



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024  
Crl.M.P.No.241/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000279-2024)**

1.Sugan, (aged 39),  
S/o.Gurusamy.

2.Venkatesh, (aged 35),  
S/o.Chandrababu.

3.Sunil, (aged 24),  
S/o.Gunasekaran.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2,3, 5

/vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,  
Ramanathapuram Forest Range,  
WLOR.No.2/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Anubuchezhiyan, , B.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent in WLOR



No.2/2024 on the file of the respondent for the offences punishable U/s. 2,9, 39, 40, 50, 51, 52 and 57 of Wild Life Act 1972 and r/w section 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 25 of Arms Act 1959, has filed this petition for seeking anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, based on information the respondent went to the occurrence place on 01.05.2024 and collected information from the public that a venison curry bags laid at Peravur road, the 2 persons came in a two wheeler and taken away from the occurrence place. After investigation the petitioner and 4 others committed the offence and the same was admitted by the petitioner on confession. The respondent went to the petitioner's hose and seized the hunting properties like torch light, country made gun-2, and venison head-2, 8 legs and TVS bike and other articles. All the accused have committed deer hunting and buried skin of venison. Hence the charge.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is in no way connected in this case. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Properties have already been secured. Hence, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.



4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. The Officer in-charge, Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram. Further he has contended that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioners is arrayed as A2, A3, A5. A4 has filed anticipatory bail application before this court. A1 was released on bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.180/2024 on 23.05.2024. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. No previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that totally 5 accused involved in this case. he petitioners is arrayed as A2, A3, A5. A4 has filed anticipatory bail application before this court. A1 was released on bail by this Court. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Nature and circumstances, release of Co- accused are considered by this court and come to



conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Ramanathapuram** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate concerned**; If the petitioners/ accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stand cancelled automatically;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioners shall deposit non-refundable amount of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** each to the credit into the Account **No.33658054216 in favour of the Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram at Head Office, State Bank of India in IFSC Code: SBIN 0000908, MICR code:623002004** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court;

iii) that the petitioners shall produce undertaking affidavit that they shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time furnishing sureties;

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in



the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioners shall report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:33:32 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002792024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Ramanathapuram

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Forest Ranger, Forest Range, Ramanathapuram,

The petitioners through their Counsel.

The Officer in-charge, Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.242/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000278-2024)

Venkatesh, (aged 40)  
S/o.Madasamy

...Petitioner/Accused No.11

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,  
Devipattinam P.S.,  
**Cr.No.161/2024**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Vijay, B.,A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.161/2024 of Devipattinam P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.143, 147, 294(b), 323, 324,353,336, 427, 353, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is some previous motive between the both groups due to conduct the temple festival in this regard already complaint was given before the respondent police. Subsequently the petitioner's group conducted temple festival without permission. Due to which on 10.05.2024 at about 11.00 p.m the petitioners and others unlawfully assembled and abused the defacto complainants groups and tried to assault them with stands and hands and threatened them with dire consequence. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Injured discharged from hospital. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there are totally 48 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A11. A2 to A5 were released on anticipatory bail by this court in CrI.M.P.No.163/2024 on 23.05.2024. A1 A6 A7, A8, A9 were released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate NoI, Ramanathapuram . Others are still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and others assaulted them with stands and hands and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital . Material part of the





witnesses has already been examined. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, here are totally 48 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A11. A2 to A5 were released on anticipatory bail by this court. A1 A6 A7, A8, A9 were released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram . Others are still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and others assaulted them with stands and hands and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital . Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, release of Co--accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of



Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

TNRM000002782024



**Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW  
5560);**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A  
IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.30  
19:33:43 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram  
The Judicial Magistrate , Thiruvadanai  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S,  
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District Judge,  
Ramanathapuram

**Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.253/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000284-2024)

Linganathan,(aged 38)  
S/o.Thikkuvijayan,

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Peraiyur P.S., in Cr.No.5/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 28.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim,M.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.469/2024 dated 07.02.2024 with a condition to report before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the

TNRM000002842024



anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi from 11.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 07.05.2024 to 25.05.2024 for the past 19 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 30th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:33:59 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024

TNRM000002842024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Peraiyur P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.

TNRM000002862024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**

Vacation Sessions Judge,

Principal District Judge,

Ramanathapuram

**Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024**

CrI.M.P.No.255/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000286-2024)

Sivamurugan,(aged 24)

S/o.Mookaiya

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Sayalkudi P.S., in Cr.No.128/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 28.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Dharmaraj,B.Com.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under section 439(1)(b) of Cr.P.C to relax the condition which was imposed by this Court on 16.05.2024 CrI.M.P.No. 123/2024.

2. Heard the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor.



3. The Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 24.05.2024 to till date and the petitioner is only bread winner of his family. Hence, the condition already imposed against the petitioner may be relaxed.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that the petitioner has complied the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 24.05.2024 to till date for the past 7 days only, hence the opposed to relax the conditions.

5. On considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State and considering the fact that the petitioner has complied the condition only for 7 days this court is not inclined to relax the condition.

In the result, the petition is dismissed

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 30th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:34:14 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.





**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District Judge,  
Ramanathapuram

**Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024**

Crl.M.PNo.265/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000296-2024)

Mariselvam (aged 39/2024),  
S/o.Cheepan

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Kenikkarai P.S.,  
in Cr.No.214/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 28.05.2024 prays to modified the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.PNo.144/2024 dated 16.05.2024.



2. Heard, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor .

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.144/2024 dated 16.05.2024 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned the Judicial Magistrate No.1I, Ramanathapuram within a period of 15 days from the date of this order. The learned counsel for the petitioner has contented that the Petitioner is ill-health. He could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order imposed in CrI.M.P.No.144/2024 dated 16.05.2024.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.144/2024 dated 16.05.2024 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.

5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner is directed to pay a sum



of Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram and to produce the sureties as ordered in CrI.M.P.No.144/2024 dated 16.05.2024 for which time extension for 15 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram on or before 06.06.2024 to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram and time is extended till 14.06.2024.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:34:29 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.  
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District Judge,  
Ramanathapuram

**Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.266/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000297-2024)

Senthoor, (aged 23)  
S/o.Bose

...Petitioner/Accused No.5

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Sayalkudi P.S., in Cr.No.129/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 28.05.2024 prays to modify the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan,B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The petitioner, who was granted Anticipatory bail vide order of this Court in Crl.M.P.No.12/2024, dated 16.05.2024 with a condition to report before the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders, has now filed this petition seeking to modify the anticipatory bail



condition imposed on him.

The Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was already enlarged on bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.12/2024, Dated 16.5.2024. The petitioner has not complied the condition, due to affected by heart attack and he has produced the medical treatment summary. Therefore the condition No.(iii) may be modified as learned Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi instead of Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram in the conditional order imposed against the petitioner.

The Learned Public Prosecutor has not raised any serious objection to allow the petition.

On considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State the conditional order imposed in CrI.M.P.No.12/2024, dated 16.05.2024 is modified that the petitioner is directed to appear and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate Kadaladi daily twice at 10.30.a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders.

In the result, the condition is modified that the petitioner is directed to appear and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi daily twice at 10.30.a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders and the other conditions passed in the order dated CrI.M.P.No.12/2024, dated 16.05.2024 remain unchanged.

TNRM000002792024



Pronounced by me in open Court this the 30th day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:34:44 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The learned Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi.  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.

TNRM000001112024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM**

**PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
**CrI.M.P.No.96/2024**

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000111-2024)

Prabhakaran (aged.39)  
S/o. Sivanantham

..Petitioners/ Accused

/Vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,  
Rameswaram Town PS  
**in Cr.No.92/2024**

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition filed for seeking bail U/s.439 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy,B.A.,B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

**ORDER**

The petitioner who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 452, 307 IPC in Cr.No.92/2024 has filed this petition seeking to release them on bail.

TNRM000001112024



2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that this petition is not pressed. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date:  
2024.05.30  
19:32:09 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024.



TNRM000002772024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM**

**PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
**Crl.M.P.No.246/2024**

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000277-2024)

1. Kavivelan (aged.21)  
S/o. Poovalingam

2. Mani @ Tamilselvan (aged.21)  
S/o. Karthick @ Karthigairasu

..Petitioners/ Accused No.1, 4

/Vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,  
Nainarkoil PS  
**in Cr.No.94/2024**

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani,B.A.,B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

**ORDER**

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC in Cr No.94/2024 has filed this petition seeking on anticipatory bail.

TNRM000002772024



2. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has made an endorsement that this petition is not pressed. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date:  
2024.05.30  
19:31:46 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024.

TNRM000002882024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM**

**PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
**CrI.M.P.No.259/2024**

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000288-2024)

1. Madhan (aged.34)  
S/o. Rajendran

..Petitioners/ Accused

/Vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,  
Mandapam PS  
**in Cr.No.83/2024**

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

**ORDER**

The petitioner who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 427, 506(ii) IPC in Cr No.83/2024 has filed this petition seeking on anticipatory bail.

TNRM000002882024



2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that thi spetition is not pressed. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:31:57 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
**Vacation Sessions Judge,**  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.256/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000287-2024)

Theivam, (aged 48),  
S/o.Noorullah.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,  
Ramanathapuram Forest Range,  
WLOR.No.2/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, , B.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent in WLOR No.2/2024 on the file of the respondent for the offences punishable U/s. 9, 39, 51, 52 of Wild Life Act 1972 and section 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 25 of Arms Act 1959, has



filed this petition for seeking anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, based on information the respondent went to the occurrence place on 01.05.2024 and collected information from the public that a venison curry bags laid at Peravur road, the 2 persons came in a two wheeler and taken away from the occurrence place. After investigation the petitioner and 4 others committed the offence and the same was admitted by the petitioner on confession. The respondent went to the petitioner's hose and seized the hunting properties like torch light, country made gun-2, and venison head-2, 8 legs and TVS bike and other articles. All the accused have committed deer hunting and buried skin of venison. Hence the charge.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is in no way connected in this case. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Properties have already been secured. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.8/2024 on 16.05.2024. Hence, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that totally 5 accused involved in this



case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. A2, A3, A5 have filed anticipatory bail application before this court. A1 was released an anticipatory bail by this court in Crl.M.P.No.180/2024 on 23.05.2024. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.8/2024 on 16.05.2024. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that totally 5 accused involved in this case. 5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. A2, A3, A5 have filed anticipatory bail application before this court. A1 was released an anticipatory bail by this court. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.8/2024 on 16.05.2024. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, release of Co- accused are considered by this



court and come to conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Ramanathapuram** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate concerned**; If the petitioner/ accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stand cancelled automatically;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit non-refundable amount of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** to the credit into the Account **No.33658054216 in favour of the Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram at Head Office, State Bank of India in IFSC Code: SBIN 0000908, MICR code:623002004** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court;

iii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that they shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time furnishing sureties;

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in





the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:35:01 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002872024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Ramanathapuram

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Forest Ranger, Forest Range, Ramanathapuram,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The Officer in-charge, Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,  
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
**Vacation Sessions Judge,**  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.218/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000063-2024)

Gunabalaji, (aged 23)  
S/o.Azhagar.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
Sayalkudi P.S.,  
Cr.No.70/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Kaleeswaran, M.C.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.70/2024 of the respondent police. The petitioner who was apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.387, 506(ii) IPC @ 395 and 506(ii) IPC has filed this



petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, A4 contacted the defacto complainant through Grindr App. On 02.03.2024 at about 7.40 p.m, A4 called the defacto complainant to the occurrence place. At the time the petitioner and others threatened him and robbed 1 ½ sovereign gold chain and ring and mobile phone belongs to the defacto complainant. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. Earlier application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.1256/2024 on 02.04.2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.If the petitioner is arrested by the respondent police, they may harassed him. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 and A3 were released on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.55/2024 dated 16.05.2024. A4 and A5 are in judicial custody. A6 is a juvenile. On the date of occurrence, the A4 called the defacto complainant and the petitioner and other accused threatened the defacto complainant and robbed his chain, ring and mobile phone. Property value of Rs.80,000/-. Property



yet to be recovered partly by the respondent police from the petitioner. Investigation is yet to be completed. 8 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioner is as arrayed as A1. A2 and A3 were released on anticipatory bail by this Court. A4 and A5 is in judicial custody. A6 is conflict with law. Further more 7 witnesses were examined by the respondent police, property yet to be recovered from the petitioner. The learned learned public prosecutor stated and reason that A1 to A3 are main accused in this case and they are still absconding, hence the respondent police was not able to proceed the further investigation, it was objected by the learned counsel for the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner contention is not acceptable by this Court. Property yet to be secured by the respondent police. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. 8 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. No change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. The above said all aspects are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled to get any relief at this stage. Hence the petition is to be dismissed.

TNRM000002382024



In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:35:15 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.,  
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT)  
RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
**CrI.M.P.No.257/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000291-2024)

Muniasamy. (aged 34),  
S/o.Sethu

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
Devipattinam P.S.,  
Cr.No.175/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 28.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.Murugan, B.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.175/2024 of Devipattinam P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 323, 506(i) IPC and section 4 of TNPHW Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is a family dispute between the both parties. Following which, on 22.05.2024 at about 1.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant and his mother went to defacto complainant's sister house, at the time the petitioner and others abused in filthy language and pulled the hair of defacto complainant and pushed down and trampled her and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is in no way connected with the offence. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there are totally 3 accused have involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. Others are still absconding. There was a family dispute between the parties, the occurrence was happened. Further he has contended that the injured has already been discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, there are totally 3 accused involved in this





case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. Others are still absconding. The occurrence was happened due to family between the parties. The injured has already been discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that this petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioner shall **report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
S  
KUMARAGURU Date:  
2024.05.30  
19:35:33 +0530

**Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
30.05.2024**

TNRM000002912024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S.,  
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

Visit [ecourts.gov.in](http://ecourts.gov.in) for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024**

CrI.M.P.No.113/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000128-2024)

Prabu (aged 30),  
S/o.Rajapandi

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Pamban P.S.,  
in Cr.No.109/2023

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to modified the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.S.Seeni Arul Maraikayar B.Sc., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No. 647/2024 dated 15.04.2024 with a condition to report before this court daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to modified the bail condition imposed on him.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was already enlarged on bail by the this Court in CrI.M.P.No.647/2024 dated 15.02.2024 on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.20,000/- with one



blood surety and one normal surety for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram. Further he would submit that the petitioner is no blood relative sureties before the concerned court.. Therefore the condition No.(i) may be modified as one normal sureties instead of blood sureties.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has no objection to modify the condition No.(i) imposed in the earlier order dated 15.04.2024 in CrI.M.P.No.647/2024.

5. On considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State and considering the facts and circumstances of the petitioner this court, modifies the condition No.(i) as the petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.20,000/- with one normal sureties each for like sum to the satisfaction of learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram.

In the result, the condition No.(i) is modified as the petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.20,000/- with one normal sureties each for like sum to the satisfaction of learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram and other conditions shall remain unchanged.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 30<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.30  
19:31:30 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through E-Mail

To

The learned Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram  
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Town P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.