



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.152/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000169-2024)**

1. Kathiravan, (aged 35/2024),
S/o.Kumar.
 2. Mani @ Manikandan, (aged 26/2024)
S/o. Raja
 3. Seeni Mari, (aged 51/2024)
S/o.Duraisamy. ...Petitioners/Accused 1 to 3
- /vs/
- State, through the Inspector of Police
Uchippuli P.S.,
Cr.No.153/2024. ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Palanikumar, B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:



ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.153/2024 of Uchipuli P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 353, 506(i) IPC, Section 4A (1a) r/w 4B of TNPPDL Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, on 13.04.2024 at about 8.00 a.m. the defacto complainant was working as a Squad. On that day, the occurrence was happened between two groups related to election symbol. On the occurrence day, the election symbol was drawing in the public properties without getting any permission from the Election department. As per rule, the Election official has instructed the defacto complainant, he has removed the symbol from the wall. At that time, the petitioners and other persons prevent the defacto complainant to doing his duty and also using the unparliamentary words against the defacto complainant. Further the petitioners threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence, the petitioners and others prevented the duties of the public staff and also using the unparliamentary words against the defacto complainant. Further he has contended that 11 cases are pending against the 1st petitioner in similar nature. Further he has contended that investigation is yet to be completed. If the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of case records, it found that, the petitioners and others were obstructed to the officials for discharge their duties. Offence was happened on 13.04.2024. Investigation is yet to be completed. A1 facing 11 cases in similar nature. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Nature and circumstances, attitude of the petitioners, bad antecedents are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are not entitled to get any relief at this stage, hence the petition is dismissed.

TNRM000001712024



In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
19:36:45 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.
The petitioners through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.8/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000007-2024)**

Theivam, (aged 48),
S/o.Noorullah.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,
Ramanathapuram Forest Range,
WLOR.No.2/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, , B.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent in WLOR No.2/2024 on the file of the respondent for the offences punishable U/s. 9, 39, 51, 52 of Wild Life Act 1972 and section 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 and 25 of Arms Act 1959, has



filed this petition for seeking anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, based on information the respondent went to the occurrence place on 01.05.2024 and collected information from the public that a venison curry bags laid at Peravur road, the 2 persons came in a two wheeler and taken away from the occurrence place. After investigation the petitioner and 4 others committed the offence and the same was admitted by the petitioner on confession. The respondent went to the petitioner's hose and seized the hunting properties like torch light, country made gun-2, and venison head-2, 8 legs and TVS bike and other articles. All the accused have committed deer hunting and buried skin of venison. Hence the charge.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case and he is in no way connected in this case. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Properties have already been secured. Hence, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.



4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. A1, A2 are in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. Other accused yet be arrested. Investigation is yet to be completed. Report yet to be received from AIWC, Chennai. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses and also to commit same type of offence again. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. A1 and A2 are in judicial custody. Others are still absconding. All the accused have involved in deer hunting. Properties involved in this case have already been secured and the venison curry sample was sent to Advanced Institute for Wildlife Conservation, (AIWC), Chennai. Other accused yet be arrested. Investigation is yet to be completed. Report yet to be received from AIWC, Chennai. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory

TNRM000000072024



bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses and also to commit same type of offence again. Hence this petition is dismissed.

In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
19:36:25 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Forest Ranger, Ramanathapuram Forest Range,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.79/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-00076-2024)

Harikaran, (aged 23/2024)
S/o.Karikalan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.231/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Srikanth, B.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.231/2024 of Kenikkarai P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is a previous motive between both parties. On 01.05.2024 at about 7.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant going to get the salary with his friend near by OVS theater the petitioners and others waylaid the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language and A2 assaulted him by way of sickle on his back and A1 assaulted him with sickle on his head and others assaulted him by way of hands and caused grievous injuries also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the petitioner and others assaulted the defacto complainant with sickle on his head injuries. The injured is taking treatment as in-patient at Madurai Vellammal hospital.

5. In view of the submission of the learned Public Prosecutor, the injured person is taking treatment as in-patient and he is not yet discharged from the private hospital. Considering the same, the petitioner is not entitled to get any relief at this stage. Hence this petition is dismissed.

TNRM000000762024



In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
19:36:11 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikarai P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000001812024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.141/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000181-2024)

Sivaramakrishnan @ Siva, (aged 22/2024)
S/o.Narayanan

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.231/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Shanmuganathan, M.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.231/2024 of Kenikkarai P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there is a previous motive between both parties. On 01.05.2024 at about 7.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant going to get the salary with his friend near by OVS theater the petitioners and others way laid the defacto complainant and abused him in filthy language and A2 assaulted him by way of sickle on his back and A1 assaulted him with sicke on his head and others assaulted him by way of hands and caused injuries also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the petitioner and others assaulted the defacto complainant with sickle on his head injuries. The injured is taking treatment as in-patient at Madurai Vellammal hospital.

5. In view of the submission of the learned Public Prosecutor, the injured person is taking treatment as in-patient and he is not yet discharged from the private hospital. Considering the same, the petitioner is not entitled to get any relief at this stage. Hence this petition is dismissed.

TNRM000001812024



In the result, the anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by
S KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
19:35:57 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikaarai P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.121/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000136-2024)

Dineshkumar, (aged 29/2024)

S/o.Murugaiah.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Kenikkarai P.S.,

in Cr.No.214/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 14.05.2024 prays to modify the Anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam,B.Sc.,B.L., Learned counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The petitioner, who was granted Anticipatory bail vide order of this Court in CrI.M.P.No.1813/2024, dated 30.04.2024 with a condition to report before before the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Salem daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders, has now filed this petition seeking to modify the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.



2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was already granted on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.1813/2024, dated 30.04.2024. The petitioner is complying the conditional order before the Judicial Magistrate No.1, Salem daily at 10.30 a.m. The petitioner could not complied the condition. Therefore the condition No.(iii) may be modified as learned Judicial Magistrate No.1I Salem instead of learned Judicial Magistrate No.1 Salem.

The Learned Public Prosecutor has not raised any serious objection to allow the petition.

On considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State the conditional order imposed in CrI.M.P.No.1813/2024, dated 30.04.2024 is modified that the petitioner is directed to appear and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.1I Salem daily twice at 10.30.a.m.,** until further orders.

In the result, the condition is modified that the petitioner is directed to appear and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.1I, Salem daily twice at 10.30.a.m.,** until further orders and the other conditions passed in the order dated CrI.M.P.No.1813/2024, dated 30.04.2024 remain unchanged.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.

Digitally signed by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
19:35:18 +0530

TNRM000001362024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.1I, Salem, Salem District

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.

The Petitioner through his counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024
Crl.M.P.No.120/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000135-2024)

Ilamaran, (aged 19/2023)
S/o.Marugantham.

...Petitioner / Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,
Nainarkovil P.S.,
Cr.No.112/2023

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated 14.05.2024 prays to further extension of time for producing sureties.

This petition is coming up on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru.R.Balaji, M.Com., L.L.B., (Hons) Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner for further extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.4712/2023 dated



02.11.2023.

2. Heard the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.4712/2023 dated 02.11.2023 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. The petitioner was already granted extension of time before this court in CrI.M.P.No.669/2024 dated 15.02.2024 and CrI.M.P.No.918/2024 dated 01.03.2024. Now the petitioner has filed this petition to further extension of time to produce the sureties as per order in CrI.M.P.No.4712/2023 dated 02.11.2023.

4.The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was enlarged on anticipatory bail by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.4712/2023 dated 02.11.2023 and already granted extension of time in CrI.M.P.No.669/2024 dated 15.02.2024 and CrI.M.P.No.918/2024 dated 01.03.2024 hence he has no serious objection for further extension of time to produce the sureties.



5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that further the petitioner pays of **Rs.1500/- (Rupees One Thousand Five Hundred only) to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram** and to produce the sureties as ordered in CrI.M.P.No.4712/2023 dated 02.11.2023 is hereby extended for 10 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays **Rs.1,500/- (Rupees One Thousand and Five Hundred only)** on or before 23.05.2024 to the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram and time is extended till 27.05.2024.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
19:34:44 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram