

TNRM000002122024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.192/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000212-2024)**

Thennarasu, (aged 49),
S/o.Velu.

....Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.293/2013
CC.No.550/2019.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Pasumalai, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 06.05.2024 in Cr.No.293/2013 of the respondent P.S., for the charges punishable U/s.294(b), 323, 324, 447, 427, 506(ii)



IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the case was taken on file in C.C.No.550/2019 and same was pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi. Further he has submitted that the case was posted for hearing, the petitioner was unable to appear before the Court due to his ill-health, hence NBW has been issued against him. Further he would submit that NBW was executed on 06.05.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 18 days. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3499/2024 on 20.05.2024. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution, the above said absence is neither willful nor wanton by the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 4 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. The occurrence took place on 06.10.2023 and FIR was registered on the same day. Further he has contended that the case was taken on file in CC No.550/2019 and same was pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi. Due to non-appearance of the petitioner, the learned Judicial Magistrate has been issued NBW against him and it was executed on 06.05.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In the



circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to jumped on bail.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of the case records, it is found that, the petitioner is arrayed as A4. The occurrence took place on 06.10.2023 and FIR was registered on the same day. Further he has contended that the case was taken on file in CC No.550/2019 and same was pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi. Due to non-appearance of the petitioner, the learned Judicial Magistrate has been issued NBW against him and it was executed on 06.05.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, period of custody are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with following conditions:-

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.25,000/-(Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) **with two sureties** each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramathapuram;**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank



pass book to ensure their identity;

v) **that at the time time of furnishing the sureties, the petitioner shall file an undertaking affidavit that he will not involve any kind of offence in future and he will appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned on all hearing dates without fail, if he is failed to attend the court for hearing in future, the learned Counsel for the petitioner to file appropriate petition before the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned ;**

iv) that the **petitioner shall report and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall co-operate with speedy disposal of the case;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

TNRM000002122024



viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:47:37 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.109/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000124-2024)

Chandrasekar @ Chandrasekaran (aged 48)
S/o.Karuppaiah

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Keelathooval P.S., in Cr.No.74/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 16.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Mayakannan,M.Com.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1763/2024 dated 29.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station from 4.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from **05.05.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 17 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:48:32 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.115/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000130-2024)

Manikandan, (aged 23)

S/o.Bagavathidoss

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Rameswaram Town P.S., in Cr.No.75/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 16.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Mayakannan,M.Com.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1725/2024 dated 24.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station from 1.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from **01.05.2024 to 13.05.2024 for the past 13 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:48:45 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Town P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.117/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000132-2024)

1.Karuppasamy, (aged 29/2024)
S/o.Dharmalingam

2.Udhayakumar, (29/2024)
S/o.Karuppiyah

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,3

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Keelaselvanur P.S.,
in Cr.No.18/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition
imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of
Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and
upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in
Crl.M.P.No.1826/2024, dated 30.04.2024 with a condition to report before the



Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 3.05.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from **03.05.2024 to 22.05.2024 for the past 20 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

TNRM000001322024



In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.23
18:48:55 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelaselvanur P.S.,
The petitioners through their counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.198/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000218-2024)

Samana (aged 46)
C/o.Abdulkabur,

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
B1 Town P.S.,
Ramanathapuram in Cr.No.56/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Muralidoss,B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1521/2024 dated 17.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner



has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station from 1.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from **01.05.2024 to 20.05.2024 for the past 20 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:49:07 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, B.1 Town P.S., Ramanathapuram
The petitioner through his counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.
PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.200/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000220-2024)

1.Angusamy, (aged 63)
S/o.Ayothi,

2.Kanagapushpam (aged 53)
W/o.Angusamy

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
in Cr.No.54/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Raja Sahul Hameed, B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.1535/2024, dated 17.04.2024 with a condition to report before the



Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 3.05.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from **03.05.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 19 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:49:39 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002202024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,
The petitioners through their counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.201/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000221-2024)

Sanjay (aged 19)

S/o.Vadivel

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Emaneswaram P.S., in Cr.No.75/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1732/2024 dated 25.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station from 1.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from **09.05.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 18 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:49:56 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.202/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000222-2024)

1.Sathiyamoorthy, (aged 43/2024)

S/o.Duraisamy

2.Sutha, (38/2024)

W/o.Sathiyamoorthy

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Emaneswaram P.S.,

in Cr.No.70/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran,M.A.,B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioners, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1652/2024, dated 23.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Investigating Officer daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioners have



filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the Investigating Officer daily at 10.30 a.m., from 3.05.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from **03.05.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 19 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:50:13 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000002212024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,
The petitioners through their counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.203/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000223-2024)

Sathriyan (aged 21)
S/o.Ramachandran

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Parthibanur P.S., in Cr.No.46/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1838/2024 dated 30.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station from 4.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from **04.05.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 18 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:50:30 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanur P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.204/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000224-2024)

Parameswari (aged 60)
W/o.Subramaniyan

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Thondi P.S., in Cr.No.86/2008

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.T.N.Karunakaran, B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1839/2024 dated 30.04.2024 with a condition to report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurai from 6.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.1, Madurai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from **29.04.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 23 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:50:44 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thondi P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.205/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000225-2024)

Natchiyappan, (aged 54)
S/o.Muthusamy

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
S.P.Pattinam P.S., in Cr.No.51/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy.B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1691/2024 dated 29.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on



him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 1.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from **1.05.2024 to 22.05.2024 for the past 22 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:50:59 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, S.P.Pattinam P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.207/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000227-2024)

1.Sahadevan, (aged 38)
S/o.Krishnan,

2.Muthuvijayan, (aged 37)
S/o.Krishnan

...Petitioners/Accused No.4,5

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Keelathooval P.S.,
in Cr.No.48/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A.,B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioners, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.1655/2024, dated 24.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further

TNRM000002272024



orders. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 27.04.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., **from 26.05.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 20 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:51:13 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000002272024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.,
The petitioners through their counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
CrI.M.P.No.209/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000229-2024)

Shanmugavel (aged 44/2024)
S/o.Subramaniyan

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Abiramam P.S., in Cr.No.139/2021
in SC.No.167/2021

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Mayakannan,M.Com.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.559/2024 dated 08.02.2024 with a condition to report before this court daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking



to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before this court from 2.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before this court daily at 10.30 a.m., from **27.04.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 25 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:53:28 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Abiramam P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.211/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000231-2024)

Faisul Rahuman, (aged 37)
S/o.Nazeerkhan

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Thiruvadanai P.S., in Cr.No.61/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Mohanbabu,B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.1500/2024 dated 16.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on



him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station from 20.4.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from **23.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 23 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:53:42 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thiruvadanai P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.

TNRM000002322024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.212/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000232-2024)

Ramachandran (aged 33)

S/o.Naganathan

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai P.S., in Cr.No.343/2019

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.G.Kesavan, the Learned Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1527/2024 dated 08.04.2024 with a condition to report before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram from 4.5.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram daily at 10.30 a.m., from **04.05.2024 to 21.05.2024 for the past 17 days.**

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 23rd day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:54:00 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.

TNRM000002332024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.213/2024

(CNR No.TNRM00-000233-2024)

Sakthivel (aged 37/2024)

S/o.Subramanian.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Devipattinam P.S.,
in Cr.No.360/2023.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 21.05.2024 prays to extension of time for producing sureties.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.M.Mohan Babu, B.A.,B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.,B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in CrI.M.P.No.1322/2024 dated 28.03.2024.

2. Heard, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor .

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.1322/2024 dated 28.03.2024 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with

TNRM000002332024



two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Ramanathapuram within a period of 15 days from the date of this order. The learned counsel for the petitioner has contented that the Petitioner is ill-health. He could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order imposed in Crl.M.P.No.1322/2024 dated 28.03.2024.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted on anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1322/2024 dated 28.03.2024 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.

5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner is directed to pay a sum of **Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** and to produce the sureties as ordered in Crl.M.P.No.1322/2024 dated 28.03.2024 for which time extension for 15 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1000/- (Rupees Thousand only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** on or before 29.05.2024 to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram and time is extended till 07.06.2024.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.23
18:54:16 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

TNRM000002332024



Copy sent through E-mail:-

To

The learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of police Devipattinam P.S.,

The petitioner through his Counsel.

The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.171/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000199-2024)

Saravanan, (57),
S/o.Sundaram..

....Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.255/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Illamaran, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.255/2024 of the respondent police. The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 379 IPC r/w section 21(1) of MMDR Act , has filed this



petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant is the Deputy Tahsildar, Kenikkarai.. At the time of patrolling , the petitioner and A1 have illegally transported 150 bags of sand in a Mahindra Bolero Pick up vehicle bearing Reg.N No.TN 65 AS 5229 near AVM School without getting any licence from competent authorities. Further the properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. The properties have already been recovered by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.166/2024 on 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is enlarged on anticipatory bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and A1 have illegally transported 150 bags of sand without getting any permission from the concerned authorities. A2 moved bail application before this court. Properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.166/2024



on 16.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and another have committed in sand theft. Property has already been secured by the respondent police. There is substantial changes from the earlier dismissal order. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Nature and circumstances considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with on payment of cost with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) **with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate concerned**; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this



anticipatory bail order stand cancelled automatically;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram within 15 days;**

iii) **that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time furnishing sureties;**

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioner shall **report and sign before the respondent police station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the 2nd petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner

TNRM000001992024



released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.23
18:43:38 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.204

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002002024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.180/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000200-2024)

Udhayakumar, (aged 33),
S/o.Pulendran.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,
Ramanathapuram Forest Range,
WLOR.No.2/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Anbuezhayan, B.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 01.05.2024 in WLOR No.2/2024 on the file of the respondent for the offences punishable U/s. 9, 39, 51, 52 of Wild Life Act 1972 and section 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 25 of Arms Act 1959, has filed this petition



for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, based on information the respondent went to the occurrence place on 01.05.2024 and collected information from the public that a venison curry bags laid at Peravur road, the 2 persons came in a two wheeler and taken away from the occurrence place. After investigation the petitioner and 4 others committed the offence and the same was admitted by the petitioner on confession. The respondent went to the petitioner's hose and seized the hunting properties like torch light, country made gun-2, and venison head-2, 8 legs and TVS bike and other articles. All the accused have committed deer hunting and buried skin of venison. Hence the charge.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is in no way connected in this case. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 01.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 23 days. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Properties have already been secured. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.46/2024 on 16.05.2024. Hence, if the petitioner is released on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the



prosecution version. Further The Officer in-charge, Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram. Further he has contended that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. Others have filed anticipatory bail application before this court. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. The petitioner was arrested on 01.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. Other accused yet be arrested. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses and also to commit same type of offence again.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 is in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. The petitioner was arrested on 01.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, period of custody are considered by this court and come to



conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit non-refundable amount of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** to the credit into the Account **No.33658054216 in favour of the Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram at Head Office, State Bank of India in IFSC Code: SBIN 0000908, MICR code:623002004** without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court;

iv) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

v) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;



vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.23
18:43:51 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Forest Ranger, Ramanathapuram Forest Range,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,
The Officer in-charge, Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.181/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000201-2024)**

Kalimuthu @ Kalimuthan, (aged 45),
S/o.Karuppan.

....Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.255/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Illamaran, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 14.05.2024 in Crl.No.255/2024 on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the charges punishable U/s. 379 IPC r/w section 21(1) of MMDR Act , has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant is the Deputy



Tahsildar, Kenikkarai.. At the time of patrolling , the petitioner and A2 have illegally transported 150 bags of sand in a Mahindra Bolero Pick up vehicle bearing Reg. No.TN 65 AS 5229 near AVM School without getting any licence from competent authorities. Further the properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 14.05.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 10 days. The properties have already been recovered by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.90/2024 on 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and A2 have illegally transported 150 bags of sand without getting any permission from the concerned authorities. A2 moved anticipatory bail before this Court. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.05.2024. Properties involved in this case have already been secured by the



respondent police. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No. 90/2024 on 16.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and another have committed in sand theft. Property has already been secured by the respondent police. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.05.2024. There is substantial changes from the earlier dismissal order. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail entitled to get bail on payment of cost with the following conditions:-

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramathapuram ;**



iii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

TNRM000002012024



ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:44:40 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000002012024



TNRM000002022024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.182/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000202-2024)**

Abdul Ali, (aged 54),
S/o.Seeni Mohammed.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Theriruveli P.S.,
Cr.No.34/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 03.05.2024 in Cr.No.34//2024 of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. U/s.273, 328 IPC r/w section 24(1) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, has filed this petition seeking for bail.



2. According to the prosecution, based on an information the respondent police went to the occurrence place on 02.05.2024 and found that the petitioner and another have hoarded 30,675 Pockets of Prohibited tobacco products (Ganesh 701, Vimal Pan Masala, Vimal Black, Happy Holi) in the bathroom of his house without getting any licence, for selling higher price and the same have been recovered. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, he has not committed any such offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He would further contend that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 03.05.2024. Properties involved in this case have already been seized by the police. Based on confession the petitioner name is implicated in FIR. Property has not been sent to the chemical Test. Most of the investigation has been completed. Earlier 2 bail applications were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.33/2024 and CrI.M.P.No.97/2024 on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024.. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will not possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 is in judicial custody. The petitioner and A1 illegally hoarded 30,675 Pockets of Prohibited Tobacco Products (Ganesh 701, Vimal Pan Masala, Vimal Black, Happy



Holi) in the bathroom of his house without getting any licence, for selling higher price and the same have been recovered. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.05.2024. He would further submit that material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Further he would submit that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.05.2024. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner out of which 2 cases are similar nature. Earlier 2 bail applications were dismissed by this Court 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will commit same kind of offence again.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner is arrayed as A2. The petitioner and A1 illegally hoarded 30,675 Pockets of Prohibited Tobacco Products (Ganesh 701, Vimal Pan Masala, Vimal Black, Happy Holi) in the bathroom of his house without getting any licence, for selling higher price and the same have been recovered. The petitioner was arrested on 03.05.2024. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner out of which 2 cases are similar nature. Substantial change of circumstances available from the earlier dismissal order. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will case to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances and period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion



that petitioner is entitled to get bail on payment of amount and with following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with **two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) as non-refundable to the Credit of District Legal Service Authority, Ramathapuram without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioner shall report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned

TNRM000002022024



Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:45:16 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Theriruvveli P.S., ,
The petitioner through the Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The District Legal Services Authority, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.183/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000203-2024)**

1. Viswanathan, (aged 34),
S/o.Muniyasamy.

2. Navaneethan, (aged 29),
S/o.Muniyandi.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Devipattinam P.S.,
Cr.No.89/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.V.Sunil Malhotra, B.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who were arrested on 12.03.2024 in Cr.No.89/2024 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.302 IPC, has



filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution that, the defacto complainant is a working partner in a private concerned. The deceased hails from a North -Indian State and he was working under the control of the defacto complainant for the past 6 months. On the first day of the occurrence, the petitioners and the deceased were seen travelling in a two wheeler. Subsequently on the next day his dead body was lying in the occurrence place. Thereafter the case has been registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioners took the deceased in a two wheeler and the deceased fell down. Due to the fall, he sustained injuries and caused death. There is no motive or intention between the petitioners and the deceased. The petitioners were arrested on 12.03.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in Crl.M.P.No. 1240/2024 dated 25.04.2024 and another 2 bail applications were dismissed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.47/2024 and 87/2024 on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024 Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that prior to the death of the



deceased, the petitioners' were with the deceased. Thereafter the petitioners assaulted the deceased with fencing stones on his head and committed murder. The petitioners were arrested on 13.03.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Further he has contended that weapons involved in this case have already been recovered. Investigation has been completed and Post-mortem and Viscera report were received. Final report yet to be filed. Two previous case is pending against the 2nd petitioner out of which one is 307 IPC case. No previous case is pending against the 1st petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No. 1240/2024 dated 25.04.2024 and another 2 bail applications were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.47/2024 and 87/2024 on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that the occurrence took place on 11.03.2024 and the petitioners were arrested on 12.03.2024. the defacto complainant is a working partner in a private concerned. The deceased hails from a North -Indian State and he was working under the control of the defacto complainant for the past 6 months. On the first day of the occurrence, the petitioners and the deceased were seen travelling in a two wheeler. Subsequently on the next day his dead body was lying in the occurrence place. Further more most of the investigation has been completed and final report yet to be filed. Two previous cases are pending against the 2nd petitioner



out of which one of the case is u/s.307 IPC. In these circumstances if the petitioners are enlarged on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Substantial changes from the earlier dismissal order. Nature and circumstances, gravity of offence, period of interrogation, stage of the case are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **each with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) **that the petitioners shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the petitioners shall stay at **Sivagangai District** and report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivagangai, daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;



v) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.23
18:46:51 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,.

The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Sivagangai, Sivagangai District,.

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S.,

The petitioners through their Counsel,

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.190/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000210-2024)

1. Karthik, (aged 34/2024),
S/o.Nagasamy.

2. Kumar, (aged 45/2024),
S/o.Ariraman.

....Petitioners/Accused No.3,4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Emaneswaram P.S.,
Cr.No.80/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Ramamoorthy, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners/accused who were arrested on 27.04.2024 in CrI.No.80/2024



on the file of the respondent police and they are facing the charges punishable U/s. 379 IPC @ U/s.379 and 414 IPC, , have filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, on 22.04.2024 at about 11.00 a.m, the defacto complainant was grazing his 2 cows and after grazing the cows, one cow is missing. Thereafter he knowing about the cow, the petitioners and others have stole 3 cows (2 cows are belongs to other persons) and the same were tied-up in a Kattukaruvela trees and have transported at 01.00 a.m by them in TATA ACE bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BM 5271. Total value of the cows Rs.1,85,000/- and the case has been registered

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 27.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody. There is no specific overt-act against the petitioners. The petitioners have taken the defacto complainant's cow in their vehicle to another place. A3 is the owner and A4 is the driver of the vehicle. He would further contend that defacto complainant was given a complaint after 6 days of the occurrence. Vehicle has already been seized by the respondent police. Most of the investigation has been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3094/2024 dated 03.05.2024. and another 2 bail applications were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.32/2024 and 94/2024



on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024. . Further he would submit that co-accused (A1, A2) were already released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.7157/2024 on 22.05.2024. Further he would submit that the vehicle involved in this case has been recovered. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed granting bail to the petitioners. The cows have been sold at Kariyapatti Market by the petitioners and other accused. Its worth about Rs.1,85,000/-. Totally 6 accused involved in this case out of which 2 persons are juvenile. The petitioners are arrayed as A3 and A4. A1 and A2 were already released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in Crl.O.P.(MD) No.7157/2024 on 22.05.2024. The petitioners were arrested on 27.04.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Earlier bail applications were dismissed on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024. Property amount yet to be recovered. Further he would submit that the vehicle involved in this case has been recovered. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioners and others have involved in cow theft. The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2024. Property amount yet to be recovered. There is some change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. Co-accused were released on 22.05.2024 by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration, release of co-accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) **each with one blood surety and one normal surety** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) to the Credit of Crime No.80/2024 before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi without prejudice to his defence before the Judicial Magistrate concerned;**

iii) that the petitioners shall produce undertaking affidavit that they shall **not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;**



iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioners shall report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m for a period of one month until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

TNRM000002102024



ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.23
18:47:22 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,
The petitioners through their Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,

TNRM000002142024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.194/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000214-2024)**

Ramachandran, (aged 36/2024),
S/o.Shanmugasundaram,

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Theriruvveli P.S.,
Cr.No.34/2024**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrahim, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 03.05.2024 in Cr.No.34//2024 of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. U/s.273, 328 IPC r/w section 24(1) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, has filed this petition seeking for bail.



2. According to the prosecution, based on an information, the respondent police went to the occurrence place on 02.05.2024 and found that the petitioner has hoarded 30,675 Pockets of Prohibited tobacco products (Ganesh 701, Vimal Pan Masala, Vimal Black, Happy Holi) in the bathroom of his house without getting any licence, for selling higher price and the same have been recovered. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, he has not committed any such offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He would further contend that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 03.05.2024. Properties involved in this case have already been seized by the police. Based on confession the petitioner name is implicated in FIR. Property has not been sent to the chemical Test. Most of the investigation has been completed. Earlier 2 bail application were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.18/2024 and CrI.M.P.No.97/2024 on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will not possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 is in judicial custody. The petitioner and A1 illegally hoarded 30,675 Pockets of Prohibited Tobacco Products (Ganesh 701, Vimal Pan Masala, Vimal Black, Happy



Holi) in the bathroom of his house without getting any licence, for selling higher price and the same have been recovered. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.05.2024. He would further submit that the investigation is not yet completed. Further he would submit that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Earlier 2 bail applications were dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.18/2024 and 97/2024 on 09.05.2024 and 16.05.2024. If the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 is in judicial custody. The petitioner and A1 illegally hoarded 30,675 Pockets of Prohibited Tobacco Products (Ganesh 701, Vimal Pan Masala, Vimal Black, Happy Holi) in the bathroom of his house without getting any licence, for selling at higher price and the same have been recovered. The petitioner was arrested on 03.05.2024. There is some change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. Material part of the witnesses have already been completed. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit similar offence again. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, period of custody are considered by this Court and comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to get

TNRM000002142024



bail on payment of amount and with following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with **two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non-refundable to the Credit of District Legal Service Authority, Ramathapuram without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.**

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioner shall report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in

TNRM000002142024



accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.23
18:47:50 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Theriruvveli P.S., ,
The petitioner through the Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The District Legal Services Authority, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 23rd day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.195/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000215-2024)**

Pandi, (aged 48/2024),
S/o.Ramakrishnan.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
**Kamuthi P.S.,
Cr.No.391/2023.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 21.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.G.Kesavan, the learned Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who was arrested on 24.12.2023 in Cr.No.391/2023 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 302 IPC @ 341, 294(b), 304(ii) IPC , has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant is a daughter of the deceased Ponram. On 24.12.2023 at about 8.30 a.m, when the deceased was walking at Nallangulam Road near old Society fertilizer godown, the petitioner came there and waylaid the deceased and asked money for consuming alcohol, which was refused by the deceased and the petitioner abused him in filthy language and hold his shirt pulled and pushed him down, due to which the deceased sustained injuries on his back side head and caused death. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 24.12.2023 and he is in judicial custody for the past 5 months. Further he would submit that the investigation has already been completed and final report has been filed. Further he would submit that the case was taken on file as PRC No.9/2024 and the committal proceedings was over. He would further submit that the petitioner only bread winner of his family. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not abscond. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has narrated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that the petitioner has committed the offence U/s.341, 294(b), 302



IPC @ 341, 294(b), 304(ii) IPC. The petitioner was arrested 24.12.2023 and he is in judicial custody. Further he would submit that investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed and the case was taken on file as PRC No.9/2024. After completion of the committal proceedings the case was made over to Sessions Court and the same was taken on file as SC No.110/2024. Further he would submit that 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will commit same type of offence again.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioner waylaid the deceased Ponram and pulled and pushed him down and caused death due such act of the petitioner. The occurrence took place on 23.12.2023 and he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on the same day. The petitioner is in more than 5 months incarceration in judicial custody. Investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed and the case was taken on file as PRC No.09/2024. After completion of the committal proceedings the case was made over to Sessions Court and the same is taken on file as SC.No.110.2024. Further more 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, period of custody and stage of the case are considered by this Court and come to the



conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for **Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties** for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report and sign before this Court **daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

TNRM000002152024



Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 23rd day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.23
18:48:04 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
23.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To
The District Munsif –cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,