



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

**Crl.M.P.No.441/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000761.-2026)**

Manimaran, (aged 38),
S/o.Arumugam.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S, Ramanathapuram.,
in Cr.No.90/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.03.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A, B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, M.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and
upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody
on 22.02.2026 by the respondent police in Cr.No.90/2026 for the offence punishable
U/s. 25(1A) Arms Act, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, while the respondent police were on
patrolling duty on 22.02.2026 at about 10.00 a.,m they found the petitioner in
possession of a deadly weapon, in a public place and also threatened the public.



Further, he was apprehended along with the weapon, and in consequence thereof, a case was registered against him.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that he was arrested on 22.02.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 16 days and that most of the investigation has been completed and that the earlier application was dismissed by this Court on 03.03.2026 and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not abscond and hence, he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.02.2026 and that the property has been recovered and that the earlier application was dismissed on 03.03.2026 and that four witnesses have been examined. He further submitted that six previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.506(ii) , 436 IPC and 351(3), 303(2) BNS including section 12 of TNG Act, 3 of PPDL Act and he was declared as History Sheetor Rowdy and he is a repeated offender and that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every possibility that he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Earlier application was dismissed on 03.03.2026 and the petitioner is in



judicial custody for more than 15 days and that there are criminal antecedents against the petitioner. However, considering that the period of incarceration and also that substantial part of the investigation is complete, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I,Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) Considering the facts of the previous cases, the petitioner **shall report before the Inspector of Police, Melur Police Station, Madurai District daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

MEHBUB ALI KHAN A K

Digitally signed by
MEHBUB ALI KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:18:28 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram (through e-mail)

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

Inspector of police, Melur P.S., Madurai Disitric,

The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.DDF.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

**Monday, the 09th day of March 2026
CrI.M.P.No.386/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000646-2026)**

Iyyandurai, (aged 32),
S/o.Rajapandi.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Sayalgudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.73/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 25.02.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.R.Silambarasan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both side arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.02.2026. in Cr.No.73/2026 on the file of the respondent police for the offence punishable U/s. 4(1)(A) of TNP Act, 2024, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that at the time of patrolling conducted by the respondent police on 23.02.22026 at 15.00 hours, they found that the petitioner has illegally possessed 10 bottles of liquor (each 180 ml) kept in a white sack nearby



his house, for the purpose of selling at high prices in public, without getting any permit or licence and the petitioner was apprehended by the police and the properties involved in this case have been secured along with sales amount of Rs.600 and in consequence, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that he was arrested on 23.02.2026 and he is in judicial custody for the past 15 days and that the properties involved in this case have been recovered by the police and that most of the investigation has been completed and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and he would not abscond and also not tamper or threaten the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.02.2026 and that the properties (10 bottles of liquor (each 180 ml) and Rs.600/-) involved in this case have been recovered. He further submitted that six witnesses have been examined and that two previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s. 307 IPC including Arms Act and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he indulge in similar offence again.

5. There are previous cases pending against the petitioner and he is in judicial custody for more than 14 days. However, considering the nature of offence and period of incarceration and the fact that the properties have been secured and that



substantial part of the investigation is complete, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Musnif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) as non-refundable to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram;**

iii) **that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;**

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) **Considering the facts of the previous cases, the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S., daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB
ALI KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:18:43
+0530



vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR- SCW- 5560;**

ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:18:50 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The District Munsif – cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi, (through E-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,
The Inspector of Police, Sayalgudi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.(through E-mail)



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: **Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M. L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR, `**
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.423/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000726-2026)

1. Suriya @ Suriyanarayanan, (aged 30),
S/o. Sakthivel.

2. Rajapandi, (aged 36),
S/o. Chandran.

3. Duraipandi, (aged not mentioned)
S/o. Azhagar.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Rameswaram Temple P.S.,
in Cr.No.10/2026.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 03.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.S.Srikanth, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and
upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/A1 to A3 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent
police in Cr.No.10/2026 for the offences punishable U/s. 189(2), 126(2), 296(b),
115(2), 118(1), 351(2) BNS, seek anticipatory bail.

**MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K**

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB
ALI KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:18:59
+0530



2. The case of the prosecution is that on 28.02.2026 at about 4.55 p.m., when the defacto complainant was proceeding on a two-wheeler, the petitioners and others waylaid him, abused him in filthy language, attacked him with a stone, an iron rod, and their hands, and also threatened him with dire consequences . The occurrence is stated to have arisen due to the defacto complainant having spoken about the head of A1, namely Basakaran. Based on the complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was not discharged voluntarily from the hospital and that he had sustained only simple injuries and that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 5 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A3 and that others are still absconding. He further submitted that the injured is taking treatment as in-patient at Govt. Medical College Hospital, but he had sustained simple injury on his neck, however he is not discharged from the hospital and that five witnesses have been examined and that two previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner for the offence u/s.506(ii) IPC including 185 of MV Act and that one previous case is



pending against the 1st petitioner for the offence u/s.506(ii) IPC.

5. There are criminal antecedents against the petitioners. However, considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that though the victim is not discharged from the hospital, he had only sustained simple injury as per prosecution and also that substantial part of the investigation is over, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **District Munsif – cum - Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram**; on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Considering the facts of the previous cases, the petitioners shall report before the **Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that they



shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:19:11 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026

➤ *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*

➤ ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail),
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S., Ramanathapuram
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Temple P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: **Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M.,**
PG.D.PM/IR,Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

Crl.M.P.No.431/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000741-2026)

Suriya, (aged 25),
S/o.Kannan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Devipattinam P.S.,
in Cr.No.222/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 04.03.2026 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Raja Sahul Hameed, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following...

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 15.02.2026 by the respondent police in Cr.No.222/2025 for the offences punishable U/s. 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 118(1), 109(1), 351(3), 49 of BNS r/w 3 of TNPPDL Act, seeks bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 30.11.2025, at about 8.00 to 8.30 a.m., the defacto complainant and his friends, namely Vishwa, Balamurugan,

MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB
ALI KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:19:38
+0530



and Selvaraj were traveling in a car bearing Registration No. TN 47 AP 5150 near Mariyam Bakery, heading towards Nathipalam. At that time, the petitioner and others arrived on a scooter bearing Registration No. TN 65 AT 3715, carrying deadly weapons, and waylaid the car. They abused the occupants in filthy language. A1 to A3 allegedly attacked them with a sword and sickle, causing grievous injuries, and attempted to commit murder the defacto complainant, reportedly due to the earlier murder of A1's brother. They also threatened the complainant and his friends with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, the present case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the petitioner was arrested on 15.02.2026 and that the earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.372/2026 on 27.02.2026 and that the injured were discharged from hospital . He further submitted that that some of the co-accused (A7 and A4, A5) were released on bail and anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court on 09.01.2026 and 19.01.2026 respectively and that A2 was released on anticipatory bail by this Court and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this Court and he will not abscond and also not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:19:41 +0530



4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 7 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1 and he is the main accused in this case. A3 and A7 were moved for bail before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court and A7 only released on bail in CrI.O.P. (MD) No.23634/2025 on 09.01.2026 and also A4, A5 were released on anticipatory bail in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.23005/2025 on 19.01.2026 and that A2 was released on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrIM.P.No.168/2026 on 28.01.2026 and A3 is in judicial custody on Goondas Act and that A6 is a juvenile in conflict with law.. He further submitted that the earlier bail application was dismissed on 27.02.2026 and he is the main accused in this case and that four injured persons have been discharged from the hospital and that the damage value at Rs.5,00,000/- and that 11 witnesses have been examined. He further submitted that four previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.387, 427,506(ii), 302 IPC including Arms Act, TNPPDL Act (2) and TNPHW Act and he is declared as History Sheeted Rowdy and that he objected to release the petitioner on bail.

5. Earlier application was dismissed on 27.02.2026. There is specific overt-act against the petitioner and that there are criminal antecedents against the petitioner. However, considering the nature of offence, the period of incarceration, and the fact that the injured persons have been discharged from

MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB
ALI KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:19:45
+0530



the hospital and some of the co-accused have been granted bail and anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of the Madras High Court and this Court, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I,Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) **the petitioner shall stay at Viluppuram District and report before the learned Judicial Magistrate NO.I, Viluppuram daily once at 10.30 am until further orders. The petitioner shall not file any relaxation petition before this court for the next two months** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

**MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K** Digitally signed
by MEHBUB
ALI KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:19:48 +0530



vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

MEHBUB
ALI KHAN A
K

Digitally signed by
MEHBUB ALI KHAN A
K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:19:52 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
09.03..2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail)
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Viluppuram, Viluppuram District,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram, (through e-mail_

TNRM010007412026





IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

Crl.M.P.No.442/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000763-2026)

Aravarasan, (aged 42),
S/o.Pandian.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.95/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.03.2025 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 324(2), 351(2) of BNS, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, both parties are neighbours and there was an altercation between them regarding the flowing of waste water. On 02.09.2025 at about 3.00 p.m., the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in



filthy language, damaged the tiles of the house, and also threatened him with dire consequences. Based on the complaint, the case was registered

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that most of the investigation is complete and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and he would not abscond. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the material part of the investigation is complete and that two previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.506(ii) IPC, 131, 351(3) BNS including TNPPDL Act and his name is in History Sheet and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is a possibility that he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. There are previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that substantial part of the investigation is over, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the



date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioners/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stand cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Considering the facts of the previous cases, the **petitioner shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and



the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

**MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K**

Digitally signed by
MEHBUB ALI KHAN
A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:20:24 +0530

**Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026.**

- ***This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”***
- **** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.***

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)
The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.**

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

Crl.M.P.No.443/2026

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000764-2026)

Aravarasan, (aged 42),
S/o.Pandian.

...Petitioner/Accused No.10

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.94/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.03.2025 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A10 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.94/2025 for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 296(b), 131, 351(3) of BNS r/w section 3(1) PPD L Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution on 02.09.2025 at about 9.40 p.m, the defacto complainant was buying liquor bottle from a Tasmac at that time all the accused abused him in filthy language and attacked with empty liquor bottles .

MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K

Digitally
signed by
MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:20:35
+0530



Later, all the accused went to the defacto complainant's house along with weapons and abused him in filthy language and damaged the window glasses of his house and also damaged the glasses of a lorry bearing Reg.No.TN 43P7357 and its damage cost of Rs.25,000/- and also threatened with dire consequences and threatened them with dire consequences and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that most of the investigation is complete and that co-accused (A3, A8) were released on bail and that A2, A4, A6 and A7 were released on anticipatory bail by this Court and that and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any by this court and he would not abscond. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 10 accused are involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A10. A3, A8 were released on bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.2132/2025 dated 15.09.2025 and A2, A4, A6, A7 were released on anticipatory bail by this Court on various days and others are absconding and that material part of the investigation is complete.



Further he contended that the windows glass of the house is damaged and its damage worth about Rs.25,000/- and that two previous cases are pending against the petitioner for the offences u/s.506(ii) IPC, 324(2), 351(2) BNS and his name in History Sheet and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is a possibility that he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. There are previous cases pending against the petitioner. However, considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that substantial part of the investigation is over and also that some of the co-accused were released on bail and anticipatory bail, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following stringent conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stand cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

MEHBUB
ALI
KHAN A
K

Digitally
signed by
MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date:
2026.03.09
17:20:41
+0530



ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) to the Credit of in Crime No.94/2025 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi on the file of the respondent police station, without prejudice to his defence before the Judicial Magistrate concerned.**

iii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) Considering the facts of the previous cases, **the petitioner shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as



laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

**MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K** Digitally signed
by MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:20:49 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026.

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, (through e-mail)
The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.444/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000765-2026)

Palanimurugan, (aged 29),
S/o.Naganathan.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Elanchembur P.S.,
in Cr.No.15/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.T.M.Arun Kannan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon
hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following...

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent
police in Cr.No.15/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.67 of IT Act and 25(1A)
Arms Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.02.2024, when the respondent
police were viewing Instagram messages, they came across a reel showing a person



illegally possessing a sword. Upon enquiry about the said person, it was found that the petitioner had posted the said photo/message during the year 2023. Consequently, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that, the petitioner is in no way connected with the offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that most of the investigation is complete and that no previous case is pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions and he would not threaten the witnesses and he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that material part of the witnesses have been examined and that no previous case pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that substantial part of the investigation is over and also that there is no antecedents against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of



a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stand cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner is directed to immediately remove the photos from his Instagram account and to post an apology along with an undertaking that he will not indulge in such acts in future.

iii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that **the petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, Respondent P.S daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:21:12 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram,
09.03.2026.

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Elanchembur P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: **Thiru. A.K.MEHBUB ALI KHAN, B.L.M., L.L.M., PG.D.PM/IR,**
Dip.in.J.J & J.Psy., PG.D.D.F.,
Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Monday, the 09th day of March 2026

CrI.M.P.No.445/2026
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000766-2026)

Murugan, (aged 35),
S/o.Muthu.

....Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Mudukulathur P.S.,
in Cr.No.54/2026

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.03.2026 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.T.M.Arun Kannan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon
hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in
Cr.No.54/2026 for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(3) BNS
r/w Section 4 of TNPHW Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 03.03.2026 at about 18.15 hours the
petitioner and A2 came to the defacto complainant's petty shop and buying things
worth about Rs.45/- and tried to go without paying the bill and it was questioned by



the defacto complainant. At that time, both the accused abused her in filthy language and assaulted her with bamboo stick on her cheek and pulled her hair and pushed her down and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequence and on complaint the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the injured was treated as an out-patient and that most of the investigation is complete and that there is no previous case against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses and hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 2 accused are involved in this case and this petitioner is arrayed as A1 and that A2 is still absconding. He further submitted that the injured was treated as an out-patient and that five witnesses have been examined and that there is no previous case against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured was treated as an out-patient and that substantial part of the investigation is complete and also that there is no criminal antecedent, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of



arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur**; on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner



released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09th day of March 2026.

MEHBUB
ALI KHAN
A K

Digitally signed
by MEHBUB ALI
KHAN A K
Date: 2026.03.09
17:21:40 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
09.03.2026

- *This order is available in E-Courts Official Web Site,
“ <https://districts.ecourts.gov.in/case status/case number>” (or) use “scan QR code”*
- ** Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from
Android or iOS.*

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur, (through e-mail)
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Mudukulathur P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.