



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.193/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000213-2024)

Surya, (aged 22/2024),
S/o.Baluchamy.

...Petitioner/Accused No.16

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.305/2023.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Vikneshwaran, B.Sc., L.L.B., the learned Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 27.09.2023 in Cr.No.305/2023 of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307 and 302 IPC @ U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307, 302 r/w 34, 149, 120(b), 212 IPC, has filed this petition seeking for bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a wordy quarrel arose between

TNRM000002092024



the defacto complainant's son and one namely Nithish(A1) in temple festival. Due to which on 16.09.2023 at about 11.45 p.m, the defacto complainant's son and his friends were proceeding in two wheelers bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BL 7757 and TN 63 BK 2328 Paramakudi bridge junction in front of the Rahuman Paint shop, the petitioner and other accused waylaid them. Further they assaulted one of his friends Abibalan by means of sword on his chest and caused injuries and also restrained the defacto complainant son and assaulted with beer bottles on his face and A1 assaulted with sword on his face and caused death to the defacto complainant's son. Hence the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, he has not committed any such offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He has further contended that, the petitioner is under judicial custody from 27.09.2023 and he is in judicial custody for the past 3 months. Injured has been discharged from the hospital. Further he has contended that there is no specific overt-act against this petitioner. The occurrence was happened only between A1 and the deceased. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.398/2024 dated 30.01.2024. Co-accused were enlarged on bail by this Court on various days. Investigation was over. The charge sheet has already been filed. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated



30.04.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.16/2024 on 16.05.2024. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will not possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that there are totally 16 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A16. A11 was deleted from this case. A8, A4 and A15 have released on bail and anticipatory bail by the Principal District and Sessions Court on 06.12.2023 and 08.12.2023. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.09.2023. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital on 25.09.2023. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court. Investigation has already been completed. Charge sheet has already been filed and the case was taken on file in PRC No.76/2023 on 19.12.2023. Further he would submit that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court and they are not complied conditions which was imposed against them, hence the respondent police has initiated to cancellation of bail order against them. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024. Further he has contended that if the petitioner is released on bail, it will endanger life of the petitioner. Hence he strongly objected to release the petitioner.



Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner is arrayed as A16. A11 is deleted from the case. Totally 16 accused involved in this case. Some of the co-accused were released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court. The investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed and the case was taken on file as PRC. A1 to A4 are in judicial custody. The petitioner was arrested on 27.09.2023 and he is more than 7 months incarceration in judicial custody. The occurrence was happened due to temple festival motive between the defacto complainant's son and A1 namely Nithish. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024 No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Further more the learned Public Prosecutor has strongly objected to release the petitioner by stating that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by this Court and they are not complied the court conditions which was imposed against them and the respondent police has filed a petition for cancellation of bail order and his another contention is that if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to endanger life of the petitioner. In these circumstances, period of custody are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;



i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioners shall stay at Krishnagiri District and shall report and sign before the Inspector of Police, B1 Town Police Station, Krishnagiri daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) **that the petitioner shall appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramkudi at the time of hearing at evening 5.00 p.m (on that day is exempted to obey the conditions)**

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner

TNRM000002092024



released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A

IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:01:30 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,

Ramanathapuram.

30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, B1, Krishnagiri Town P.S, Krishnagiri District,.

The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,

The Petitioners through their Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002822024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.251/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000282-2024)**

Rajadurai,
S/o.Archunan.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Parthibanur P.S.,
Cr.No.81/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 14.05.2024 in Cr.No.81/2024 of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.279 and 304(ii) IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, on 12.05.2024 at about 6.40 p.m, the deceased



was proceeding in a two wheeler TVS Scooty bearing Reg.No.TN 65 BV0766 at Kamuthi to Parthibanur road, at that time the petitioner who is driver of TATA mini bus bearing Reg.No.TN 33 BA 9379 drove the vehicle in a rash and negligent manner and hit against the deceased and caused death. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, he has not committed any such offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He would further contend that the petitioner was arrested and he is in judicial custody from 14.05.2024. Most of the investigation has been completed. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will not possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the petitioner drove the vehicle in a rash and negligent manner in a drunken mood and dashed against the deceased vehicle and caused death. The petitioner has brandy bottle and cup in his cheat of the mini bus. Further he would submit that the petitioner was arrested and he is in judicial custody from 14.05.2024. 7 witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will commit same kind of offence again.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on



perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner has consuming alcohol and drove the mini bus in a rash and negligent manner and hit the deceased two wheeler and he thrown out from the vehicle and caused death. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will case to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances and period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to get bail on payment of amount and with following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with **two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioner shall report and sign before the Inspector of Police, Trichy Cantonment Police Station daily at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

TNRM000002822024



vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30 18:05:51 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Trichy Cantonment P.S, Trichy,
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanur P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002922024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judgee,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.261/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000292-2024)**

Kalaiselvan, (aged 20),
S/o.Balamurugan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Abiramam P.S.,
Cr.No.203/2022

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in SC No.89/2024 on the file of this Court in Cr.No.203/2022 attached with the respondent police. The petitioner who remanded to judicial custody on 26.05.2024 and he is facing the charges punishable U/s.294(b), 506(ii), 34 IPC r/w section 3(A) of Explosive Substances Act, 1908 has



filed this petition seeking to release him on bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the case was taken on file in SC No.89/2024 and same is pending before this Court. Further he has submitted that due to condolence of his relative and he did not know about the hearing, he could not appear before this Court on 08.04.2024, hence this Court has issued NBW against him on the same day. Further he would submit that the NBW was executed on 26.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Further he would submit that the petitioner is only bread winner of his family. In this circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, he will attend the court on all hearing dates and the above said absence is neither willful nor wanton by the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, the case was taken on file in SC No.89/2024 and same is pending before this Court. Due to non appearance of the petitioner on 08.04.2024, this Court has issued NBW against him on the same day. Further he would submit that the NBW was executed on 26.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. One previous case is pending against the petitioner; In this circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail once again, it will be possible to jump on bail. Hence the petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of the case records it found that, the case was taken on file in SC No.89/2024

TNRM000002922024



and same is pending before this Court. Due to non appearance of the petitioner on 08.04.2024, this Court has issued NBW against him on the same day. Further he would submitted that the NBW was executed on 26.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. One previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, period of custody are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled to get bail at this stage.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024

Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:06:06 +0530

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Abiramam P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.187/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000207-2024)

1. Kamaleswaran, (aged 24),
S/o.Ravi.

2. Ajay @ Ashok, (aged 20),
S/o.Muneeswaran.

....Petitioners/Accused No.2,3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.210/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Shanmuganathan, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners who were arrested on 16.05.2024 in Cr.No.210/2024 on the file of the respondent P.S., for offences punishable U/s.399 IPC and Section 25(1A)



Arms Act, have filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, at the time of police patrolling on 16.05.2024 they found that the petitioners and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons for commit dacoity in the occurrence place. 4 persons were red handed and other accused escaped from the occurrence place. The properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioners were arrested on 16.05.2024 and they are in judicial custody for the past 15 days. Further he has contended that the properties involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, they will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, there are totally 8 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2 and A3. A4 and A5 are in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. On 16.05.2024 the petitioners and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons in the occurrence place to commit dacoity. The petitioners were arrested on 16.05.2024 and they are in judicial custody.



Properties involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. 5 witnesses have already been examined. Similar kind of 8 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner and 4 previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner 4 out of which 2 cases are similar nature. If the petitioners are released on bail, it will possible to commit same type of offence again. 4

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioners and 6 others have involved in this case. On the date of occurrence all the accused assembled for committing dacoity in the public with deadly weapons. The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Other accused yet to be arrested. Similar kind of 8 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner and 4 previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner out of which 2 cases are similar nature. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration, bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that in respect of the 1st petitioner, the petition is dismissed and the 2nd petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the 2nd petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II,**



Ramanathapuram;

ii) that the 2nd petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the 2nd petitioner shall report and sign before the **respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the 2nd petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the 2nd petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A

TNRM000002092024



IPC.

In respect of the 1st petitioner the petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is partly allowed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:01:46 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05..2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,

The petitioners through their Counsel,

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.188/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000208-2024)

Sabari Balakannan, (aged 19),
S/o.Balamurugan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.5

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.210/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Soundarapandian, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 17.05.2024 in Cr.No.210/2024 on the file of the respondent P.S., for offences punishable U/s.399 IPC and Section 25(1A) Arms Act, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. According to the prosecution, at the time of police patrolling on 16.05.2024 they found that the petitioner and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons for commit dacoity in the occurrence place. 4 persons were red handed and other accused escaped from the occurrence place. The properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 16.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 15 days. Further he has contended that the properties involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Further he has contended that the petitioner is a college student and his copy of ID card is produced. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, there are totally 8 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A5. A2, A3 and A4 are in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. On 16.05.2024 the petitioner and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons in the occurrence place to commit dacoity. The petitioner was arrested on 16.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Properties



involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. 5 witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit same type of offence again.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner and 7 others have involved in this case. On the date of occurrence all the accused assembled for committing dacoity in the public with deadly weapons. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 16.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Further more the petitioner is a college student. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration, are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in



the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **respondent police daily twice at 8.00 a.m and 7.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.30
18:02:03 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002082024



30.05..2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.189/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000209-2024)

Praveen, (aged 19),
S/o.Ramachandran.

....Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.210/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Murugaboopathy, B.Com., L.L.B., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners who were arrested on 17.05.2024 in Cr.No.210/2024 on the file of the respondent P.S., for offences punishable U/s.399 IPC and Section 25(1A) Arms Act, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. According to the prosecution, at the time of police patrolling on 16.05.2024 they found that the petitioner and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons for commit dacoity in the occurrence place. 4 persons were red handed and other accused escaped from the occurrence place. The properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 16.05.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 15 days. Further he has contended that the properties involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. The petitioner is a college student and his copy of ID is produced. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, there are totally 8 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. A2, A3 and A5 are in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. On 16.05.2024 the petitioner and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons in the occurrence place to commit dacoity. The petitioner was arrested on 16.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Properties involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. 5 witnesses



have already been examined. Other accused yet to be arrested. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner and 7 others have involved in this case. On the date of occurrence all the accused assembled for committing dacoity in the public with deadly weapons. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. A2, A3 and A5 are in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. On 16.05.2024 the petitioner and others have illegally possessed deadly weapons in the occurrence place to commit dacoity. The petitioner was arrested on 16.05.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Properties involved in this case has already been secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. The petitioner is a college student. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled not to get bail with the following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to



the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **respondent police daily twice at 8.00 a.m and 6.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

TNRM000002092024



viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:02:23 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05..2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.191/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000211-2024)**

1. Vellaichamy, (aged 35/2024),
S/o.Adaikkalam.

2. Kalaignanam, (aged 31/2024),
S/o.Karmegam.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1,3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Nainarkovil P.S.,
Cr.No.80/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M. Satheeshkumar, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners who were arrested 04.05.2024 in Cr.No.80/2024 of the respondent P.S., for the punishable offences U/s.379 IPC, have filed this petition



for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 16.04.2024 at about 4.00 -p.m, the defacto complainant heard about temple bells are lying at Elayangudi to R.S.Mangalam Road near Zahir Husain College, Ilayangudi by way of phone through Prabakaran and the same was taken by the defacto complainant. Further he found that the petitioners and one another stole 3 braze bells of the Sottarettai Muniyasamy temple and the defacto complainant handed over the petitioners and one another before the respondent police with bells on 04.05.2024. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. The petitioners were arrested on 04.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 27 days. Property are handed over to the respondent police by the defacto complainant. Major part of the investigation has already been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3428/2024 in CIS CrI.M.P.No.213/2024 on 15.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses and abscond. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that



totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioners arrayed as A1 and A3. A2 is in judicial custody. On the date of occurrence the petitioners stole the temple money worth about Rs.14,000/- The petitioners were arrested on 04.05.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. 12 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner and 6 previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will be possible to commit similar offence again.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioners arrayed as A1 and A3. A2 is still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioners stole the temple money worth about Rs.14,000/- The petitioners were arrested on 04.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Material part witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. 12 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner and 6 previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on bail, it will be possible to commit similar offence again. The learned Public Prosecutor has requested that if the petitioners are released on bail, they will produce solvency Certificate. Nature and circumstances, period of custody and bad antecedents of the petitioners are considered by this Court and come to the



conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions:

i) that the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing of bond for Rs.25,000/-(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with Solvency Certificate issued by Tahsildar for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) that the petitioners shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the petitioners shall stay at Salem District and shall report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Salem daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned

TNRM000002082024



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.30
18:03:00 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Salem,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.197/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000217-2024)**

Muthupandi @ Sewak, (aged 25),
S/o.Palpandi.

... Petitioner /Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Kadaladi P.S.,
Cr.No.29/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

The petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Raja Sahul Hameed, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 11.04.2024 in Cr.No.29/2024 on the file of the respondent police for offences punishable U/s. 294(b),323, 324, 506 (ii) and 307 IPC @ U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) and 307 IPC , has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. According to the Prosecution, the defacto complainant's brother was spoken in marriage to the petitioner's sister. But the marriage proposal was dropped due to some dispute between them. Driven by that motive, on 15.03.2024 at about 1.00 p.m, when the defacto complainant was at home along with his family members, the petitioner and 3 others came there in a vehicle TATA Sumo bearing Reg.No.TN 04 M 7929 and abused the defacto complainant in obscene words and A1 assaulted with sickle on the right side of the head, while the other accused (A2 to A4) assaulted using sickle on his shoulder and backside of the defacto complainant's brother and also criminally intimidated them.. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for all the petitioner has contended that he is in no way connected with this offence and a false complaint was lodged by the defacto complainant. The injured was discharged from hospital. Further he has contended that most of the investigation has already been completed. Co-accused were already released on bail by this Court. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1806/2024 on 30.04.2024 and another bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.19/2024 on 09.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that totally



4 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. Others have already released on bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.Nos.1293/2024 and 1314/2024 dated 01.04.2024 and 02.04.2024 respectively. The occurrence was happened due to marriage was dropped dispute between both the parties. Further he has contended that the injured was discharged from hospital on 23.03.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Further he has contended that 10 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and his name is in History Sheet and he is a habitual offender. NBW is pending against the petitioner in previous cases. bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.19/2024 on 09.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit same type of offence again. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioner is arrayed as A1. Co-accused were released on bail by this Court. But the marriage proposal was dropped due to some dispute between them. Further more the injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. 10 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and his name is in History sheet. Further more he has not followed the court conditions, therefore the respondent police has initiated steps to cancell the bail order. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, prejudice will



cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, bad antecedents and act of the petitioner are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioner is not entitled to get any relief at this stage. Hence the petition is dismissed.

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) one blood surety and one normal surety for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Mumsif-cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioner shall stay at Trichy District and shall report and sign before the Inspector of Police, Trichy Cantonment Police Station daily at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in

TNRM000002172024



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560)**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:03:14 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadalai,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Trichy Cantonment P.S, Trichy,
The Inspector of Police, Kadaladi P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.231/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000262-2024)

Mahim, (aged 21/2024),
S/o.Arockiyasamy.

....Petitioner/Accused No.11

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
R.S.Mangalam P.S.,
Cr.No.126/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Saravanan, B.Sc., B.L.the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested 25.05.2024 in Cr.No.126/2024 on the file of the respondent P.S., for the offences punishable U/s.147, 148, 294(b), 307, 506(ii), 427 IPC and section 25(1a) Arms Act, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. According to the prosecution, there is some previous motive between the defacto complainant's street peoples and the petitioner's village peoples. Following which, on 24.05.2024 at about 4.30 p.m, when the defacto complainant and her Daughter-in-law were at house, the petitioner and others came there with dangerous weapons and knocked the door and asked about her son and abused in filthy language and damaged the water buckets. Further the petitioner (A11) tried to assault her and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 25.05.2024. Further he has contended that this is a case and case in counter in Cr.No.127/2024. Further he has contended that no one was injured in this case. Co-accused A3 was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P. (MD)No7988/2024 on 29.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, there are totally 18 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. The occurrence was happened due to previous motive between two village groups. Further he has



contended that the petitioner was arrested on 25.05.2024. Counter case has also registered in Cr.No.127/2024. Further he has contended that the investigation is in preliminary stage. Further he would submit that if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to police picketing. Investigation is yet to be completed. If the petitioners are released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that there are totally 18 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. The occurrence was happened due to previous motive between two village groups. Counter case has also registered in Cr.No.127/2024. Investigation is in preliminary stage. The investigation is not yet completed and the petitioner is still is still absconding. The petitioner has filed this petition in earlier stage. All the accused have involved in this occurrence as per FIR. The learned Public Prosecutor has strongly objected to released the petitioner that, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to police picketing and also to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration and stage of the investigation are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled not to get bail at this stage, hence the petition is dismissed.

TNRM000002822024



In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:07:11 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05..2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, R.S.Mangalam P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.233/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000264-2024)

1. Nitheesh, (aged 25/2023),
S/o.Ramachandran

2. Karan, (aged 21),
S/o.Ramasamy,

3. Rajeshpandi, (aged 23),
S/o.Sasikumar.

4. Kaleeswaranpandi, (aged 25),
S/o.Sasikumar.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1-4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.305/2023.

....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.M.Mayakannan, B.Com., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioners and of
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides



arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners who are arrested on 18.09.2023 in Cr.No.305/2023 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307 and 302 IPC @ U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307, 302 r/w 34, 149, 120(b), 212 IPC, have filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant's son and A1 in a temple festival. Due to which on 16.09.2023 at about 11.45 p.m, the defacto complainant's son and his friends were proceeding in two wheelers bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BL 7757 and TN 63 BK 2328 Paramakudi bridge junction in front of the Rahuman Paint shop, the petitioners and other accused waylaid them. Further they assaulted one of his friends Abibalan by means of sword on his chest and caused injuries and also restrained the defacto complainant son and assaulted with beer bottles on his face and A1 assaulted with sword on his face and caused death to the defacto complainant's son. Hence the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, they have not committed any such offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. He has further contended that, the petitioners are in judicial custody from



18.09.2023. Injured has been discharged from hospital. Investigation has already been completed. Co-accused A9, A11, A13 and A6, A10 have already been released on bail and by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.Nos.5502/2023 and 5639/2023 on 17.12.2023 and 02.01.2024 respectively. Further he has contended that Goondas Act was revoked against the petitioners by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1412/2023 and 1409/2023 and 1457/2023 on 30.04.2024.. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.17/2024. Further he would submit that Charge sheet has already been filed. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that there are totally 16 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4. Further he has contended that the occurrence was happened due to temple festival motive between the deceased and the A1. The petitioners were arrested on 18.09.2023 and they are in judicial custody. A11 is deleted in this case. Some of the co-accused were already been enlarged on bail by this Court on various days. Investigation has already been completed. Charge sheet has been filed and the case was taken on file in PRC No.76/2023. Further he has contended that 4 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner, one previous case is pending against the 2nd petitioner, 2 previous cases are pending against 3rd petitioner, 3 previous cases



are pending against the 4th petitioner. Further he has contended that Goondas Act was revoked against the 1, 2, 4 petitioners by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1412/2023 and 1409/2023 and 1457/2023 on 30.04.2024. Further he would submit that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court and they are not complied conditions which was imposed against them, hence the respondent police has initiated to cancellation of bail order against them. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.17/2024. Further he has contended that if the petitioners are released on bail, it will endanger life of the petitioners. Hence he objected to release the petitioners on bail. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4. A11 is deleted from the case. Totally 16 accused involved in this case. Some of the co-accused were already been enlarged on bail by this Court on various days. Investigation has already been completed. Charge sheet has been filed and the case was taken on file in PRC No.76/2023. Further he has contended that 4 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner, one previous case is pending against the 2nd petitioner, 2 previous cases are pending against 3rd petitioner, 3 previous cases are pending against the 4th petitioner. Further he has contended that Goondas Act



was revoked against the 1, 2, 4 petitioners by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1412/2023 and 1409/2023 and 1457/2023 on 30.04.2024. Further he would submit that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court and they are not complied conditions which was imposed against them, hence the respondent police has initiated to cancellation of bail order against them. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024. Further he has contended that if the petitioners are released on bail, it will endanger life of the petitioners. Hence he objected to release the petitioners on bail. Further more the petitioners are facing some previous cases. Nature and circumstances, period of custody are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioners are is ordered to be enlarged on bail on their execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only)each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the **petitioners shall stay at Krishnagiri District and shall report and sign before the Inspector of Police, B1 Town Police Station, Krishnagiri daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the **petitioners shall appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramkudi at the time of hearing at evening 5.00 p.m (on that day is exempted to obey the conditions);**

v) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

TNRM000002642024



Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:04:29 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, B1, Krishnagiri Town P.S, Krishnagiri District.,
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.234/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000265-2024)**

Jesu, (aged 70/2024),
S/o.Sanjeevi.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Keelathooval P.S.,
Cr.No.98/2024.

....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Rajiv Gandhi, M.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who is arrested on 24.05.2024 in Cr.No.98/2024 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 174 Cr.P.C @ 302 IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant is a brother of the deceased named Uma who is daughter in-law of the petitioner. Before 15 years the marriage was held between the deceased and the petitioner's son named Arockiya Prabakar and they were having 2 female children. Due to some illness the said Arockiya Prabakar and his one daughter were died during the year of 2023. After demised of the deceased husband, she lived in a house at Muthuvijayapuram. Due to partition of that house, she left from the house and after discussed from the village elders she was living with her child. Subsequently on 20.05.2024 at about 9.00 a.m the deceased called her father through phone, she says that “ என்னை கொல்ல முயற்சி செய்கிறார்கள், என்னை காப்பாற்றுங்கள்” Thereafter the defacto complainant went to there and he found his sister body was burnt in the fire and was taken to hospital by 108 ambulance and died on the same day. Thereafter the case was register on suspicious manner. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, he has not committed any such offence and has been falsely been implicated in this case. He has further contended that, the petitioner was arrested and he is in judicial custody from 24.05.2024. .Further he has contended that there is some partition dispute between them. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be

TNRM000002652024



allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has objected to release the petitioner on bail that the occurrence was happened on 20.05.2024 and the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.05.2024. Investigation is in preliminary stage. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the that the occurrence was happened on 20.05.2024 and the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 24.05.2024. Investigation is in preliminary stage. The petitioner has filed this petition in earlier stage. Hence this petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:05:14 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.235/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000266-2024)**

Shanmugavel. (aged 30),
S/o.Marimuthu.

....Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Sikkal P.S.,
Cr.No.55/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.Muneeswaran, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 24.04.2024 in Cr.No.55/2024 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 324, 307 IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 23.04.2024 at about 11.00 p.m, the defacto



complainant was going near Balamurugan petty shop, at that time the petitioners waylaid his friends and abused them in filthy language and treid to assault them, the defacto complainant questioned about the quarrel them, due to which all the accused assaulted the defacto complainant and his 2 friends with sickle on their left sid head , left back side head and right side eye, and right forehead respectively and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 24.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody.. Injured were discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Co-accused were already released except this petitioner on bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.196/2024 on 23.005.2024. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has narrated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. Others were released on bail by this Court on 23.05.2024. 9 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. On the date of occurrence all the accused assaulted the defacto complainant and his 2 friends with sickle and caused greivous injuries. Further he would submit that the petitioner was arrested



and remanded to judicial custody on 26.04.2024. In this case 3 persons were sustained injuries on their heads. The injured/defacto complainant was discharged from hospital on 27.04.2024 and other 2 injured persons were discharged from on 17.05.2024 and 18.05.2024 from Madurai Velammal Hospital. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner his name is in History Sheet and he has violated 110 Cr.P.C.bond. There is no change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit same type of offence again. Hence the petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of FIR and it is found that on the date of occurrence all the accused assaulted the defacto complainant and his 2 friends with sickle and caused greivous injuries. Further he would submit that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody. In this case 3 persons were sustained injuries on their heads. The injured/defacto complainant was discharged from hospital on 27.04.2024 and other 2 injured persons were discharged from on 17.05.2024 and 18.05.2024 from Madurai Velammal Hospital. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and his name is in History Sheet and he has violated 110 Cr.P.C. bond. There is change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration and bad antecedents of the petitioner are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled to get bail at this stage.

TNRM000002662024



Hence this petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.30
18:05:27 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sikkal P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,

TNRM000002812024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 30th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.250/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000281-2024)**

Govindhan @ Govindharaj, (aged 44/2023),
S/o.Kandaselvam.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Emaneswaram, P.S.,
Cr.No.132/2006
PRC No.92/2019

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:28.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.G.Kesavan, the learned Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner has filed this petition U/s.439 of Cr.P.C. The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.132/2006 of the respondent police and in PRC No.92/2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi and he facing the charges punishable U/s.341, 324, 506(ii) , 379 IPC @ U/s. 341, 324, 326, 392 r/w 397, 506(ii)



IPC and he was remanded to judicial custody on 02.11.2023 .

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, initially the FIR was registered on 30.11.2006 against the petitioner U/s.341, 324, 506(ii) , 379 IPC @ 341, 324, 326, 506(ii), 392 r/w 397 IPC. Further he has contended that the case was taken on file in C.C.No.29/2007. The case was posted for hearing on 20.05.2010, he could not appear before the court, hence NBW was issued against the petitioner. Further he has contended that the case was suo moto reopened by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, u/s.379 IPC was altered into 392 r/w 397 IPC and the case was converted from C.C.No.29/2007 to PRC No.92/2019 for the offences U/s. 341, 324, 326, 392 r/w 397 IPC and the same is pending. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 02.11.2023 and he is in judicial custody. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1048/2024 on 21.03.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution, the above said absence is neither willful nor wanton by the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. The occurrence took place on 30.11.2006 and FIR was registered U/s341, 324, 506(ii), 379 IPC. Initially the case was taken on file in C.C.No.29/2007 and the case was suo moto reopened by the



learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, u/s.379 IPC was altered into 392 r/w 397 IPC and the case was converted from C.C.No.29/2007 to PRC No.92/2019 for the offences U/s. 341, 324, 326, 506(ii), 392 r/w 397 IPC and the same is pending. Further he has contended that in the meantime the case was posted for hearing on 20.05.2010, on that day the petitioner did not appear before the Court, hence NBW was issued and same was executed on 02.11.2023 and he is in judicial custody. Further he has contended that the NBW was pending for more than 14 years . In this circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to jumped on bail and also affect the committal proceedings. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of the case records, it is found that, the petitioner is arrayed as A2. The occurrence took place on 30.11.2006 and FIR was registered U/s.341, 324, 506(ii), 379 IPC. Initially the case was taken on file in C.C.No.29/2007 and the case was suo moto reopened by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, u/s.379 IPC was altered into 392 r/w 397 IPC and the case was converted from C.C.No.29/2007 to PRC No.92/2019 and the same is pending for committal proceedings. Further more due to non-appearance of the petitioner, NBW was issued against him on 20.05.2010 and the same executed on 02.11.2023. The petitioner was absconding from the Court and NBW was pending for the past 14 years. Nature and circumstances, period of



custody and stage of the case and period of absconding are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only) **with one blood surety and one normal surety** each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramathapuram;**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) **that at the time time of furnishing the sureties, the petitioner shall file an undertaking affidavit that he will appear before the concerned court on all hearing dates without fail, if he is failed to attend the court for hearing in future, the learned Counsel for the petitioner to file appropriate petition before the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned ;**

iv) that the **petitioner shall report and sign before this Court daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make

TNRM000002812024



available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall co-operate with speedy disposal of the case;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.30
18:05:40 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,

The Petitioner through his Counsel.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 30th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.219/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000146-2024)

Abdul Marsooth (aged 27/2024),
S/o.Syed Sahubar Ali

....Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.243/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.243/2024 of Kenikkarai P.S., The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 454, 457, 380 IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that the defacto complainant is the District Educational Officer. On 04.05.2024 at about 9.00 a.m. Junior Assistant of the Sakkarakottai Government High School has received the boxes of books and notes books for the academic year 2024-2025 and kept in the school books go-down. On 06.05.224 at about 12.30 p.m., he found that 12 boxes (12 boxes x 150 = 1800 books) were missing which was stored in the go-down. The missing property value of Rs.90,000/-. After he comes to know that unknown persons were entered into the go-down and broken the windows and stolen the books. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.137/2024 dated 16.05.2024. FIR was registered in unnamed accused. Property has already been recovered. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, there are totally 4 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. Others are in judicial custody. All the accused in this case have been involved in books theft in the Government School. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court in



CrI.M.P.No.137/2024 dated 16.05.2024. Property was secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 4 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. Others are in judicial custody. All the accused in this case have been involved in books theft in the Government School. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court. Property was secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the



satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

TNRM000002392024



vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.30
18:06:29 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
30.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.
The Petitioner through his Counsel.