TNRM000000492025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025
Crl.M.P.No.74/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000049-2025)

Ramakrishnan, (aged 45)
S/o.Kamaraiah ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspertor of Police,
Rameswaram Jetty P.S.,
in Cr.No.24/2025 ….Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 6.5.2025 prays to extension of time to produce the sureties in Crl.M.P.No.897/2025 dated 22.04.2025.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, B.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Tr.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandian, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.897/2025 dated 22.04.2025.

2. Heard, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor .

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:02 +0530

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.897/2025 dated 22.04.2025. with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate Rameswaram within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. He could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court due to illness and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order imposed in Crl.M.P.No.897/2025 dated 22.04.2025.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.897/2025 dated 22.04.2025 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.

5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** and to produce the sureties as ordered in Crl.M.P.No.897/2025 dated 22.04.2025 is hereby extended for 15 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged. No further extension of time will be granted.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1000/-** (Rupees Thousand only) on or before 14.05.2025 to the District

KAVITHA
Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:06 +0530

TNRM000000492025

Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram on such payment of time is extended till 22.05.2025. No further extension of time will be granted.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:11 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Jetty P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram

TNRM000000342025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION CRIMINAL JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Criminal Judge,
Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025
Crl.M.P.No.53/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000034-2025)

Gowthamraj, (aged 23),
S/o. Manoharan. ...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Uchipuli P.S.,
in Cr.No.65/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 6.5.2025 prays to extension of time to produce the sureties in Crl.M.P.No.1092/2025 dated 17.04.2025.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Ravikumar, B.Sc.,B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Tr.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandian, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1092/2025 dated

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:11:08 +0530

TNRM000000342025

17.04.2025.

2. Heard, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor .

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.1092/2025 dated 17.04.2025 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned District Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. He could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court due to illness and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order imposed in Crl.M.P.No.1092/2025 dated 17.04.2025.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.1092/2025 dated 17.04.2025 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.

5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** and to produce the sureties as

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:11:14 +0530

TNRM000000342025

ordered in Crl.M.P.No.1092/2025 dated 17.04.2025 is hereby extended for 15 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged. No further extension of time will be granted.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1000/-** (Rupees Thousand only) on or before 14.05.2025 to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram on such payment of time is extended till 22.05.2025. No further extension of time will be granted.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:11:20 +0530

Vacation Criminal Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through E-Mail

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,
The Petitioners through his Counsel.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000000402025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025
Crl.M.P.No.75/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000040-2025)

1. Vijay @ Eli, (aged 27),
   S/o.Selvaraj.

2. Marimuthu, (aged 31),
   S/o.Selvaraj.

3. Kooripandi, (aged 30),
   S/o.Krishnan.

4. Balaguru @ Gurunathabalan, (aged 30),
   S/o. Muralisundaram. ...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4.

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikarai P.S.
in Cr.No.192/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 6.5.2025 prays to extension of time to produce the sureties in Crl.M.P.No.1126/2025 dated 24.04.2025.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.Muneeshwarn, B.A.,B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Tr.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandian, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:11:39 +0530

following:

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioners for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1126/2025 dated 24.04.2025.

2. Heard, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned Public Prosecutor .

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners were granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.1126/2025 dated 24.04.2025. with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. They could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order imposed in Crl.M.P.No.1126/2025 dated 24.04.2025.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by the Principal District Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.1126/2025 dated 24.04.2025 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:11:45 +0530

TNRM000000402025

5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioners seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioners pays each **Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** and to produce the sureties as ordered in Crl.M.P.No.1126/2025 dated 24.04.2025 is hereby extended for 15 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged. No further extension of time will be granted.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioners pays **Rs.1000/-** (Rupees Thousand only) on or before 14.05.2025 to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram on such payment of time is extended till 22.05.2025. No further extension of time will be granted.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:11:49 +0530

Vacation Criminal Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail
To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Judicial Magistrate, Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikarai P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000000412025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION CRIMINAL JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Criminal Judge,
Sessions Judge,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025
Crl.M.P.No.69/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000041-2025)

1. Kalidoss (A1) , (aged 25/2024),
S/o. Chellachamy

2. Vaneeswaran (@ Kaleeswaran, (A3) (aged 38/25)
S/o. Muthuvel

..... Petitioners /Accused (A1, A3)

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Thiruppullani P.S.,
Cr.No. 52/2025 ..... Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.05.2025 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. S.J. Shek Ibrahim, M.A.,B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of and of Tr.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandian, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following...

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:24 +0530

TNRM000000412025

ORDER

The petitioner who was granted bail vide order of this Court in Crl.M.P.No. 885/2025 dated 16.04.2025 with a condition to report and sign before the learned District Munsif - cum -Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioners have now filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the petitioner / 1st accused has been complying the condition before the learned District Munsif - cum -Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., from 23.04.2025 to till date and the petitioner / accused A3 has been complying the condition before Thiruppullani P.S. daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m. from 23.04.2025 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before this court daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m. from 23.04.2025 to 07.08.2025 for the past 13 days.

Considering the fact that the petitioners have already granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No. 885/2025 dated 16.04.2025 that the petitioner / 1st accused has been complying the condition before the learned District Munsif -

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:33 +0530

cum -Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m., and the petitioner / accused A3 has been complying the condition before Thiruppullani P.S. daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m. for a period of one month and the petitioners are complying the condition for only 13 days. Now the petitioners prays to modify the condition, this court is inclined to modify the condition that the petitioners are directed to appear and sign before the petitioner / 1st accused has been complying the condition before the learned District Munsif - cum -Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily once at 10.30 a.m. and the petitioner / accused A3 has been complying the condition before Thiruppullani P.S. daily once at 10.30 a.m. for further remaining period.

In the result, the condition is modified that the petitioners are directed to appear and sign before the the petitioner / 1st accused has been complying the condition before the learned District Munsif - cum -Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily once at 10.30 a.m. and the petitioner / accused A3 has been complying the condition before Thiruppullani P.S. daily once at 10.30 a.m. for further remaining period.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:38 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

TNRM000000412025

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,
The District Munsif – cum – Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thiruppullani P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:42 +0530

TNRM000000072025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.N0.20/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000007-2025)

Meenatchi, (aged 42),
W/o. Vikram. ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
in Cr.No.84/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.05.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A. Ramalingam, B.Sc,. B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L, represeing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.03.2025 in Cr.No.84/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.303(ii) NH BNS, seeks bail.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:06:55 +0530

2. The prosecution case is that, on 08.01.2025 at about 5.15 p.m, the defacto complainant was travelling in a bus go to T.Pudukottai and at the time of going at Atruppalam stop, her 3 ½ sovereign of gold chain was stolen and on complaint, the case was registered

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and she is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the petitioner was arrested on 28.03.2025 and she is in judicial custody for the past 42 days and that the investigation is almost over. He further submitted that the earlier application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CIS.Crl.M.P.No.250/2025 on 23.04.2025 and that the petitioner is released on bail, she is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and she would not abscond and also not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was formally arrested on 26.03.2025 and remanded to judicial custody on 28.03.2025 and that five witnesses have been examined and the investigation is not yet completed. He further submitted that similar nature of 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on bail, she would indulge in similar

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:11 +0530

offence again.

5. Considering that grave nature of offence and period of incarceration and also that the investigation is not yet completed and that there are bad antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 0 8th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:16 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail
To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The petitioners through his Counsel.

TNRM000000072025



TNRM000000012025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.1/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000001-2025)

1. Ajithkumar, (aged 27),
   S/o. Muniyasamy.

2. Ramkumar, (aged 30),
   S/o.Murugesan. ...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikarai P.S.,
in Cr.No.197/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.5.2025 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.k.R.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.A., B.L., representing on behalf of learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioners A1, A2 who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.197/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:12:55 +0530

punishable U/s.303(2) BNS r/w Section 21(1) MMDR Act, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, based on information, the defacto complainant along with police party went to the occurrence place on 16.04.2025 at about 14.15 hours and found that the petitioners and others were collecting the ½ unit of savadu sand in a Tractor bearing Reg.No.TN 63 AZ 1506 along with Tractor bearing Reg.No.TN 63 AZ 1508 with help of JCB bearing Reg.No.TN 45 AM 7441 without getting any permit or licence from the concerned authorities and on seeing the police the petitioners were flee away from the scene of occurrence and the petitioner/A3 and A4 were apprehended by the police and also properties involved in this case have been secured and on complaint , the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that the petitioners are divers. Further he contended that the properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police and that investigation is almost over and that co-accused were released on bail by the principal sessions Court in Crl.M.P.No. 1119/2025 and 1121/2025 on 22.04.2025 and Earlier application was dismissed by this principal sessions Court in Crl.M.P.No.1208/2025 dated 25.04.2025 that if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they are ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and they would not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

KAVITHA
Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:00 +0530

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 4 accused are involved in this case and the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. A3 and A4 were released on bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No. 1119/2025 and 1121/2025 on 22.04.2025 . He further submitted that the petitioners are driver and that the properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police and that six witnesses have already been examined by the investigation officer and that If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, there is possibility that they would tamper or threaten the evidence and to indulge similar offence again.

5. The sand involved in this case is river sand. Considering the grave nature of offence and also that the investigation is not yet complete and that this court is not entertained to grant anticipatory bail in similar nature of offence and that it would affect that the environmental resources of this country, and there is no change of circumstances from the earlier application was dismissed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 8th day of May 2025

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date:

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

TNRM000000012025



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikarai P.S.,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:09 +0530

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.11/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000065-2025)

Aakash, (aged 24),
S/o. Mathiyalagan. ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Parthibanur P.S.,
in Cr.No.52/2025 ...Respondent / Complainant

Petition dated 06.05.2025 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S. Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.52/2025 for the offences punishable U/s.4(1) (A) TNP Act 2024 , seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that at the time of police patrolling on

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:21 +0530

10.04.2025 and found that the petitioner has illegally possessed 28 bottles of liquor (each 180 ml) contained in a two wheeler without getting any permit or licence for the purpose of selling at high prices in public and on seeing the police the petitioner flee away from the scene of occurrence and red-handed by the police and he is having sales amount of Rs.600/- and the properties involved in this case have been secured and in consequence, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further contended that the properties involved in this case have been secured and that the investigation is almost over and that earlier bail application was dismissed by the principal Sessions Court in Crl.M.P.No.1118/2025 dated 29.04.2025 and that the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the properties involved in this case have been secured and that the investigation is not yet completed and that four previous cases are pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, there is possibility that he would threaten the witnesses and tamper the evidence.

5. Considering the nature of offence and that the investigation is not yet completed and there are bad antecedent against the petitioner, and there is no change

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:26 +0530

of circumstances from the earlier application was dismissed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:32 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanur P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000000062025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahalir Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram .

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.17/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000006-2025)

Meenatchi, (aged 42),
W/o. Vikram. ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Emaneswaram P.S.,
in Cr.No. 57/2025

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.05.2025 U/s. 483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A. Ramalingam, B.Sc,. B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and f Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.03.2025 in Cr.No. 57/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.303(ii) BNS, seeks bail.

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:27 +0530

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 16.03.2025 at about 1.00 p.m, when the defacto complainant was travelling from Parmakudi to Siragikottai in TMT bus and at the bus stop of the Manjalpattinam, the defacto complainant's money purse was stolen, which was contained 9 ½ sovereign of gold chains, ring and amount of Rs.6,000/- of her and on complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and she is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the petitioner was arrested on 28.03.2025 and she is in judicial custody for the past 42 days and that the investigation is almost over. He further submitted that the earlier application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CIS.Crl.M.P.No.253/2025 on 23.04.2025 and that the petitioner is released on bail, she is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and she would not abscond and also not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 21.03.2025 and that the investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed on 05.04.2025. He further submitted that similar nature of 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and that if the

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:32 +0530

petitioner is released on bail, she would indulge in similar offence again.

5. Considering that grave nature of offence and period of incarceration and that even though charge sheet has been filed, she is having several previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 0 8th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:38 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail
To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,
The petitioners through her Counsel.

TNRM000000052025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahalir Neethimandram,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram,

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.18/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000005-2025)

Meenachi, (aged 42),
W/o. Vikram. ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
in Cr.No.370/2024 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 06.05.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A. Ramalingam, B.Sc,. B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.03.2025 in Cr.No. 370/2024 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.303(ii) NH BNS, seeks bail.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:48 +0530

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 29.11.2024 at about 2.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant was travelling in a bus No.26 from Parmakudi to Abiramam, her 6 sovereign of gold chain was stolen and and complaint, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and she is in no way connected with the offence. He further contended that the petitioner was arrested on 28.03.2025 and she is in judicial custody for the past 42 days and that the investigation is almost over. He further submitted that the earlier application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CIS.Crl.M.P.No.252/2025 on 23.04.2025 and that the petitioner is released on bail, she is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and she would not abscond and also not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioner was formally arrested on 26.03.2025 and remanded to judicial custody on 28.03.2025 and that the investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed on 17.04.2025. He further submitted that similar nature of 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on bail, she would indulge in similar offence again.

KAVITHA
Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:52 +0530

5. Considering that grave nature of offence and period of incarceration and that even though charge sheet has been filed, she is having several previous cases, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:07:58 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail
To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The petitioners through her Counsel.

TNRM000000702025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.32/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000070-2025)

Veerasakthi, (aged 24),
W/o. Maheswaran. ...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Emaneswaram P.S.,
in Cr.No.78/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.05.2025 U/s.482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S. Madasamy, M.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.296(b), 118(1) of BNS and Section 4 of

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:43 +0530

TNPHW Act in Cr.No.32/2025 on the file of the respondent police, has filed this petition seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, both parties are close relatives and there is a civil dispute between the both parties and a civil case is pending, whilestly, A2 was constructing a bath room in dispute land, which was restrained by the defacto complainant. Due to which on 25.04.2025 the petitioners abused her in filthy language and A1 assaulted with Karuvalem kattai on her let knee and face and caused injuries and on complaint, the case was registered..

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case by the defacto complainant. He further contended that the injured was discharged from hospital and that the investigation is almost over. No previous case is pending against the petitioner and that Co- accused was released on bail before the Judicial Magistrate, Parmakudi in Crl.M.P.No.394/2025 dated 28.04.2025 and that if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he will not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that both parties are close relatives and that the injured was discharged from hospital and that five witnesses have already been examined by the Investigation Officer and that no

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:47 +0530

previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. Considering the nature of offence and considering the fact that the injured was discharged from the hospital and stage of investigation and the fact that release of co-accused and that there is no bad antecedents against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with the following conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned and if the petitioner/accused did not surrender within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail stands cancelled automatically and on further conditions that:

ii) that the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the Inspector of Police, respondent police station daily once at 10.30 a.m for a period of one month**

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:50 +0530

and on further condition that they shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:13:56 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000000292025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram
Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.35/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000029-2025)

Sivaboreygowda, (aged 41)
S/o. Rameygowda. ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.71/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 6.5.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K. Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M. Karunaivel Pandiyan B.Com.B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.04.2025 in Cr.No.71/2025 on the file of the

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:08:16 +0530

respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.281, 110, 105(ii) BNS, seeks bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:08:21 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

TNRM000000252025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram.
Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.51/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000025-2025)

Saravanan, (aged 30),
S/o. Narayanan. ....Petitioner/Accused NO.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Parthibanur P.S.,
Cr.No.37/2025. ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 6.5.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S. Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M. Karunaivel Pandiyan B.Com.B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A1 who was arrested and remanded to judicial

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:08:33 +0530

custody on 26.04.2025 in Cr.No37/2025 on the file of the respondent police station for the offences punishable U/s.303(2) BNS and Section 21(1) MMDR Act, seeks bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:08:38 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

TNRM000000202025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram
Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.60/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000020-2025)

Manoj @ Manojraj, (aged 21),
S/o. Pandithurai. ...Petitioner/Accused No.6

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kadaladi P.S.,
in Cr.No.17/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 6.5.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.Maharanathan the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M. Karunaivel Pandiyan B.Com.B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A6 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 23.02.2025 in Cr.No.17/2025 on the file of the respondent

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:08:50 +0530

police for the offences punishable U/s.49, 126(2), 191(2), 191(3), 296(b), 103, 351(3), 61(2) BNS, seeks bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:08:55 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

TNRM000000482025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.62/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000048-2025)

Kottaisamy, (aged 32),
S/o. Chairmen ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.66/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 6.5.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Chandhru BA.,L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M. Karunaivel Pandiyan B.Com.B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:08 +0530

TNRM000000482025

ORDER

The petitioner/ accused A1 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.04.2025 in Cr.No.66/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.123, 62 BNS and section 24(1) COTPA Act on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:13 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
08.05.2025

TNRM000000352025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 08th day of May 2025
Crl.M.P.No.77/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000035-2025)

Mathan @ Pradeepan, (aged 21),
S/o. Muthuchellam. ...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Uchipuli P.S.,
in Cr.No.65/2025 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.05.2025 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Ravikumar, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.KR.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passes the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/A2 who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.05.2025 in Cr.No.65/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.189(2), 126(2), 296(b), 118(2), 351(3), 109 BNS, seeks bail.

KAVITHA
Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:26 +0530

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioner, in this regard a case was registered and the same was acquitted in favour of the defacto complainant on 21.03.2025. Subsequently on 28.03.2025 at about 2.20 p.m, at that time the petitioner and 4 others followed them behind in two wheelers and the petitioners kicked the defacto complainant's vehicle and he fell down , at that time A1 tried to attack the defacto complainant fortunately the defacto complainant tried to run away and 4 persons attacked him with sword, sickle on his backside, neck and then A1 attacked him with sword on his left palm and further A1 attacked him on his head, back side head and scalp and tried to commit murder and caused grevious injuries and further threatened him with dire consequence and a complaint was given by the defacto complainant, so the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence and that the injured was discharged from the hospital and that the investigation is almost over. He further submitted that the petitioner has already obtained anticipatory bail before the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.1041/2025 on 16.04.2025 and after he was not produced surety in time and hence he was arrested on 03.05.2025 and he is in judicial custody. He further submitted that co-accused A3 was released on bail and bail by

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:30 +0530

the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.935/2025 on 09.04.2025 and that if the petitioner is released on bail, he is ready to obey the conditions imposed if any and he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that the totally 3 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A2. A3 was released on bail by this Court in Crl.M.P No.935/2025 on 09.04.2025 . He further submitted that the petitioner has already obtained anticipatory bail before the Principal Sessions Court, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.1041/2025 on 16.04.2025 and after he was not produced surety in time, hence he was arrested on 03.05.2025 and he is in judicial custody. He further contended that the injured was discharged from the hospital on 05.04.2025 and that five witnesses have been examined. He further submitted that one previous case is pending against the petitioner .

5. Considering the nature of offence and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and the fact that substantial part of the investigation is over and also considering that this court has already granted anticipatory bail to the petitioner and after he did not produce the surety, hence he was arrested. Considering the above, this court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner with the following conditions;

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:35 +0530

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of a bond for a sum Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the learned Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month a**nd on further condition that they shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:39 +0530

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.269 BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:45 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram,
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail:
To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram

TNRM000000042025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: **Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,**
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 8th day of May 2025

Crl.M.P.No.02/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000004-2025)

Palaninathan, (aged 32).
S/o. Kanthan. ....Petitioner/Accused **No.1**

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Kadaladi P.S.,
Cr.No.12/2025. ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 06.05.2025 U/s..482 BNSS to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Tmt.M. Muhadharshini, B.A., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.K.R.M.Karunaivel Pandiyan, B.Com., B.L., representing on behalf of the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police in Cr.No.12/2025 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.303(2) BNS and Section 21(1) MMDR Act, has filed this petition seeks

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:14:13 +0530

anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, at the time of patrolling by the respondent police on 03.02.2025 at about 4.30 a.m and found that A1 and A2 have illegally transported and were dumping 3 unit of river sand in front of A4's new house construction at the back side of the Chelliyamman kovil and on seeing the police A1 and A2 flee away from the scene of occurrence along with unregistered Tractor and at the time of occurrence the petitioner/A3 was standing there and enquired about the sand him and made confession and he was arrested by the police and the sand only secured and in consequence, the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. He further submitted that the sand was recovered by the respondent police and most of the investigation is over and that earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P.430/2025 and 513/2025 dated 13.02.2025 and 05.03.2025 and that if the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail, he would not tamper or threaten the witnesses. Hence he prays to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor contended that totally 4 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 and A3 were released on bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.484/2025 and Crl.M.P.No.396/2025 dated 13.02.2025 and 12.02.2025. A4 is still absconding. He further submitted that the petitioner is owner

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:14:18 +0530

of the tractor and that the 3 unit of river sand only secured and that the tractor involved in this case is not yet recovered. He further submitted that 8 witnesses have been examined by the investigation officer and 10 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is possibility that he would indulge similar offence again.

5. Considering the grave nature of offence and that the tractor involved in this case is yet to recover from the petitioner and also considering the fact that though the substantial part of the investigation is over, several cases are pending against the petitioner and there is no change of circumstances from the earlier application was dismissed, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA
Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:14:23 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram,
08.05.2025

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kadaladi P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000000522025

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: Ms. K. KAVITHA, B.A., B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Sessions Judge, Mahaleer Neethimandram
Fast Track Mahila Court,
Ramanathapuram.
Thursday, the 08th day of May 2025
Crl.M.P.No.40/2025
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000052-2025)

Surya, (aged 20),
S/o. Ramalingam ...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kamuthi P.S.,
in Cr.No.37/2024 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 06.05.2025 5 U/s.483 BNSS to grant bail.

This petition coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Kaleeswaran, MCA., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.KR.M. Karunaivel Pandiyan B.Com.B.L., representing on behalf of the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides submissions, this Court passes the following:

KAVITHA Digitally signed by KAVITHA Date: 2025.05.08 19:09:58 +0530

TNRM000000522025

ORDER

The petitioner/sole accused who was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 02.02.2024 in Cr.No.37/2024 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 341, 323,392, 376(D) and 506(ii) IPC, seeks bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 08th day of May 2025.

KAVITHA

Digitally signed by KAVITHA
Date: 2025.05.08 19:10:03 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram,
08.05.2025