

IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.175/2024 (CNR No. TNRM-01-000195-2024)

Gowtham @ Kanishkar, S/o.Gandhidurai.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police Chathirakudi P.S., Cr.No.85/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of

Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A.B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.

B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both

sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

<u>ORDER</u>

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.85/2024 of Chathirakudi P.S., The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 448, 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC and 4 of TNPHW Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is a civil dispute between both the parties. Due to which the petitioner tress-passed into the defacto complainant's house and assaulted with Aruvalmanai on his wife's left elbow and also pulled her hair and pushed down and caused injuries on her shoulder and trampled the defacto complainant's chest and also threatened with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. Further he has contended that there is a civil dispute between the both parties. Injured was discharged from hospital. This is a case and case in counter. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. The petitioner is working at Thiruvallur District. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there is a civil dispute between the both parties. The petitioner tress-passed into the defacto complainant's house and assaulted with Aruvalmanai on his wife's left elbow and also pulled her hair and pushed down and caused injuries on her shoulder and trampled the defacto complainant's chest. Further he would submit that the injured was discharged from



hospital on 20.05.2024. Counter case has also registered in Cr.No.84/2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the occurrence was happened due to civil dispute between the both parties. Injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Counter case has also registered. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate**, **Paramakudi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate Concerned**; **If the petitioner/accused is not**



surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the Inspector of Police,
Manavala Nagar Police Station, Thiruvallur District daily at 8.00 a.m and 7.00
p.m until further orders and on further condition that he shall make available
himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);



vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S S KUMARAGURU KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.23 20:12:30 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram. 23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

То

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram, The Inspector of Police, Chathirakudi P.S., The Inspector of Police, Manavala Nagar P.S., Thiruvallur District, The Petitioner through his Counsel.

Visit ecourts.gov.in for updates or download mobile app "eCourts Services" from Android or iOS



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.174/2024 (CNR No. TNRM-01-000197-2024)

- 1. Gandhithurai,(aged 62), S/o.Ramasamy.
- 2. Vasuki, (aged 55), W/o.Gandhithurai.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police, Chathirakudi P.S., **Cr.No.82/2024**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated.21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

<u>ORDER</u>

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.82/2024 of the respondent P.S. The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the



offences punishable U/s. 294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC and section 4 of TNPHW Act, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a civil dispute between the both parties. Due to that on 12.05.2024 at about 11.30 a.m., when the defacto complainant and his husband in her house, at that time the petitioners came there and abused the defcto complainant and her husband in filthy language and assaulted them by way of wooden log and thorny log on there head and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Injured was discharged from hospital. Major part of the investigation has already been completed. If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will not tamper the witnesses and abscond. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and his husband with wooden logs and caused injuries due to previous motive on civil dispute. The injured was discharged from hospital on 17.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. No previous



cases are pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that, on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and his husband with wooden log and caused injuries due to previous motive on civil dispute. Injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been completed. No previous cases are pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;



ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall report before the **respondent police station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560)



vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A

IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S KUMARAGURU KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.23 20:12:13 +0530

> Vacation Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram. 23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

То

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram The Inspector of Police Chathirakudi P.S., The Petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L., Principal Sessions Judge,

Ramanathapuram.

Tuesday the 30th day of April 2024

Crl.M.P.No.1813/2024 (CNR No. TNRM-01-002316-2024)

- 1. Arunachalam, (aged 27/2024), S/o.Muniyasamy.
- 2. Mariselvam, (aged 39/2024), S/o.Cheepan.
- 3.Dineshkumar, (aged 29/2024), S/o.Murugaiah.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2 to 4

State, through the Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S., Cr.No.214/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated.26.04.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

/vs/

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of

Thiru.A.Ramalingam, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and

Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and

upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

<u>ORDER</u>

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.214/2024 of the Kenikkarai P.S. The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the



offences punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 324,506(ii) IPC have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a previous motive between the both parties. On 19.04.2024 at about 9.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant was proceeding in a two wheeler, the petitioners and others came there and waylaid him and abused in filthy language and assaulted by way of iron rod , wooden log and hands and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Injured was discharged from hospital. Major part of the investigation has already been completed. If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, they will not tamper the witnesses and abscond. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there are totally 4 accused involved in this case. The petitioners arrayed as A2 to A4.A1 is in judicial custody. On the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the



defacto complainant with iron rod and wooden logs and caused injuries. The injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. One previous cases is pending against the1st petitioner and two previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner. No previous case is pending 3rd petitioner. NBW is pending against the against A3 . If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to create communal problem in their village. Hence the petition is to be dismissed against 1st and 2nd petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that, on the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with iron rod and wooden logs and caused injuries . Further more material part of the witnesses have already been completed. One previous cases is pending against the1st petitioner and two previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner. No previous case is pending 3rd petitioner. NBW is pending against the against A3 . If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to create communal problem in their village. Hence the petition is to be dismissed against 1st and 2nd petitioner. Nature and circumstances and bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that in respect of the 1st and 2nd petitioner, the petition I dismissed and the 3rd petitioner is entitled to get



anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the 3rd petitioner by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned (*)Judicial Magistrate, No.II, Ramanathapuram within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the 3rd petitioner shall stay at Salem and report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Salem **daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the 3rd petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;



v) that the 3rd petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560)

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

In respect of the 1st and 2nd petitioner the petition is dismissed Pronounced by me in open court, this the 30th day of April 2024.

> Sd/-S.Kumaraguru, Principal Sessions Judge, Ramanathapuram. 30.04.2024.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM. PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, Principal District Judge, Ramanathapuram Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.199/2024 (CNR No.TNRM00-000219-2024)

Dhineshkumar (aged 29/2024), S/o.Murugaiah.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S., in Cr.No.214/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to extension of time for producing sureties.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., Learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.,B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

<u>ORDER</u>

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1813/2024 dated 30.04.2024.

2. Heard, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor .

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1813/2024 dated 30.04.2024 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two



sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned the Judicial Magistrate No.I1, Ramanathapuram within a period of 15 days from the date of this order. He could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order imposed in Crl.M.P.No.1813/2024 dated 30.04.2024.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted on anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1813/2024 dated 30.04.2024 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.

5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner is directed to pay a sum of **Rs.1000/-** (**Rupees Thousand only**) to the **District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** and to produce the sureties as ordered in Cr1.M.P.No.1813/2024 dated 30.04.2024 for which time extension for 15 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1000/-**(**Rupees Thousand only**) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram on or before 29.05.2024 to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram and time is extended till 07.06.2024.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 23rd day of May 2024. S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
20:11:39 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024



Copy sent through E-mail:-

То

The learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapruam The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram, The Inspector of police Kenikkarai P.S., The petitioner through his Counsel.

The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram