

TNRM000001572024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT)  
RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.165/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000157-2024)**

Ilangovan, (aged 34/2024),  
S/o.Kandhasamy,

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
Nainarkoil P.S.,  
Cr.No.88/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A.,B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.,B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No. 88/2024 of the respondent P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 379 IPC r/w 21(1) MMDR Act, has filed this petition for



seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that on 12.05.2024 based on the information the respondent police went to the occurrence place and they found that the petitioner has illegally transported one unit river sand without getting any permission from the concerned authorities in a Tractor along with trailer bearing Reg.No.TN 65 AW 6341 and the petitioner escaped from the occurrence place. Hence the charge. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Further he has contended that the property has been already secured by the respondent police. Most of the investigation has already been completed. . No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, he will not tamper the witnesses and abscond. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the illegally transported one unit of sand without getting any permission from the concerned authorities. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.



5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner involved in sand theft and sold 3 unit of river sand without getting any permission. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Property ( 3 unit of Sand) has been secured by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail on payment of cost with following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi** within 15 days from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate concerned**; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramathapuram within 15 days;**



iii) that the petitioner shall produce undertaking affidavit that he shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iv) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

vi) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

TNRM000001572024



ix) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A  
IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:46:46 +0530

**Vacation** Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,  
The petitioner through his Counsel.  
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
**Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.48/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000049-2024)

1. Bose, (aged 57),  
S/o.Nagarethinam.

2. Indirakumar, (aged 20),  
S/o.Bose.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,3

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Nainarkovil P.S.,  
in Cr.No.55/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 07.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Senthilkumar, B.Sc., B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.1520/2024 dated 17.04.2024 with a condition to report before the learned



Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 26.04.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 26.05.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 20 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:46:32 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000000492024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,  
The petitioners through their counsel.



TNRM000001772024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.146/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000177-2024)

Murugan, (aged 40),  
S/o.Velu.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Elanchempur P.S.,**  
**Cr.No.47/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.V.Vilvadurai, B.Com, B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner has filed this petition U/s.438 Cr.P.C and the petitioner is facing the charges for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii), 307 IPC and in Cr.No.47/2024 attached with the respondent police. The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant's sons were working as



daily wages by using their JCB for fixing pipes for Kaveri water under Contractor namely Kannan. The petitioner and others have demanded commission for the contract work from the contractor. At the time of fixing pipes using JCB for Kaveri water by the defacto complainant's son the petitioners and others came to the occurrence place and abused the defacto complainant. It was questioned by the defacto complainant, all the abused him and assaulted with sickle and wooden log on his head and all over his body and caused injuries and tried to commit murder. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they in no way connected with the case. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Session Court. Co-accused A5 has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6868/2024 on 08.05.2024. He would further submit that there is no possibility to tamper with the evidence. Hence, they prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor would contend that there are totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. A1 and A2 has filed anticipatory bail application and A4 has filed bail application before this Court. A4 and A5 has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras

TNRM000001772024



High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6868/2024 on 08.05.2024 and CrI.O.P.(MD) No.7130/2024 on 15.05.2024... A6 still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioners and others assaulted the defacto complainant with deadly weapons and caused injuries. Injured was discharged from hospital. 10 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court .

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that there are totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. A1 and A2 has filed anticipatory bail application and A4 has filed bail application before this Court . A4 and A5 has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6868/2024 on 08.05.2024 and CrI.O.P.(MD) No.7130/2024 on 15.05.2024.. The petitioners and others have assaulted the defacto complainant with sickle, wooden log on his head and caused injuries due to some dispute arose between them. The injured was discharged from the hospital.. Further he has contended that 10 previous cases are pending against the petitioner, In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail no prejudice will case to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to

TNRM000001772024



get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
S  
KUMARAGURU Date:  
2024.05.16  
19:46:16 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Elanchempur P.S., ,  
The petitioners through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
**CrI.M.P.No.140/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000168-2024)

1. Muruganandham, (aged 47),  
S/o.Narayanan.

2. Maheswaran, (aged 23),  
S/o.Muruganandham.

....Petitioners/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Elanchempur P.S.,**  
**Cr.No.47/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K. Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners have filed this petition U/s.438 Cr.P.C and the petitioner is facing the charges for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii), 307 IPC and in Cr.No.47/2024 attached with the respondent police. The petitioners who



apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant's sons were working as daily wages by using their JCB for fixing pipes for Kaveri water under Contractor namely Kannan. The petitioner and others have demanded commission for the contract work from the contractor. At the time of fixing pipes using JCB for Kaveri water by the defacto complainant's son the petitioners and others came to the occurrence place and abused the defacto complainant. It was questioned by the defacto complainant, all the abused him and assaulted with sickle and wooden log on his head and all over his body and caused injuries and tried to commit murder. Hence the charge.

3. The Learned Counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners are innocent and has been falsely implicated in the above case. The occurrence happened due to some money dispute in the contract between the defacto complainant's son and A1. Most of the investigation has been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court. Co-accused A5 has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6868/2024 on 08.05.2024. He would further submit that there is no possibility to tamper with the evidence. Hence, they prays to grant anticipatory



bail to the petitioner.

5. The Learned Public Prosecutor would contend that there are 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. A3 has filed anticipatory bail application before this Court . A4 and A5 has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6868/2024 on 08.05.2024 and CrI.O.P.(MD) No.7130/2024 on 15.05.2024.. A6 still absconding. On the date of occurrence the petitioners and others assaulted the defacto complainant with deadly weapons and caused injuries. Injured was discharged from hospital. 6 witnesses have already been examined. Other accused yet to be arrested. 8 previous cases are pending against the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and No previous cases are pending against the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court .

6. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that there are totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. A3 has filed anticipatory bail application before this Court . A4 and A5 has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6868/2024 on 08.05.2024 and CrI.O.P.(MD) No.7130/2024 on 15.05.2024. A6 still absconding.





The petitioners and others have assaulted the defacto complainant with sickle, wooden log on his head and caused injuries due to some dispute arose between them. The injured was discharged from the hospital.. Further he has contended that 10 previous cases are pending against the petitioner, In these circumstances, if the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner is released on anticipatory bail no prejudice will case to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**In respect of the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner the petition is dismissed as not pressed.**

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
S  
KUMARAGURU Date:  
2024.05.16  
19:46:02 +0530

Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001682024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Elanchempur P.S., ,  
The petitioners through his Counsel.

TNRM000001342024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
CrI.M.P.No.119/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000134-2024)

Agastin, (aged 28),  
S/o.Anthoniraj

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Sayalkudi P.S.,  
in Cr.No.42/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed  
on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of  
Thiru.A.Ramalingam, B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and  
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and  
upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in  
CrI.M.P.No.1330/2024 dated 15.04.2024 with a condition to report before the  
Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further



orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 17.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 17.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 29 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:45:41 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000001342024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.

TNRM000001332024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
CrI.M.P.No.118/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000133-2024)

Ajithkumar (aged 26),  
S/o.Govindharaj

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Sikkal P.S.,  
in Cr.No.46/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Bharathiraja,B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1495/2024 dated 15.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has

TNRM000001332024



filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 27.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 27.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 19 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:45:23 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge

Ramanathapuram.

16.05.2024



TNRM000001332024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Sikkal P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
CrI.M.P.No.116/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000131-2024)

Dharmamuneeswaran, (aged 26),  
S/o.Ramasamy

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Sayalkudi P.S.,  
in Cr.No.70/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.J.Udhuman, B.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1489/2024 dated 15.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.



The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 18.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 18.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 23 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:45:07 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
CrI.M.P.No.114/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000129-2024)

Palaniyandi (aged 35),  
S/o.Chandrasekar

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Rameswaram Town P.S.,  
in Cr.No.80/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed  
on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of  
Thiru.G.Dinesh Raj, M.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and  
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and  
upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in  
CrI.M.P.No.1601/2024 dated 18.04.2024 with a condition to report before the  
Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further



orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 23.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 23.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 23 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU Date: 2024.05.16  
19:44:53 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001292024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram  
The Inspector of Police, Rameswaram Town P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.112/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000127-2024)

1. Naganathan (aged 40),  
S/o.Karu

2. Sarojini, (aged 31),  
W/o.Naganathan

...Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Nainarkovil P.S.,  
in Cr.No.75/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Anbuezhayan,B.A., B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.1735/2024 dated 25.04.2024 with a condition to report before the



Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 27.04.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 27.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 19 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:43:46 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024



TNRM000001272024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,  
The petitioners through their counsel.

TNRM000001262024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.111/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000126-2024)

Vijayakumar (aged 38),  
S/o.Tamilarasan

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Paramakudi Taluk P.S.,  
in Cr.No.260/2022

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the bail condition imposed  
on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of  
Thiru.K.Rajivgandhi. M.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and  
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and  
upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.  
1665/2024 dated 22.04.2024 with a condition to report before the learned Judicial  
Magistrate, Paramakudi daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed

TNRM000001262024



this petition seeking to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily at 10.30 a.m., from 24.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi daily at 10.30 a.m., from 24.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 22 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:43:25 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001262024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram  
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Taluk P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
CrI.M.P.No.110/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000125-2024)

Pugazhenthii (aged 24/2024),  
S/o.Duraisingam ...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Nainarkovil P.S.,  
in Cr.No.177/2023 ...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Rajiv Ganthi, M.Sc.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.321/2024 dated 08.02.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further



orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 28.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 28.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 18 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:43:07 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001252024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Nainarkovil P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.

TNRM000001232024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
CrI.M.P.No.108/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000123-2024)

Jeyaraj, (aged 24),  
S/o.Sundarraaj

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Uchipuli P.S.,  
in Cr.No.104/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.B.Ragu, B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1602/2024 dated 17.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders.



TNRM000001232024



The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 24.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Judicial Magistrate, Thiruvadanai daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 24.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 22 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:42:50 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001232024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram  
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel,

TNRM000001222024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.107/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000122-2024)

Prabhakaran (aged 29/2024),  
S/o.Karunamoorthy

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Uchipuli P.S.,  
in Cr.No.134/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.V.Mahimairaj, B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in Crl.M.P.No.1715/2024 dated 24.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further

TNRM000001222024



orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 27.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 27.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 19 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:42:32 +0530  
S  
KUMARAGURU  
Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001222024



Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Uchipuli P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024**  
Crl.M.P.No.106/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000121-2024)

Backiyaraj, (aged 40/2024),  
S/o.Murugan

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Thirupullani P.S.,  
in Cr.No.69/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition  
imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence  
of Thiru.S.J.Sheik Ibrakim, M.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner  
and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the  
State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the  
following...

**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court  
in Crl.M.P.No.1697/2024 dated 24.04.2024 with a condition to report before the



Judicial Magistrate, No.1, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the Judicial Magistrate, No.1, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 30.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the Judicial Magistrate, No.1, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 30.04.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 16 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

TNRM000001212024



In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:42:16 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Ramanathapuram,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Thirupullani P.S.,  
The petitioner through his counsel.





**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal District and Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

CrI.M.P.No.105/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000120-2024)

1. Karnaboopathy,(aged 59/2024),  
W/o.Jeganathan.

2. Poomaniselvi, (aged 32/2024),  
W/o.Sakthimurugan @ Karthigaisakthimurugan.

3. Arumugam, (aged 85/2024)  
S/o.Karuppan.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 3

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,  
Thiru Uthirakosamangai P.S.,  
in Cr.No.38/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 14.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam, B.Sc., B.L., the Learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...



**ORDER**

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1716/2024 dated 24.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The petitioners have filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have been complying the condition the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 01.05.2024 to till date. The petitioners are only bread winner of their family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on them.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have complied with the condition the Respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 01.05.2024 to 15.05.2024 for the past 15 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioners.

In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioners is totally relaxed.

TNRM000001202024



Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:42:06 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Thiru Uthirakosamangai P.S.,  
The petitioners through their counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.99/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000114-2024)**

1. Ajeeth Vickneswaran, (aged 26),  
S/o.Vetrivel @ Udaiyappan.

2. Balamurugan @ Balakumar  
@ Mandakumar, (aged 28/2024),  
S/o.Sundarapandi.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Kamuthi P.S.,  
Cr.No.37/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners have filed this petition U/s.439 Cr.P.C and they are facing the charges punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 392, 376(D) and 506(ii) IPC attached with



the respondent police in Cr.No.37/2024. The petitioners were remanded to judicial custody on 31.01.2024.

2. According to the prosecution is that, on 29.01.2024 at about 11.45 a.m, when the defacto complainant went to hospital for treatment in a 2 wheeler along with her sisters husband near Edaichiyurani Vellaichamy temple, at that time the defacto complainant went to thorn bush for passing urine, the petitioners and another waylaid her and 2 persons had sexual intercourse with her and she shouted her and her sister husband came there and all the accused abused them and snatched a sum of Rs.3,550/-from them and assaulted him with hands and they were taken photos them . Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that since previous cases are pending against the petitioner, the respondent police has falsely registered a case against the petitioners. The petitioners were arrested on 31.01.2024 and they are in judicial custody for the past 2 months. Further he has contended that material part of the witnesses have already been examined and medical examination is also completed. Earlier two bail applications weres dismissed by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.883/2024 and Crl.M.P.1310/2024 on 04.03.2024 and 27.03.2024. If the petitioners are released on bail, they will not tamper the

TNRM000001142024



witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor has contended that initially FIR was registered for the offences U/s. 34, 294(b), 323, 392, 376(D) and 506(ii) IPC. After investigation the above said offences has to be altered as SC/ST Act. Hence the petition is not maintainable one.

In view of the representation by the learned Public Prosecutor, the petition is not maintainable one. Hence this petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
S  
KUMARAGURU Date:  
2024.05.16  
19:41:30 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S.,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
**Vacation Sessions Judge,**  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.95/2024**  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000110-2024)

1. Dhanapandi, (aged 28),  
S/o.Subbaiah.

2. Ajeeth, (aged 25),  
S/o.Murugan.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Parthibanur P.S.,**  
**Cr.No.65/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.Muneeswaran, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners who were arrested on 12.04.2024 in Cr.No. 65/2024 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.387 and 506(ii) IPC, have



filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, the defacto complainant is running a petty shop. The petitioners purchased cigarette and they informed to pay the amount on G-Pay. Then they have not paid money through G-Pay, it questioned them, the petitioners taken 7up bottles and attempted to assault him and threatened him and took away Rs.500/- from the cash box of the shop. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners are in no way connected with the offence and they are falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners were arrested on 12.04.2024 and they are in judicial custody for the past 35 days. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3123/2024 dated 07.05.2024. If the petitioners are released on bail, it will not possible to tamper and hamper the investigation. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that the occurrence was happened due to some money dispute to pay through G-pay between the parties at petty shop. The petitioners were arrested on 12.04.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Further he has contended that 5 previous





cases are pending against the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and 4 previous cases are pending against the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner. If the petitioners are released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that, no one was injured in the present case. The petitioners threatened the defacto complainant and took away Rs.500/- from the cash box of the defacto complainant's shop. The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 12.04.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined.. Further he has contended that 5 previous cases are pending against the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner and 4 previous cases are pending against the 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration and bad antecedents of the petitioners are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions:

i) that the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank



pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall **report and sign before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar daily twice at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC;

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
S  
KUMARAGURU Date:  
2024.05.16  
19:41:15 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

TNRM000001102024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,  
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Virudhunagar, Virudhunagar District,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanur P.S.,  
The Petitioners through their Counsel.  
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.94/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000109-2024)**

1. Karthik,. (aged 34/2024),  
S/o.Nagasamy.

2. Kumar, (aged 45/2024),  
S/o.Ariraman.

....Petitioners/Accused No.3,4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
Emaneswaram P.S.,  
Cr.No.80/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Ramaoorthy, the learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners/accused who were arrested on 27.04.2024 in CrI.No.80/2024



on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the charges punishable U/s. 379 and 414 IPC , have filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, on 22.04.2024 at about 11.00 a.m, the defacto complainant was grazing his 2 cows and after grazing the cows, one cow is missing. Thereafter he knowing about the cow, the petitioners and others have stole 3 cows (2 cows are belongs to other persons) and the same were tied-up in a Kattukaruvela trees and have transported at 01.00 a.m by them in TATA ACE bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BM 5271. Total value of the cows Rs.1,85,000/- and the case has been registered

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 27.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 20 days. There is no specific overt-act against the petitioners. The petitioners have taken the defacto complainant's cow in their vehicle to another place. A3 is the owner and A4 is the driver of the vehicle. He would further contend that defacto complainant was given a complaint after 6 days of the occurrence. Vehicle has already been seized by the respondent police. Most of the investigation has been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3094/2024 dated 03.05.2024. and another bail petition is dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.32/2024 on



09.05.2024. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed granting bail to the petitioners. The cows have been sold at Kariyapatti Market by the petitioners and other accused. Its worth about Rs.1,85,000/-. Totally 6 accused involved in this case out of which 2 persons are juvenile. The petitioners are arrayed as A3 and A4. Others are still absconding. The petitioners were arrested on 27.04.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Investigation is yet to be completed. Property amount yet to be recovered. There is no change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. If the petitioners are enlarged on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioners and others have involved in cow theft. The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2024. Investigation is yet to be completed. Property amount yet to be recovered. There is no change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled to get bail at this stage. Hence the petition is dismissed.

TNRM000001092024



In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:40:57 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,  
The petitioners through their Counsel,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,**  
**Vacation Sessions Judge,**  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.90/2024**

(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000105-2024)

Kalimuthu @ Kalimuthan, (aged 45),  
S/o.Karuppan.

....Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Kenikkarai P.S.,**  
**Cr.No.255/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Illamaran, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 14.05.2024 in CrI.No.255/2024 on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the charges punishable U/s. 379 IPC r/w section 21(1) of MMDR Act , has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant is the Deputy





Tahsildar, Kenikkarai.. At the time of patrolling , the petitioner and A2 have illegally transported 150 bags of sand in a Mahindra Bolero Pick up vehicle bearing Reg.N No.TN 65 AS 5229 near AVM School without getting any licence from competent authorities. Further the properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent police. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 14.05.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 3 days. The properties have already been recovered by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and A2 have illegally transported 150 bags of sand without getting any permission from the concerned authorities. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.05.2024. Properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police. Investigation is in preliminary stage. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the

TNRM000001052024



witnesses. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence the petitioner and another have committed in sand theft. Property has already been secured by the respondent police. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 14.05.2024. Investigation is yet to be completed. The petitioner has filed this petition in earlier stage. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled to get bail at this stage. Hence this petition is dismissed.

In the result, the bail petition is stands dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:40:41 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.204

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S.,  
The petitioner through his Counsel,

TNRM000001042024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**Crl.M.P.No.89/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000104-2024)**

1. Laksham, (aged 58),  
S/o.Sethukarai.
2. Veeramakali, (aged 46),  
S/o.Kalimuthu.
3. Puduraja, (aged 48),  
S/o.Balakrishnan.
4. Kamalanathan, (aged 40),  
S/o.Sethukarai.
5. Sathiyendran, (aged 53),  
S/o.Sethukarai.
6. Raja, (aged 55)  
S/o.Setti.
7. Ponvayuru, (aged 44),  
S/o.Shanmugam.
8. Raja, (aged 51),  
S/o.Balkarasu.

TNRM000001042024



9. Poduraja, (aged 49),  
S/o.Ayyadurai.

10. Samayachandran, (aged 40),  
S/o.Katturaja.

11. Samayaselvam, (aged 53),  
S/o.Samayamuthu.

12. Chinnatharumaiya, (aged 41),  
S/o.Kani.

13. Raja, (aged 51),  
S/o.Piranmalai.

14. Seeniselvam, (aged 53),  
S/o.Pattani.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1-14

/vs/

State, through the Forest Ranger,  
Ramanathapuram Forest Range,  
WJOR.No.8/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Shanmuganathan, M.A., B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners who were arrested on 07.05.2024 in WJOR No.8/2024 on the file of the respondent for the offences punishable U/s.2(14), 2(16)(a)(b)(c), 9, 39(1)



(a) (b)(d), 39(3)(a)(b)(c), 50, 51(1) and 57 of Wild Life Protection Act 1972, have filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution, at the time of patrolling conducted by the respondent on 06.05.2024 at about 1.10 p.m, the petitioners illegally transported 41 kgs of raw sea cucumber by fiber boats bearing Reg.No IND.TN09/M0/4746 and one registered boat at Krishnapuram Seashore. Properties involved in this case have been seized by the respondent. Property worth about Rs.2,00,000/-. Hence the charge.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case and they are in no way connected in this case. Further he has contended that the alleged occurrence was happened on 06.05.2024. The petitioners were arrested on 07.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 8 days and they are fishermen. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Properties have already been secured. Hence, if the petitioners are released on bail, they will not tamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor has submitted that totally



14 accused involved in this case. Further he has contended that the petitioners have illegally transported 41 kgs of raw sea cucumber by fiber boats and the properties involved in this case have already been seized. The petitioners were arrested on 07.05.2024. Further he has contended that the petitioner material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses and also to commit same type of offence again. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned counsels arguments and on perusal of the case records, it found that the petitioners have involved in this case. The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 07.05.2024. Huge value of the properties involved in this case and the same were seized by the respondent. Property worth about Rs.2,00,000/-. Major part of the investigation have already been completed. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Nature and circumstances, period of custody are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to get bail on payment of cost with following conditions.

i) that the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on their executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like



sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram;

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioners shall deposit non-refundable amount of Rs.12,000/- (Rupees Twelve Thousand only)** each to the credit into the Account **No.33658054216 in favour of the Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram at Head Office, State Bank of India in IFSC Code: SBIN 0000908, MICR code:623002004** without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) **that the petitioners shall produce undertaking affidavit that they shall not involve in similar type of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;**

v) that the petitioners shall **report and sign before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer

vi) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during



investigation or trial;

vii) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

viii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

ix) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:40:27 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To  
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Forest Ranger, Ramanathapuram Forest Range.,  
The petitioners through their Counsel.  
The Officer in-charge, Gulf of Mannar Biosphere Reserve Trust, Ramanathapuram ,  
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.





**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**Crl.M.P.No.87/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000102-2024)**

1. Viswanathan, (aged 34),  
S/o.Muniyasamy.

2. Navaneethan, (aged 29),  
S/o.Muniyandi.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1,2  
/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
Devipattinam P.S.,  
Cr.No.89/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.V.Sunil Malhotra, B.Com., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner who was arrested on 21.03.2024 in Cr.No.45/2024 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 341, 294(b), 323,



324, 506(ii) IPC @ 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii), 302 IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. According to the prosecution that, the defacto complainant is a working partner in a private concerned. The deceased hails from a North -Indian State and he was working under the control of the defacto complainant for the past 6 months. On the first day of the occurrence, the petitioners and the deceased were seen travelling in a two wheeler. Subsequently on the next day his dead body was lying in the occurrence place. Thereafter the case has been registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioners took the deceased in a two wheeler and the deceased fell down. Due to the fall, he sustained injuries and caused death. There is no motive or intention between the petitioners and the deceased. The petitioners were arrested on 12.03.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No. 1240/2024 dated 25.04.2024 and another bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.47/2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this



petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that prior to the death of the deceased, the petitioners' were with the deceased. Thereafter the petitioners assaulted the deceased with fencing stones on his head and committed murder. The petitioners were arrested on 13.03.2024 and they are in judicial custody. Further he has contended that weapons involved in this case have already been recovered. Investigation is not yet complete and Viscera report is yet to be received. One previous case is pending against the petitioners. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No. 1240/2024 dated 25.04.2024 and another bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.47/2024. No change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that the occurrence took place on 11.03.2024 and the petitioners were arrested on 12.03.2024. the defacto complainant is a working partner in a private concerned. The deceased hails from a North -Indian State and he was working under the control of the defacto complainant for the past 6 months. On the first day of the occurrence, the petitioners and the deceased were seen travelling in a two wheeler. Subsequently on the next day his dead body was lying in the occurrence place. Further more investigation is yet to be completed and Viscera

TNRM000001022024



report is yet to be received. Offence is grievous in nature. On previous case is pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances if the petitioners are enlarged on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. No change of circumstances from the earlier dismissal order. Nature and circumstances, gravity of offence, period of interrogation are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are not entitled to get any relief at this stage. Hence the petition is dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

Digitally signed  
by S  
KUMARAGURU KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:40:14 +0530  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S.,  
The petitioner through his Counsel,

TNRM000000442024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.43/2024**

**(CNR No. TNRM-00-000044-2024)**

1. Muniyandi, (aged 33),  
S/o.Muthusamy.

2. Marichamy, (aged 54),  
S/o.Challaiah.

..Petitioners/Accused No.1, 2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

**Kamuthi P.S.,**

**Cr.No.145/2024**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. K.Gunasekaran, M.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B, Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioners who wer arrested on 27.04.2024 in Cr.No.145/2024 on the file fo the respondent P.S. for the offences punishable U/s. 273, 328 IPC r/w section 7, 20(2) of Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, have filed this petition seeking to release them on bail.



2. According to the prosecution, at the time of vehicle inspection on 27.04.2024, the petitioners have illegally transported 96 bundles in 70.271 Kgs., of prohibited tobacco products in a vehicle bearing Reg.No.TN 18 R 8079 TATA INDIGO car at Kamuthi without getting any licence for selling at higher price in local market and the same have been secured by the police. Property value of Rs.49,600/-. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. The petitioners were arrested on 27.04.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 20 days. Properties have been recovered by the respondent police. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioners are released on bail, they will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the petitioners have illegally transported 96 bundles in 70.271 Kgs., of prohibited tobacco products in a vehicle bearing Reg.No.TN 18 R 8079 TATA INDIGO car at Kamuthi without getting any licence for selling high price in local market and the same have been secured by the police. Property value of Rs.49,600/-. Further he has contended that the properties involved in this case (Car, Two wheeler, Sales amount of Rs.5,000/- and mobile phone and tobacco products) have already been secured by the respondent police. The petitioners were arrested on 27.04.2024 and they are in



judicial custody for the past 20 days. Further he has contended that 5 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police.

5. Considering both side submissions and on perusal of the case records, it found that totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioners have illegally transported 70.271 Kgs. of Prohibited Tobacco Products in a car without getting any licence to sell the public place at higher price and the properties involved in this case have already been secured by the respondent police. Further more the petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Nature and circumstances and period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioners shall deposit a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) each as non-refundable to the Credit of District Legal Service Authority, Ramathapuram without prejudice to their rights and contentions before the trial Court.**



iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the **petitioners shall report and sign before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

viii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:39:57 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.



TNRM000000442024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The District Munsif-cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi,

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Kamuthi P.S., ,

The petitioner through the Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

The District Legal Services Authority, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.34/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000035-2024)**

Kannuchamy, (aged 21),  
S/o.Murugan.

....Petitioner/Accused No. 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Sayalgudi P.S.,  
Cr.No.129/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Senthilkumar, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner who was arrested on 28.04.2024 in Cr.No.129/2024 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.143, 452, 294(b), 324, 307, 506(ii) IPC and Section 3(1) of PPD Act and Section 4 of TNPHW Act,



has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a previous motive between both the parties due to hit a two wheeler against one Kaleeswaran @ Kishore, in this regard one of the groups assaulted the above said Kishore and a complaint was registered. Both parties are belongs various communities. Subsequently 20.04.2024 at about 5.00 p.m, when the defacto complainant and her family members were at home, the petitioners and others came there with weapons and tres-passed into her house and abused them in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant and others with sword, knife and sickle and caused injuries and also damaged the CCTV cameras and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 28.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 19 days. Injured was discharged from hospital. This is a case and case in counter. In counter case Cr.No.127/2024 accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has narrated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that totally 9 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is A4. Both parties are belongs to various community. Counter cases have also registered in Cr.Nos. 127/2024 and Cr.No.128/2024. In counter case Cr.No.127/2024 accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.05.2024. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.04.2024. 14 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Injured persons were discharged from hospital. One of the accused is taking treatment as out patient. 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit group clash between them and possible to police picketing. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records and CD file, it is found that the occurrence was happened due to some previous motive between both groups. Counter cases have also registered. The occurrence took place on 20.04.2024. The petitioner was arrested on 28.04.2024 and he is more than 19 days incarceration in judicial custody. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. Both parties are belongs to various communities. In the present case 5 persons have sustained injuries. The learned Public Prosecutor has objected to release the petition that one of the accused was taking treatment as out-



patient in private hospital. Due to which no treatment record produced before the court. Other injured persons were discharged from hospital. Most of witnesses have already been examined by the police. 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Counter case accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions:

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the Inspector of Police, Thallakulam Police Station, Madurai District **daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during



investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:39:38 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Polcie, Thallakulam P.S, Madurai District,  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalgudi P.S.,  
The petitioner through his Counsel,  
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.29/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000030-2024)**

Manickam, (aged 22),  
S/o.Patchaimal.

....Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Sayalgudi P.S.,  
Cr.No.129/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner who was arrested on 28.04.2024 in Cr.No.129/2024 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.143, 452, 294(b), 324, 307, 506(ii) IPC and Section 3(1) of PPD Act and Section 4 of TNPHW Act,



has filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a previous motive between both the parties due to hit a two wheeler against one Kaleeswaran @ Kishore, in this regard one of the groups assaulted the above said Kishore and a complaint was registered. Both parties are belongs various communities. Subsequently 20.04.2024 at about 5.00 p.m, when the defacto complainant and her family members were at home, the petitioners and others came there with weapons and tres-passed into her house and abused them in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant and others with sword, knife and sickle and caused injuries and also damaged the CCTV cameras and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 28.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 19 days. Injured was discharged from hospital. This is a case and case in counter. In counter case Cr.No.127/2024 accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has narrated the prosecution version. Further





he has contended that totally 9 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is A1. Both parties are belongs to various community. Counter cases have also registered in Cr.Nos. 127/2024 and Cr.No.128/2024. In counter case Cr.No.127/2024 accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court on 09.05.2024. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 28.04.2024. 14 witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Injured persons were discharged from hospital. One of the accused is taking treatment as out patient. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit group clash between them and possible to police picketing. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records and CD file, it is found that the occurrence was happened due to some previous motive between both groups. Counter cases have also registered. The occurrence took place on 20.04.2024. The petitioner was arrested on 28.04.2024 and he is more than 19 days incarceration in judicial custody. The petitioner is arrayed as A4. Both parties are belongs to various communities. In the present case 5 persons have sustained injuries. The learned Public Prosecutor has objected to release the petition that one of the accused was taking treatment as out-patient in private hospital. Due to which no treatment record produced before the



court. Other injured persons were discharged from hospital. Most of witnesses have already been examined by the police. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Counter case accused were released on anticipatory bail by this Court. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity

iii) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar East Police Station, Virudhunagar District daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;



v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU  
Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:39:22 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Virudhunagar Eas P.S, Virudhunagar District,  
The Inspector of Police, Sayalgudi P.S.,  
The petitioner through his Counsel,  
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL  
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.**

**Thursday, the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024**

**Crl.M.P.No.23/2024  
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000024-2024)**

Ilayaraja, (aged 35),  
S/o.Ramu.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police  
**Thiruvadana P.S.,  
Cr.No.86/2024.**

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.G.Dinesh Raj, M.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

The petitioner who was arrested on 22.04.2024 in Cr.No.86/2024 on the file to file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.147, 120(b), 364, 302, 201 of IPC , has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, the defacto complainant is a VAO. On 22.04.2024 at about 9.00 a.m, the petitioner/A1 and A2 came to the VAO office and given confession statement that deceased Srikanth's wife named Arthi told them, the said Srikanth would have to be murdered due to he was torturing her due to conduct on suspicious. Due to which, during the month of November, 2021 the petitioner/A1 and 4 others called the deceased Srikanth for consuming alcohol, at that time all the accused assaulted the deceased by using sword on his head and all over of his body and caused death and after they buried the deceased body by using shovel at Lakkinivayal village wild land. Further A2 and A3 threatened the A1 and A2 for money to committed the occurrence. The deceased wife Arthi had illegal contact with the petitioner/A1. The occurrence was happened by A5 who is wife of deceased Srikanth. Based on confession the case has been registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 22.04.2024 and he is under judicial custody for the past 25 days. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has narrated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that totally 5 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.04.2024. The investigation is yet to be completed. RFSL report is yet to be received. 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Other accused yet to be arrested. If the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to tamper and hamper the investigation. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that on the date of occurrence was happened on 08.11.2021. After two years later, the occurrence was committed by the petitioner and others it was found based on confession by A1 and A2. Based on confession the case was registered on 22.04.2024. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 22.04.2024. Investigation is yet to be completed. RFSL report yet to be received. 2 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Other accused yet to be arrested by the respondent police. Nature and circumstances, period of incarceration are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is not entitled to get bail at this stage. Hence the petition is dismissed.

TNRM000000242024



In the result, the bail petition is dismissed.

**Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024.**

S  
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed by  
S KUMARAGURU  
Date: 2024.05.16  
19:39:08 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Ramanathapuram.  
16.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To  
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,  
The Inspector of Police, Thiruvadanai P.S.,  
The petitioner through his Counsel,