

**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.214/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000234-2024)**

Chellamuthu, (aged 45),
S/o.Soman.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**B1 Town P.S., Ramanthapuram,
Cr.No.145/2024**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. A.Selvaraj, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B, Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners who was arrested on 14.05.2024 in Cr.No.145/2024 on the file of the respondent P.S. for the offences punishable U/s. 273, 328 IPC r/w section 24(1) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act , has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, at the time of police patrolling conducted by the respondent police on 14.05.2024 and found that the petitioner in possession of 5

pockets of Ganesh (each 225 gram) and 3 pockets of Cool Lip (100.8g each) total worth about Rs.1244/- without any licence in a bag for selling at higher price in public and the properties have already been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. The petitioner was arrested on 14.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 10 days. Properties have been recovered by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the petitioner in possession of 1.427 Kgs of tobacco products without any licence for selling in higher price in public and the same have been secured by the police. Property value of Rs.1,244/-. Further he has contended that the properties have already been secured by the respondent police. The petitioner was arrested on 14.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Further he has contended that material part of the witnesses have already been examined. 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner, out of which one of the case is similar kind of offence. If the petitioner is released on bail, prejudice will cause to the prosecution.

5. Considering both side submissions and on perusal of the case records, it found that the petitioner has illegally possessed 1.427 Kgs of Tobacco Products without any licene for selling at higher price in local market and the properties have

already been seized by the respondent police. Further more the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.05.2024. Investigation is in preliminary stage. 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner of which one of the case is similar kind of offence. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Nature and circumstances and period of incarceration and bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand only) as non-refundable to the Credit of District Legal Service Authority, Ramathapuram without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioner shall report and sign before the District Musnif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during

investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, B1 Town P.S., Ramanathapuram,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The District Legal Services Authority, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S. KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.226/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000246-2024)**

1. Mullaivendan, (aged 26/2024),
S/o. Shanmuganathan.

2. Suriya @ Jeyasurya, (aged 21/2024)
S/o. Soundrapandi.

3. Mukesh @ Mukesh Kannan, (aged 19/2024)
S/o. Shanmuganathan.

...Petitioners /Accused No.1 to 3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Chathirakudi P.S.,
Cr.No.83/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:



ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.83/2024 of Chathirakudi P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 323, 506(i) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there is some wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioners. On 12.05.2024 at about 6.00 p.m. when the defacto complainant's husband and his father were going to the temple, the petitioners were drinking the wine nearby the temple. At that time the defacto complainant's husband questioned about the act of petitioners. Following which, on the same day at about 7.00 p.m. when the defacto complainant, her husband and her sister were sitting outside of the home, the petitioners came there and abused them in filthy language and A2 assaulted the defacto complainant with hand on her cheek and A1 assaulted the defacto complainant's sister who is pregnant with hand on her cheek and pushed down and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the



case. The injured has discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.136/2024 dated 16.05.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A3. On the date of occurrence, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and her family members in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant and her sister with hands and caused injuries. Injured discharged from the hospital on 20.05.2023. Earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.136/2024 dated 16.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A3. On the date of occurrence, the petitioners abused the defacto complainant and her family members in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant and her sister with hands and caused injuries. Injured discharged from the hospital. Earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this Court. Material part of the witnesses has already



been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when



required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Chathirakudi P.S.
The Petitioners through their Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.224/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000244-2024)

Nagasatheesh Murugan, (aged 28)
S/o.Solaimurugan

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram
Cr.No.90/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran,M.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.90/2024 of Bazaar P.S., Ramanathapuram.

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail..



2. According to the Prosecution, there was a previous enmity between the defacto complainant and A1 due to both are auto drivers. On 25.03.2024 at about 8.00 p.m. when the defacto complainant was being in his Auto at Adaikalamkatha Vinayagar Temple Auto Stand, the petitioner and others came there and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and petitioner and A1 assaulted him with Beer bottle on his head, left eye, forehead and A3 assaulted him with knife on his right thigh and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. Others are still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioner petitioner and others came there and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and petitioner and A1 assaulted him with Beer bottle on his head, left eye, forehead and A3 assaulted him with knife on his right thigh and



caused injuries. The injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioner is arrayed as A2. Others are still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and others came there and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and petitioner and A1 assaulted him with Beer bottle on his head, left eye, forehead and A3 assaulted him with knife on his right thigh and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature of offence and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram** **within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the



petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

TNRM000002442024



Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate. No.I, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app “eCourts Services” from Android or iOS



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

**Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.220/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000240-2024)

Muniasamy, (aged 45/2024),
S/o.Alagulingam,

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.191/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.V.Sunil Malhotra, B.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.191/2024 of Uchippuli P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 379 IPC and Section 21(1) of MMDR Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that on 04.05.2024 at about 00.45 hrs. at the time of patrolling by the police party, the petitioner and others have illegally transported 1 unit of sand in unnumbered Tractor with unnumbered Trailer without getting any permission from the concerned authorities and on seeing the police, the accused left the vehicle and fled away from the occurrence place. Properties have been secured by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Most of the investigation has already been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.85/2024 dated 16.05.2024. Co-accused was released on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.164/2024 on 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, there are totally 2 accused involved in this case., The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 has already released on anticipatory bail by this Court. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and A2 have illegally transported one unit of sand in unnumbered Tractor with unnumbered Trailer without getting any permission from the concerned authorities. The petitioner is a owner of the vehicle. Further he has contended that the properties have been



secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Further he has contended that 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the investigation. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and A2 have illegally transported one unit of sand in unnumbered Tractor with unnumbered Trailer without getting any permission from the concerned authorities. The properties secured by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses examined by the respondent police. Further more 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail on payment of cost with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate concerned**; If the



petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stand cancelled automatically;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram;**

iii) **that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in same type of offence due to the environmental resources in future at the time furnishing sureties;**

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioner shall **report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in

TNRM000002402024



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.
The Petitioner through his Counsel.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.196/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000216-2024)**

1. Veerapandi, (aged 33),
S/o.Marimuthu.

2. Shanmugavel. (aged 30),
S/o.Marimuthu.

3. Azhagar, (aged 24),
S/o.Arumugam.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1-3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Sikkal P.S.,
Cr.No.55/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.Muneeswaran, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:



ORDER

The petitioner who were arrested on 24.04.2024 in Cr.No.55/2024 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 324, 307 IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 23.04.2024 at about 11.00 p.m, the defacto complainant was going near Balamurugan petty shop, at that time the petitioners waylaid his friends and abused them in filthy language and treid to assault them, the defacto complainant questioned about the quarrel them, due to which all the accused assaulted the defacto complainant and his 2 friends with sickle on their left sid head , left back side head and right side eye, and right forehead respectively and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioners were arrested on 24.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody. . Injured were discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has narrated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that 9 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent



police. On the date of occurrence all the accused assaulted the defacto complainant and his 2 friends with sickle and caused greivous injuries. Further he would submit that the petitioners (A1 to A3) were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2024, 26.04.2024 and 24.04.2024 respectively. In this case 3 persons were sustained injuries on their heads. The injured/defacto complainant was discharged from hospital on 27.04.2024 and other 2 injured persons were discharged from on 17.05.2024 and 18.05.2024 from Madurai Velammal Hospital. 3 previous cases are pending against the 1st and 2nd petitioners and the 2nd petitioner name is in History Sheet and he has violated 110 Cr.P.C.bond. No previous case is pending against the 3rd petitioner. If the petitioners released on bail, it will possible to commit same type of offence again. Hence the petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of FIR and it is found that on the date of occurrence all the accused assaulted the defacto complainant and his 2 friends with sickle and caused greivous injuries. Further he would submit that the petitioners (A1 to A3) were arrested and remanded to judicial custody. In this case 3 persons were sustained injuries on their heads. The injured/defacto complainant was discharged from hospital on 27.04.2024 and other 2 injured persons were discharged from on 17.05.2024 and 18.05.2024 from Madurai Velammal Hospital. 3 previous cases are pending against the 1st and



2nd petitioners and the 2nd petitioner name is in History Sheet and he has violated 110 Cr.P.C. bond. No previous case is pending against the 3rd petitioner.. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration and bad antecedents of the 2nd petitioner are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that in respect of the 2nd petitioner the petition is dismissed and 1st and 3rd petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the 1st and 3rd petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif -cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the 1st and 3rd petitioners shall stay at Virudhunagar District and report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the 1st and 3rd petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses



either during investigation or trial;

v) that the 1st and 3rd petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC. In respect of the 2nd petitioner, the bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District.,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sikkal P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.186/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000206-2024)**

Dinakaran, (aged 27).
S/o.Samayamuthu.

....Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.197/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.V.Sunil Malhotra, B.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 07.05.2024 in CrI.No.197/2024 on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the charges punishable U/s. 25(1A) of Arms Act , has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, based on information the respondent police went to the occurrence place on 07.05.2024 at about 10.00 a.m and found that the petitioner and another have illegally possessed sickle and sword and threatened the public due to complaint given against them in sand theft. One of the accused escaped from the occurrence place. A1 was red handed by the police. The weapons and 2 cell phones were seized from A1. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. The petitioner was arrested on 07.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 10 days. It is a put up case. The petitioner has involved in Cr.No.123/2024 on the file of the respondent police, he was released on anticipatory bail before the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1656/2024 on 24.04.2024. After getting the order the respondent police was arrested in this case. Further he has contended that the petitioner's marriage was fixed on 13.05.2024. The respondent police was arrested the petitioner on 07.05.2024. After arresting the petitioner, he could not file the bail application in time. Then his marriage was postponed on 19.05.2024. Earlier printed marriage invitation is produced before this Court. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in



CrI.M.P.No.92/2024 on 16.05.2023. 3 previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 2 accused have involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 is brother of A1 and he is still absconding. On the date of occurrence, the petitioner and A2 have illegally possessed sickle and sword and threatened the public due to complaint given against them in sand theft. The petitioner was arrested on 07.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. The weapons and 2 cell phones were seized from the petitioner. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioners. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Further he has contended that the petitioner has already involved same type of offence in Cr.No.123/2024 and he was released on anticipatory bail on 24.04.2024. Subsequently he was involved in another one case of Arms Act on 07.05.2024. The petitioner is continuously committing the offences. Earlier application was dismissed by this Court on 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit similar type of offence again. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.



5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence , the petitioner and A1 threatened the public due to complaint given to the police against in sand theft. Properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.05.2024 in this case. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contention is that the petitioner's marriage was arranged, in the meantime the respondent police has foisted a case against the petitioner and he was arrested on 07.05.2024, due to which his marriage was postponed and the respondent police has falsely registered another one Arms act due to some previous cases against him. The learned Public Prosecutor has objected to release the petitioner on bail on the ground that the petitioner has subsequently involved in offence U/s.25(1A) Arms Act and he is facing 3 previous cases. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Considering the above said aspects and period of incarceration, bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram;**



ii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the petitioners shall report and sign before the **learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available himself or interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

TNRM000002062024



viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram.
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi.,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.185/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000205-2024)**

Dinakaran, (aged 27).
S/o.Samayamuthu.

....Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.73/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.V.Sunil Malhotra, B.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 07.05.2024 in CrI.No.73/2024 on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the charges punishable U/s. 379 IPC r/w section 21(1) MMDR Act , has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, based on information the respondent police went to the occurrence place on 04.03.2024 at about 4.45 a.m, they found that petitioner and 2 others have illegally transported one unit of river sand in a Tractor bearing Reg. No.TN 49 AX 7025 along with unregistered Trailer at Manangudi Oorani without getting any permission from the concerned authorities and the petitioner and others were escaped from the occurrence place. Properties have been secured by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 07.05.2024 in Cr.No.197/2024 U/s.25(1A) of Arms Act and he is in judicial custody for the past 10 days. It is a put up case. The petitioner has involved in Cr.No.123/2024 on the file of the respondent police, he was released on anticipatory bail before the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1656/2024 on 24.04.2024. After getting the order the respondent police was arrested in another one case. Further he has contended that the petitioner's marriage was fixed on 13.05.2024. The respondent police was arrested the petitioner on 07.05.2024. After arresting the petitioner, he could not file the bail application in time. Then his marriage was postponed on 19.05.2024. Earlier printed marriage invitation is produced before this Court. Further he has contended that co-



accused were released on bail before the Principal Sessions Court. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. 3 previous case is pending against the petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.MP.No.91/2024 dated 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 4 accused have involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. A1 and A2 have already been released on anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1052/2024 on 12.03.2024. A4 is still absconding. All the accused have illegally transported one unit of river sand without getting any permission from the concerned authorities in a Tractor bearing Reg. No.TN 49 AX 7025 along with unregistered Trailer. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.05.2024. Properties involved in this case have already been seized by the respondent police. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioners. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Further he has contended that the petitioner has already involved in Cr.No.123/2024 and he was released on anticipatory bail on 24.04.2024. Subsequently he was involved in another one case of Arms Act on 07.05.2024. Thereafter he was arrested in Cr.No.197/2024 on 07.05.2024. The petitioner is continuously committing the offences. Earlier bail



application was dismissed by this Court on 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit similar type of offence again. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence , the petitioner and 3 others have involved in sand theft. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. Property has already been secured by the respondent police. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.05.2024 in Cr.No.197/2024 and he is more than 17 days incarceration in judicial custody. The learned Counsel for the petitioner contention is that the petitioner's marriage was arranged, in the meantime the respondent police has foisted a case against the petitioner and he was arrested on 07.05.2024, due to which his marriage was postponed and the respondent police has falsely registered another one Arms act due to some previous cases against him. The learned Public Prosecutor has objected to release the petitioner on bail on the ground that the petitioner has subsequently involved in offence U/s.25(1A) Arms Act and he is facing 3 previous cases. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. However co-accused were released and material part of the witnesses have been examined, at the same time the petitioner was involved in another one case subsequently. Nature and circumstances, period of custody, released of co-accused



and bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramathapuram within 15 days;**

iii) **that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;**

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioners shall report and sign before the **learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available himself or interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



vii) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram.
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi.,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.,
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT)
RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.174/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000197-2024)**

1. Gandhithurai,(aged 62),
S/o.Ramasamy.

2. Vasuki, (aged 55),
W/o.Gandhithurai.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Chathirakudi P.S.,
Cr.No.82/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated.21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.82/2024 of the respondent P.S. The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the



offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC and section 4 of TNPHW Act, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a civil dispute between the both parties. Due to that on 12.05.2024 at about 11.30 a.m., when the defacto complainant and his husband in her house, at that time the petitioners came there and abused the defcto complainant and her husband in filthy language and assaulted them by way of wooden log and thorny log on there head and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Injured was discharged from hospital. Major part of the investigation has already been completed. If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will not tamper the witnesses and abscond. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and his husband with wooden logs and caused injuries due to previous motive on civil dispute. The injured was discharged from hospital on 17.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. No previous



cases are pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that, on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and his husband with wooden log and caused injuries due to previous motive on civil dispute. Injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been completed. No previous cases are pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**



ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **respondent police station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560)**

TNRM000001972024



vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram
The Inspector of Police Chathirakudi P.S.,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.172/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000198-2024)**

1. Kathiravan, (aged 35/2024),
S/o.Kumar.

2. Mani @ Manikandan, (aged 26/2024)
S/o. Raja

3. Seeni Mari, (aged 51/2024)
S/o.Duraisamy.

...Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.153/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Palanikumar, B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.153/2024 of respondent P.S. The



petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 353, 506(i) IPC, Section 4A (1a) r/w Section 4B of TNOPPD Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant was working as a Squad in Parliamentary Election. Based on information he and his parties went to the occurrence place to remove the election symbol which was drawing in public place without getting any permission from the Election department and the symbol was removing from the wall at that time the petitioners and other persons to discharging the defacto complainant official duty and also using the unparliamentary words against the defacto complainant and his parties. Further the petitioners threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.152/2024 on 16.05.2024. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that the petitioners and others have discharging the duties of the Election committee. Totally 10 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are



arrayed as A1 – A3. Further he has contended that 9 cases are pending against the 1st petitioner. No previous case is pending for others. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.152/2024 on 16.05.2024.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of case records, it found that, the petitioners and others using the public wall to drawing election symbol without getting any permission from the election committee. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. 9 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**



ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the learned **District Munsif -cum- Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

TNRM000001982024



vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.
The petitioners through their Counsel.

TNRM000001902024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.169/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000190-2024)

Ranjith, (aged 24/2024)
S/o.Karikalan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.231/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Srikanth, B.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.231/2024 of the Kenikkarai P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to



release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there is a previous motive between the both groups villagers. Both villagers are belongs to various communities. Due to which, when the defacto complainant was going in another two wheeler as lift 19.04.2024 at about 9.30 p.m, at that time the petitioners and their villagers waylaid them and abused in filthy language and caught hold his shirt and pushed him down and treaded and assaulted by way of iron rod, wooden log and hands and caused grievous injuries also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge..

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier bail application of co-accused A1 dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.No.6985/2024 on 13.05.2024 on the ground that the victim was hospitalized. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.79/2024 on 16.05.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that there are totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. Earlier bail application of co-accused A1 dismissed by



the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.No.6985/2024 on 13.05.2024 on the ground that the victim was hospitalized. After that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. The occurrence was happened between both group villegers due to previous motive. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the**



petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

TNRM000001902024



vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.168/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000193-2024)

1.Veermmal (aged 75)
S/o.Raman.

2. Sripakan (aged 47)
S/o.Raman.

3.Amarnath, (aged 43),
S/o.Raman.

4.Manikandan, (aged 27),
S/o.Suresh.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Bazaar P.S.,
in Cr.No.155/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 24.01.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.K.Anbuezhayan, B.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.
B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing



both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.155/2024 Bazaar P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.109,294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. According to the Prosecution, the defacto complainant and the petitioners are same family. There was a money dispute between the defacto complainant and the petitioners due to receiving the rent amount from the Nachiyar Rice Mill. On 08.05.2024 at about 10.30 a.m. when the defacto complainant was going to the Rice Mill for receiving the rent amount, at that time, A2 to A4 came there due to the instigation of A1 and abused him in filthy language and A2 assaulted him with iron rod on his head and A3 and A4 assaulted with hands and legs and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. The injured were discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there was a money dispute between the defacto complainant and the petitioners due to receiving the rent amount from the Nachiyar Rice Mill, at that time, A2 to A4 came there due to the instigation of A1 and abused him in filthy language and A2 assaulted him with iron rod on his head and A3 and A4 assaulted with hands and legs and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital on 12.05.2024. One previous case is pending against the 1st and 2nd petitioner and no previous case is pending against the 3rd and 4th petitioners. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the occurrence was happened due to money dispute between the defacto complainant and the petitioners. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. One previous case is pending against the 1st and 2nd petitioner and no previous case is pending against the 3rd and 4th petitioners. if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-



i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, No.I, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall **report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S, Ramanathapuram
The Petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.163/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000150-2024)

1. Karnan, (aged 63/2024)

S/o. Ganesan.

2. Nagendran, (aged 55/2024)

S/o. Thonthiganapathi.

3. Saminathan, (aged 52/2024),

S/o. Ganesan.

4. Murugan, (aged 45/2024),

S/o. Kandan.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2 to 5

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,

Devipattinam P.S.,

in Cr.No.161/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K,G.Ganesh B.B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:



ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.161/2024 of Devipattinam P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.143, 147, 294(b), 323, 324, 353,336, 427, 506(ii) IPC have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there is some previous motive between the both groups due to conduct the temple festival. Subsequently the petitioner's group conducted temple festival without permission. Due to which on 10.05.2024 at about the petitioners and others unlawfully assembled and abused the defacto complainants groups and assaulted the defacto complainant's groups with hands and damaged the protection vehicle of the police and also threatened them with dire consequence. Hence the charge. .

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. This is a case and case in counter. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 7 accused involved



in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2 to A5. A1, A6, A4 are in judicial custody. Further he has contended that counter case has also registered in CR.No.162/2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital . No previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 7 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2 to A5. A1, A6, A4 are in judicial custody. Further he has contended that counter case has also registered. The occurrence was happened between the defacto complainant's groups and the petitioners groups due to conduct temple festival. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram**



within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall **report and sign before the Ramanathapuram Town P.S daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in

TNRM000001502024



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam
The Inspector of Police, Ramanathapuram Town P.S.,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.

TNRM000002372024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.217/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000237-2024)

1. Deivam (aged.48)
S/o. Noorullah

..Petitioners/ Accused No.4.

/Vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,
Forest Range,
Ramanathapuram.
in WLOR.No.02/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan,B.A.,B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.9, 39, 51 and 52 of Wildlife Protection Act and Sec. 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 25 of Arms Act in WLOR No.02/2024 has filed this petition seeking on anticipatory

TNRM000002372024



bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

TNRM000001882024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.177/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000188-2024)

1. Sugan (aged.39)
S/o. Gurusamy

2. Venkatesh (aged.35)
S/o. Chandrababu

3. Sunil (aged.24)
S/o. Gunasekaran

..Petitioners/ Accused No.2, 3, 5.

/Vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,
Forest Range,
Ramanathapuram.

in WLOR.No.02/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Anbuezhayan, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the

TNRM000001882024



offences punishable U/s.2, 9, 39, 40, 50, 51, 52 and 57 of Wildlife Protection Act r/w Sec. 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 25 of Arms Act in WLOR.No.02/2024 have filed this petition seeking on anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

TNRM000001552024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.135/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000155-2024)

Sathiyendran (aged.38/2024)
S/o. Kalimuthan

..Petitioners/ Accused No.2

/Vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
B1 Town Police Station,
in Cr.No.119/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.Deva.Manoharan Martin, B.A.,B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.379 IPC and Sec. 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act in Cr.No.119/2024 has filed this petition seeking on anticipatory bail.

TNRM000001552024



2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May, 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

TNRM000001542024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT : THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU,B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.128/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000154-2024)

Poovendran (aged.42/2024)
S/o. Sundharraj

..Petitioners/ Accused No.1

/Vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
B1 Town Police Station,
in Cr.No.119/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.Deva.Manoharan Martin, B.A.,B.L., the learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.379 IPC and Sec. 21(4) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act in Cr.No.119/2024 has filed this petition seeking on anticipatory bail.

TNRM000001542024



2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May, 2024.

**S
KUMARA
GURU**

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:13:41 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

TNRM000002282024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.208/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000228-2024)

Maruthupandian (aged 23),
S/o.Kizhavan

... Petitioner/Accused No.8

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi P.S.,
in Cr.No.129/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to modify the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A.,B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.,B.L.,the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The petitioner, who was granted Anticipatory bail vide order of this Court in Crl.M.P.No.72/2024, Dated 16.05.2024 with a condition to report before before the Karaikudi Town P.S., Sivagangai District daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00



p.m until further orders, has now filed this petition seeking to modify the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was already granted on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.72/2024, Dated 16.05.2024. The petitioner is involved in another one case in CrI.M.P.No.66/2024. Therefore the condition No.(iii) may be modified as respondent police instead of Karaikudi Town P.S., Sivagangai District.

3. The Learned Public Prosecutor has not raised any serious objection to allow the petition.

4. On considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State the conditional order imposed in CrI.M.P.No.72/2024, Dated 16.05.2024 is modified that the petitioner is directed to appear and sign before the respondent police station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders.

In the result, the condition is modified that the petitioner is directed to appear and sign before the respondent police station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders and the other conditions passed in the order dated CrI.M.P.No.72/2024, Dated 16.05.2024 remain unchanged.

TNRM000002282024



Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.225/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000245-2024)

Maheswaran, (aged 23),
S/o.Muruganandam.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kadaladi P.S.,
Cr.No.88/2022
C.C.No.95/2023

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.88/2022 of the respondent police. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to



release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 29.10.2022 the dance programme was conducted in the defacto complainant's village. The petitioner and A1 made wordy quarrel with the driver of dance programmers. At that time, advise was given by the defacto complainant to the petitioner and A1. Following which, same day at 8.30 p.m. when the defacto complainant was at home, the petitioner and A1 came there and abused him in filthy language and assaulted with iron rod on his forehead and left eye and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner/accused has contended that, the petitioner is in no way connected with this case and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The injured was discharged from hospital. He has further contended that the date of occurrence in the year 2022. The case was taken on file in C.C.No.95/2023 before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi. Co-accused was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD).No.20711/2022. Most of the investigation has already been completed. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the occurrence was happened on 19.10.2022 and FIR was registered on 31.10.2022. The case was taken



on file in C.C.No.95/2023 before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi. Co-accused was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD). No.20711/2022. On the date of occurrence, the petitioner and A1 abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted with iron rod on his forehead and left eye and caused injuries. The injured was discharged from hospital. 6 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. One previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the occurrence was happened on 19.10.2022 and FIR was registered on 31.10.2022. The case was taken on file in C.C.No.95/2023 before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi.Co-accused was released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD). No.20711/2022. On the date of occurrence, the petitioner and A1 abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted with iron rod on his forehead and left eye and caused injuries. The injured was discharged from hospital. 6 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. One previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused are considered by this court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with following conditions:-



i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in

TNRM000002472024



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A

IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Kadaladi P.S.
The petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.222/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000242-2024)**

1. Abulkalam Aasath, (aged 25/2024), (A2)
S/o. Yakoop.
2. Aasik @ Mohamed Aasik, (aged 23/2024), (A3)
S/o. Neinakaja.
3. Noupal @ Mohamed Noupeel, (aged 22/2024), (A4)
S/o. Abuthahir.
4. Santhosh, (aged 20/2024) (A5)
S/o. Senthilkumar.
5. Sivasankar, (aged 19/2024) (A7)
S/o. Siva.

...Petitioners/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
R.S.Mangalam P.S.,
Cr.No.121/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru. Raja Sahul Hameed, B.Sc., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioners and



Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.121/2024 of R.S.Mangalam P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.143, 294(b), 341, 324, 506(ii) IPC, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 14.05.2024 at about 11.30 p.m. when the defacto complainant was proceeding in his vehicle from the Athiras Backery with his friend Antony vijay, they reached Poovanipettai nearby SBI ATM, at that time A1 and A2 waylaid the defacto complainant's vehicle. At that time other accused also came there and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him on his face and assaulted Antony Vijay on his head and assaulted another two persons and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. The injured were discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 7 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2 to A5 and A7. On the date of occurrence, A1 and A2 waylaid the defacto complainant's vehicle and petitioner and others abused in filthy language and assaulted him and his friend and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. A1 is in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. One previous case is pending against A3 and A4. No previous case is pending against A2, A5 to A7.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 7 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2 to A5 and A7. On the date of occurrence, A1 and A2 waylaid the defacto complainant's vehicle and petitioners and others abused him in filthy language and assaulted him and his friend and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. A1 is in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. One previous case is pending against A3 and A4. No previous case is pending against A2, A5 to A7. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-



i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall **report before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned

TNRM000002422024



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, R.S.Mangalam P.S,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.178/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000192-2024)**

1. Chandrabose, (aged 59), (A2)
S/o.Kundappan.
 2. Sathiya, (aged 43) (A9)
W/o.Pandi
 3. Karthigaiselvi, (aged 40) (A10)
W/o.Manivannan.
 4. Pushpavalli, (aged 65) (A11)
W/o.Kannan.
 - 5.Vijayalakshmi, (aged 44) (A12)
W/o.Mohanasundaram ...Petitioners/Accused
- /vs/
- State, through the Inspector of Police
Abiramam P.S.,
Cr.No.162/2023 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Anbucheziyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the



petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.162/2023 of the Abiramam P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.147, 294(b), 506(i) IPC @ 147, 294(b), 448, 352, 354(B), 355, 383, 506(ii) IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act have filed this petition for seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 24.03.2023 at about 10.30 p.m. the petitioners trespassed the defacto complainant's house and abused her in filthy language and assaulted with hand on her cheek and pulled her hair and saree and pulled her sister-in-law's hair and saree and assaulted her father-in-law with hand and pushed him and damaged the kitchen utensils and handle of the bureau and also threatened them to set off fire the house and also threatened them with dire consequences Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way



connected with the case. No one injured in this case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that the occurrence was happened on 24.03.2023. Final report yet to filed. After re-investigation the sections were altered and the petitioners names were implicated as accused. Further he has contended that, totally 13 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2, A9 to A12. No one was injured in this case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 13 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2, A9 to A12. No one was injured in this case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, the



2nd to 5th petitioners are being ladies are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **2nd to 5th petitioners shall report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of 10 days and 1st** petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders and on further condition that they shall make available



themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000001922024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi.

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Abiramam P.S.

The Petitioners through their Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

Cr.I.M.P.No.170/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000196-2024)

Jeyakumar, (aged 22),
S/o.Vellimuthu.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Keelakkarai P.S.,
in Cr.No.66/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Selvaraj, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.66/2024 of the respondent police. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 323, 324 IPC, has filed this petition seeking to



release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant and the petitioner. On 22.04.2024 at about 4.00 p.m. when the defacto complainant was going to the burial ground for his relative's death, the petitioner made quarrel with the defacto complainant. Due to that, when the defacto complainant was sitting in his sister's home, the petitioner came there and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him with beer bottle on his hand and caused injuries and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner/accused has contended that, the petitioner is in no way connected with this case and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The injured has treated as out patient. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted with beer bottle on his hand and caused injuries. The injured was treated as out patient. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.



5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner assaulted the defacto complainant with beer bottle on his hand and caused injuries. The injured was treated as out patient. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, act of the petitioner are considered by this court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m. and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A **IPC.**

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000001962024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The-Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Keelakkarai P.S.
The petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000001492024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.161/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000149-2024)

Mani, (aged 62)
S/o.Chellaiah.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Devipattinam P.S.,
Cr.No.162/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Mayakannan, M.,A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.162/2024 of Devipattinam P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.143, 147, 153, 294(b), 323, 336, 353, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is some previous motive between the both groups due to conduct the temple festival in this regard already complaint was given before the respondent police. Subsequently the petitioner's group conducted temple festival without permission. Due to which on 10.05.2024 at about 11.00 p.m the petitioners and others unlawfully assembled and abused the defacto complainants groups and tried to assault them with stands and hands and threatened them with dire consequence. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. This is a case and case in counter. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No one was injured. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A6. A1 to 5 are in judicial custody from 11.05.2024. The injured was discharged from hospital. Further he has contended that counter case has also registered in Cr.No.161/2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 6 accused involved in this case.



The petitioners are arrayed as A6. A1 to 5 are in judicial custody. Counter case has also registered. The injured was discharged from hospital. The occurrence was happened between the defacto complainant and the petitioners groups due to some temple festival. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank

TNRM000001492024



pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000001492024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000000032024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT)
RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.11/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-0000003-2024)**

Pavin, (aged 18/2024),
S/o.Raja @ Muniyasamy.,

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.168/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Srikanth, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.168/2024 of the respondent P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 448, 294(b), 427, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking



to release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, the petitioner is a brother of the defacto complainant. There is some misunderstanding between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. Subsequently on 18.04.2024 at about 11.50 p.m, when the defacto complainant and his relative are sleeping in his house, the petitioner and 3 others came there and tress-passed into the house along with weapons and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and the petitioner has damaged the front portion glass of the car bearing Registration No.TN 65 AD 4921 which was parking in the compound by using sword and also other accused have damaged the upstairs house window glass of the defacto complainant. Further the petitioner threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. No one was injured in this case. The petitioner and the defacto complainant are co-brothers and there was some family dispute between them. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal District Court in CrI.M.P.No.1758/2024 dated 26.04.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 is still absconding. There is some previous motive between the defacto complainant and A1. A1 is brother of the defacto complainant. On the date of occurrence, the petitioner and 3 others have damaged front glass portion of the defacto complainant's car and other accused damaged the house windows. Damage value Rs.1,00,000/-. No one was injured. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal District Court in CrI.M.P.No.1758/2024 dated 26.04.2024. One previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioner is arrayed as A1. The defacto complainant is brother of the petitioner. At the time of occurrence the petitioner and 3 others damaged the front portion of the car and also damaged window glasses by using sword. The damage value is Rs.1,00,000/-. No one was injured. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. One previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is



released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned**; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand only) to the Credit of Crime No.168/2024 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram without prejudice to his defence before the Judicial Magistrate concerned**;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioner shall report before the respondent Police Station**



daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000000032024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000001612024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.133/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000161-2024)

Shanmugavasan, (aged 21)
S/o.Nagarasu.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Thiruppulani P.S.,
Cr.No.81/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Kaleeswaran, MCA., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.81/2024 of Thiruppulani P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.341,294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is some previous motive on love affairs between both the parties. Due to which on 04.05.2024 at about 2.00 p.m when the defacto complainant was attending natural callings at Krishna School near, at that time the petitioner and one another waylaid him and abused in filthy language and assaulted him by way of knife and hands on his left elbow and right chest and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 is in judicial custody. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and A1 assaulted the defacto complainant with knife and hands and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital on 16.05.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.

5. Considered the both side Counsels arguments and perused the petition averments, the occurrence was happened due to marriage dispute. The injured was



discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make



available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thiruppulani P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.227/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000247-2024)**

Kathiravan (aged 35/2024),
S/o.Kumar.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Mandapam P.S.,
Cr.No.64/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Palanikumar, B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.64/2024 of the Mandapam P.S. The petitioner who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences



punishable U/s.143, 341, 294(b), 427, 506(i) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 14.04.2024 at about 8.30 p.m. when the defacto complainant was returning from election campaigning in his vehicle, the petitioner and others waylaid the vehicle and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and pulled his shirt and pushed him and also damaged the Flex Board in the vehicle and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court in CrI.M.P.No.158/2024 dated 16.05.2024 and co-accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court in same order. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 4 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. On the date of occurrence, when the defacto complainant was returning from election campaigning in his vehicle, the petitioner and others waylaid the vehicle and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and pulled his shirt and pushed him and also damaged the Flex Board in the vehicle. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by this court in



CrI.M.P.No.158/2024 dated 16.05.2024 and co-accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court in same order. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. 7 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, on the date of occurrence, when the defacto complainant was returning from election campaigning in his vehicle, the petitioner and others waylaid the vehicle and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and pulled his shirt and pushed him and also damaged the Flex Board in the vehicle. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. 7 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused and bad antecedents of the petitioner are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate**



concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioner shall report before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

TNRM000002472024



vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Rameswaram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Mandapam P.S.
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.126/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000142-2024)**

1. Murugavel, (aged 60/2024),
S/o.Ambakkilavan.

2. Pandeewari, (aged 59/2024),
W/o.Murugavel.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1, 2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Sayalkudi P.S.,
Cr.No.153/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Duraimurugan, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.153/2024 of the Sayalkudi P.S. The



petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 324, 506(ii) IPC, have filed this petition for seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioners due to field varappu. Due to that, on 03.05.2024 at about 2.30 p.m. the defacto complainant was ploughed his field by one Selvam. On the same at about 5.00 p.m. the defacto complainant was called to the petitioners house through Selvam due to he was set off fire the petitioners field. Following which, the defacto complainant went to the petitioners' house, thereby petitioners abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and due to the instigation of A2, A1 assaulted with iron rod on his left wrist and right elbow and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and caused injuries. The injured



was discharged from hospital on 17.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and caused injuries. The injured was discharged from hospital on 17.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from**



the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioners shall report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

TNRM000001422024



vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered

U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.
The Petitioners through their Counsel.