wife of the petitioner. Subsequently, on 10.05.2026 at about 8.30 p.m., while the defacto complainant was proceeding on his two wheeler bearing registration no.TN 65 BV 6512 near Mahindi Vilakku Road the petitioner along with two others approached the defacto complainant on account of his alleged conversations with the petitioner's wife through Instagram and abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with an aruval and causing injury to the finger of his right hand and the other accused attacked him with a coconut frond stalk on his nose, eyes and all over his body causing injuries and they also threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions may be imposed if any by this Court and he will not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 1 named accused and two un-named accused involved in this case. He further submitted that the injured was discharged on 20.05.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that three previous cases are pending against A1 for the offences u/s.20(b)(ii)(B),



8(c) NDPS Act in Cr.No.14/25 and Cr.No.613/20 and for the offences u/s.118(1), 296(b), 351(3) BNS.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners/accused.

1. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur**; on condition that the petitioner shall **execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions;

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy



of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of may 2026.

BALAMURUGAN
T
Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:44:25 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

TNRM000001742026



- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: **Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026
Crl.M.P.No.152/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000175-2026)

1. Bala, (aged 39),
S/o.Mani.

2. Mani, (aged 72)
S/o.Muthu.

3. Kamala, (aged 61),
W/o.Mani.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai P.S.,
in Cr.No.239/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.R.Rameshkannan, M.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 to A3 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.239/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 118(1), 351(3) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

BALAMURUGAN
T

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:33:50 +0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that, the petitioners are in-laws family members of daughter of defacto complainant. On 19.05.2026 at about 10.00 a.m., the defacto complainant went to her daughter's home and talking with her, at that time all the accused came there and abused defacto complainant in filthy language and A1 assaulted her with wooden log and caused injuries on her head and also threatened her with dire consequences. On the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that there is a civil dispute between both the parties and that the injured were discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence they pray to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally three accused involved in this case and that the petitioners are arraigned as A1 to A3. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital on 21.05.2026 and that five witnesses have been examined and that no previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:33:54 +0530



materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigating Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the petitioners / accused. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation and the absence of criminal antecedents, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners / accused with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioners shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
19:33:56 +0530



and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners are released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
19:34:00 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

**PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.**

Wednesday, the 20th day of May 2026

**CrI.M.P.No.153/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000177-2026)**

Balamurugan, (aged 30),
S/o.Malaiyandi.

...Petitioner/Accused No.6

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.
in Cr.No.110/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A6 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.110/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 318(4) BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the defacto complainant is the Manager of



a ready-made garments shop. On 06.03.2026, at about 4:45 p.m., the defacto complainant and another person were in the staff room when the petitioner and others arrived in a car bearing Registration No. TN 12 D 6681. They introduced themselves as Income Tax officers and asked the defacto complainant to accompany them to the shop for inspection. The defacto complainant asked their ID cards, they refused to give the same. Believing their representation, the defacto complainant went along with the petitioner and seven others. Once there, they collected the mobile phones of the staff, dishonestly took a sum of Rs.6,10,000/- from the cash box, assaulted the staff with their hands, and threatened them with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, a case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the petitioner name is not found in the FIR and that there is no direct involvement against this petitioner and that most of the investigation is over and that some of the amount has been recovered from A1 and that earlier two anticipatory bail applications were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.26/2026, 72/2026 dated 13.05.2026, 20.05.2026 respectively and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the



petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 9 accused are involved in this case and that the petitioner is arrayed as A6 and that A1 to A4 were released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram on various dates and that A5 moved for anticipatory bail before this Court and that A9 moved anticipatory bail before the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and the same was pending and that others are still absconding. He further submitted that the petitioner is belongs to Sivagangai District and that the amount cheated in this case is Rs.6,10,000/- out of which Rs.1,59,300/- was recovered from A1. Based on confession of A1 other accused included in this case and that at the time of occurrence the petitioner is there along with other accused and there is direct involvement against this petitioner and that earlier two anticipatory bail applications were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.26/2026, 72/2026 dated 13.05.2026, 20.05.2026 respectively and that the investigation is not yet complete and other accused are yet to be arrested and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is every possibility that he would threaten the witnesses and tamper the evidence and has objected to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

5. It is an offence of cheating by impersonating Income Tax officers. There is



specific overt-act against the petitioner as per prosecution. The cheated amount of Rs.6,10,000/- out of which Rs.1,59,300/- was recovered from A1 and the remaining amount and also mobiles phones are yet to be recovered.

6. The earlier application was dismissed on 20.05.2026, and there is no change in circumstances. Considering the grave nature of the offence, the huge amount involved in the case, the fact that the investigation is not yet complete, and the submission of the prosecution that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required, as well as the fact that the other accused are yet to be arrested and the remaining amount is yet to be recovered, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date: 2026.05.27
18:15:02 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026.

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,*

“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

TNRM000001772026



Copy to
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.,

PRESENT: Thiru. T.Balamurugan, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Additional District Judge, Paramakudi.

Wednesday, the 27th day of May 2026

Crl.M.P.No.155/2026
(CNR No.TNRM-00-000178-2026)

1. Jegan, (aged 36),
S/o.Naganathan.

2. Kaviyaran, (aged 42)
S/o.Santhanam.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1, 3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.

in Cr.No.219/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 25.05.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before this court, in the presence of Thiru.K.Senthilkumar, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1, A3 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.219/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3), BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:44:46 +0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 24.05.2026 at about 3.30 p.m., while the defacto complainant was walking near Nochivayal Road, the petitioners and another person were allegedly speaking about the defacto complainant, which was questioned by him. At that time, all the accused abused him in filthy language and assaulted him with their hands, liquor bottles, and a stick on his head, mouth, shoulder, and cheek, causing injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences, and on the basis of the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence they prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that there are totally 3 accused involved in this case and that the petitioners are arrayed as A1, A3 and that A2 is in Judicial custody. He further submitted that the injured was discharged from hospital on 26.05.2026 and that four witnesses have been examined and that no previous case is pending against the 2nd petitioner/A3 and that three previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner/A1 for the offences punishable u/s.506(i), 323, 294(b) IPC



including TNPPDL Act.

5. Considering the submissions made on either side and on perusal of the materials available on record, it is seen that the injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. It is further seen that the investigation is almost completed and substantial materials have already been collected by the Investigation Officer. No previous criminal case is stated to be pending against the 2nd petitioner/A3 and three previous criminal cases are pending against the 1st petitioner/A1.

6. Taking into condition the facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and the absence of criminal antecedents against the 2nd petitioner/A3, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the 2nd petitioner/A3 and not inclined to grand anticipatory bail to the 1st petitioner/A1 since three previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner/A1.

7. In the result, the anticipatory bail is granted to the 2nd petitioner/A3 with following conditions.

8. Accordingly, the 2nd petitioner/A3 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**; on condition that the 2nd petitioner/A3 shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like



sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the 2nd petitioner/A3 and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the 2nd petitioner/A3 shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the 2nd petitioner/A3 shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the 2nd petitioner/A3 shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the 2nd petitioner/A3 in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the 2nd petitioner/A3 is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005)**



AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

As far as, this petition was dismissed in respect of the 1st petitioner/A1.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 27th day of May 2026.

Digitally signed
by
BALAMURUGAN
T
Date:
2026.05.27
18:45:05 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
27.05.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioners through their Counsel.