



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.55/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000056-2024**

1. Karuppasamy, (aged 19),
S/o.Kalimuthu.

2. Velmurugan @ Saravanan @ Manjavelu, (aged 23),
S/o.Muruganandham.

...Petitioners/Accused No.2, 3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi P.S.,
Cr.No.70/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.70/2024 of Sayalkudi P.S. The



petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.387, 506(ii) IPC @ 395, 506(ii) IPC, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, the petitioner contacted the defacto complainant through GRINDR App. On 02.03.2024 at about 7.40 p.m, the petitioner called the defacto complainant to the occurrence place. At the time the petitioner and others threatened him and robbed 1 ½ sovereign gold chain and ring and mobile phone belongs to the defacto complainant. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the offence. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1818/2024 dated 30.04.2024. A4 released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1489/2024 dated 15.04.2024. A5 released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.No.5380/2024 dated 08.04.2024. A1 is in hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. If the petitioners are arrested by the respondent police, they may harassed by them. Hence this petition is to be allowed.



4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A2 and A3. A4 released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1489/2024 dated 15.04.2024. A5 released on bail by Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.No.5380/2024 dated 08.04.2024. A6 is a juvenile. A1 is in hospital. On the date of occurrence, the petitioner called the defacto complainant to the occurrence place and at the time the petitioner and other accused threatened the defacto complainant and robbed his chain, ring and mobile phone. Property is in the custody of A1. The value of property is Rs.80,000/-. Property yet to be recovered by the respondent police. 7 witnesses have already been examined. 2 previous cases are pending against A2 and 3 previous cases are pending against A3.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioners are arrayed as A2 and A3. A4 released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1489/2024 dated 15.04.2024. A5 released on bail by Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.No.5380/2024 dated 08.04.2024. A6 is a juvenile. A1 is in hospital. On the date of occurrence, the petitioner called the defacto complainant for homo sex and the petitioner and other accused threatened the defacto complainant and robbed his chain, ring and mobile phone. Property is in the custody of A1. The value of property is Rs.80,000/- Property yet to be recovered by the respondent police. 7 witnesses have already been



examined. 2 previous cases are pending against A2 and 3 previous cases are pending against A3. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioners shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that they shall



make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU
Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
18:15:20 +0530
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.62/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000063-2024**

Raja, (aged 46),
S/o.Subramanian.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.89/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.89/2024 of Sayalkudi P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.294(b), 448, 323, 354(A), 379(NH), 506(i) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to



release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there is a dispute between both the parties due to money dispute. Due to which on 12.03.2024 at about 9.30 a.m, the petitioner trespassed into the defacto complainant's house and abused her and her mother in filthy language and assaulted with iron rod on her right side head, hand and cheek and harassed them and also he damaged her petty shop things and also took away a sum of Rs.5000/-. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured has already been discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Earlier anticipatory bail applications were dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1170/2024 and CrI.M.P.No.1332/2024 dated 18.03.2024 and 28.03.2024 respectively. If the petitioner is arrested by the respondent police, he may harassed by them. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that the defacto complainant borrowed a sum of Rs.3,00,000/- from petitioner and she has paid the above said amount with interest through G-Pay to him. The petitioner asked the amount again



from her. Due to which, the occurrence was happened and at the time of occurrence the petitioner damaged her shop and took away a sum of Rs.5000/- from the defacto complainant's petty shop. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined by the police. The injured was discharged from hospital on 12.03.2024. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the occurrence was happened due to money dispute between the petitioner and the defacto complainant. At the time of occurrence the petitioner damaged her petty shop and took away a sum of Rs.5000/- from the defacto complainant's petty shop. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. The injured was discharged from hospital on 12.03.2024. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

TNRM000000632024



vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:15:34 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.157/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000165-2024)

1. Renganayaki, (aged 65), (A2)
W/o. Balusamy.

2. Ramaiah, (aged 45), (A4)
S/o. Balusamy.

3. Shanmugapriya, (aged 40), (A7),
W/o.Ramaiah.

...Petitioners/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.64/2020

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.R.Balamurugan, B.Sc., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:



ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.64/2020 of Paramakudi Town P.S. The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.174 Cr.P.C. @ 306 IPC, have filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. According to the prosecution, the deceased Nivetha and A1 Nagaiah are husband and wife. A4 is the younger brother of A1 and A7 is his wife. A1 and deceased were living in a house owned by A2 Ranganayaki and her husband. A3 Krishnamoorthy is the eldest brother of A1 and he was living in same house with his wife A8. A5 Muthulakshmi and her husband A6 Varadharajan are residing nearby. A9 Gunalan is living in the house opposite to the house of the deceased. A1 was employed abroad and he came to India on one month leave. In such circumstances, the deceased Nivetha is alleged to have developed a relationship with A9 Gunalan and they were frequently speaking over phone. A8 Anitha informed A1 about this. Therefore there was frequent quarrel between the deceased and A1. Nagaiah went back abroad in 2019 and came back for his father funeral. While so, the relatives of A1 picked a quarrel with deceased and her parents for not doing the customary rituals for the death of A1's father. While so, on 17.02.2020 A1 found the deceased speaking to A9 over phone and shouted at her with suspicion. Due to the humiliation, Nivetha



committed suicide by consuming rodenticide (rat poison). Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Co-accused were released on anticipatory bail by the Vacation Sessions Court in CrI.M.P. No.56/2024 and CrI.M.P.No.58/2024 dated 09.05.2024. Further he has contented that there is no instigation to commit suicide by the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, after investigation, a final report was filed against A1 to A9 for offence u/s.306 IPC and the case was taken on file by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in PRC.No.53/2023 and hearing is posted for 28.06.2024. Co-accused were released on anticipatory bail by the Vacation Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.56/2024 and CrI.M.P.No.58/2024 dated 09.05.2024.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, there is no dispute with regard to the fact that final report u/s.173(2) Cr.P.C. has been laid and the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi has taken cognizance in PRC.No.53/2023 and issued summons for the appearance of the petitioners. In such circumstances, the learned Judicial Magistrate



would have directed the petitioners to enter into bonds for their appearance by invoking powers u/s.88 Cr.P.C. However, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Judicial Magistrate has directed the petitioners to obtain anticipatory bail. In the above circumstances, when final report is laid and the petitioners are likely to be appear before the Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi, this court is of the considered view that the petitioners can be granted anticipatory bail.

Accordingly the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail and they are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi** on executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate concerned within 15 days from the date of this order, failing which the order shall stand cancelled automatically. The petitioners co-operate with the early committal of this case.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:15:05 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

TNRM000001652024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The Petitioners through their Counsel.

TNRM000001442024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT)
RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

**Crl.M.P.No.138/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000144-2024)**

1. Kannan , (aged 47/2024)
S/o. Ramakrishnan

2. Vengatesh @ Venkatesan
S/o. Dupakula Gopal @ Gopal,

..Petitioners/Accused No.1,2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Kenikarai P.S.,
Cr.No.233/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.R.Thiyagarajan, M.Com, B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioners and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:



ORDER

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.233/2024 of the respondent P.S. The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 323, 506(ii) and 379 (NH)IPC, have filed this petition for seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that there is a previous enmity. The injured person working in the defacto complainant's Ice company on 01.05.2024 at about 10.45 a.m. when the injured person was going to the defacto complaint company on ECR Road, at that time the petitioner and others assaulted by way of with iron rod and caused injuries and also threatened him with dire consequences and snatched the Rs.5000/- and mobile phone taken on the injured person. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case and they are in no way connected with the case. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there are totally 4



accused are involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. Others are still absconding. On the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the injured person and caused injuries. The injured was discharged from hospital on 06.06.2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the petitioners are arrayed as A1 and A2. Others are still absconding. On the date of occurrence, the petitioners assaulted the injured person and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioners. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioners are entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the respondent police or on their surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.2, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest



or surrender the petitioners are ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on their execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioners/accused are not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the **petitioners shall report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** on further condition that they shall make available themselves for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners



in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:04:07 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Kenikarai P.S.
The Petitioners through their Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.132/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000159-2024)

Valzhivittan, (aged 30/2024)
S/o.Kalimuthu.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Keelathooval P.S.,
Cr.No.83/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.M.Raja Sahul Hameed, B.Sc. B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.83/2024 of Keelathooval P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.294(b), 323, 506(ii) IPC and section 4 of TNPHW Act has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, on 27.04.2024 at about 9.50 p.m, the petitioner and others came to defacto complainant's shop and asked cool drinks and the defacto complainant refused to give the cool drinks due to balance of money, at the time the petitioner and others abused in filthy language and assaulted by way of hands and threatened him with dire consequences and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. No one was injured. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 is in judicial custody. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and A1 abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and assaulted him by way hands. No one was injured. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments



and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 is in judicial custody. The occurrence was happened between them due to balance of money. No one was injured . No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:03:11 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

TNRM000001802024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000001802024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.144/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000180-2024)

Mariselvam (aged 39/2024)
S/o.Cheepan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Kenikkarai P.S.,
Cr.No.214/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam, B.Sc.B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.214/2024 of Kenikkarai P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.341,294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release him on



anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was some previous motive between the both parties. On 19.04.2024 at about 9.30 p.m., when the defacto complainant was proceeding in a two wheeler, the petitioners and others came there and waylaid him and abused in filthy language and assaulted with iron rod , wooden log and hands and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 4 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. A2 and A4 were already granted anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court. A1 was already granted bail by the Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram in CrI.M.P.No.2094/2024 dated 06.05.2024. On the date of occurrence, the petitioners and others assaulted with iron rod , wooden log and hands and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital and two previous cases are pending against the



petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;



iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**);

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.16
18:03:47 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

TNRM000001802024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE,
(PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

**Crl.M.P.No.127/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000153-2024)**

Murugan, (aged 43),
S/o. Sakkarai.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Sayalkudi P.S.,
Cr.No.130/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru. S.Dharmaraj, B.Com., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.130/2024 of Sayalkudi P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.294(b), 323, 324, 427, 506(ii) IPC @ 294(b), 323, 324, 427, 506(ii) IPC



and Section 3 of PPDL Act, has filed this petition seeking to release him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there is some dispute between namely Lakshmanan and Mahadhevan in their village. In this regard on 20.04.2024 at 5.30 p.m. the village people abused them in filthy language. At that time the petitioner and others came to the occurrence place and abused the defacto complainant and damaged the bottles of his shop and assaulted him with sickle on his back side of head and back side neck and both elbow and caused grievous injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the offence. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1764/2024 dated 29.04.2024. A1 and A2 released on bail by the Hon'ble High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD)No.6864/2024 dated 08.05.2024. Injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is arrested by the respondent police, he may harassed by them. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 3 accused involved



in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 and A3 were released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6864/2024 dated 08.05.2024. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1764/2024 dated 29.04.2024. Further he has contended that the petitioner and 2 others assaulted the defacto complainant with sickle and caused injuries. The injured has discharged from the hospital on 01.05.2024. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both side learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 and A3 were released on anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.6864/2024 dated 08.05.2024. Earlier anticipatory bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.1764/2024 dated 29.04.2024. The petitioner and 2 others assaulted the defacto complainant with sickle and caused injuries. The injured has discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances, release of co-accused are considered by



this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m. until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;



vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560**;

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

S
KUMARAGURU

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
18:14:55 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000001802024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

Thursday the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.134/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-01-000158-2024)

Harikaran, (aged 23)
S/o.Murugesan.

...Petitioner/Accused No.3

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,
Emaneswaram P.S.,
Cr.No.88/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.438 Cr.P.C. to grant anticipatory bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.88/2024 of Emaneswaram P.S. The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences U/s.294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC, has filed this petition seeking to release



him on anticipatory bail.

2. The prosecution case is that there is a wordy quarrel arose between the both parties. Due to which on 10.05.2024 at about 6.15 p.m., when the defacto complainant and his brothers went to wine shop, at that time the petitioner and others drunken mood and abused them in filthy language and assaulted the defacto complainant brothers with wine bottle on his head. A1 and others assaulted them by way of hands and caused injuries . Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with the case. The injured was discharged from the hospital. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 3accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. A1 and A2 were already released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3497/2024 dated 15.05.2024. On the date of occurrence the petitioner and other abused the defacto complainant and his brother in filthy language and assaulted him by way of wine bottle and caused injuries. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has



already been examined.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it is found that, totally 3 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A3. A1 and A2 were already released on bail by the Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in CrI.M.P.No.3497/2024 dated 15.05.2024. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Material part of the witnesses has already been examined. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on anticipatory bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get anticipatory bail with the following conditions:-

i) that in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the respondent police or on his surrender before the learned **Judicial Magistrate, paramakudi within 15 days** from the date of this order and on such arrest or surrender the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on anticipatory bail on his execution of a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the **learned Judicial Magistrate concerned; If the petitioner/accused is not surrendered within 15 days from the date of this order, this anticipatory bail order stands cancelled automatically;**



ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report before the respondent Police Station daily at 10.30 a.m and 5.00 p.m., until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

TNRM000001802024



Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:03:26 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Judicial Magistrate , Paramakudi
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.88/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000103-2024)

Ezhumalai, (aged 21),
S/o.Muniyasamy.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Thiruppullani P.S.,
Cr.No.219/2023

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.. Senthilkumar, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 09.05.2024 in Cr.No.219/2023 on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the charges for the punishable U/s. 3 of PPDL Act, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. According to the prosecution, the defacto complainant is a driver of the Govt.bus, on 21.10.2023 at about 8.20 p.m, when the defacto complainant was taking a trip from Therki Medhalodai village at that time 2 persons raised sound on the road in a two wheeler, then the driver was questioned him. Thereafter the petitioner and one another came in a two wheeler and throw a stone on the back side of the Govt.bus bearing Reg.No.TN 63 N 1495 and its damage cost is Rs.25,000/- . Hence the charge

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in no way connected with this offence. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested on 09.05.2024 and he is in judicial custody for the past 8 days. Further he has contended that most of the investigation has already been completed. If the petitioner is released on bail, he will not tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence the petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that there are totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A2. The petitioner has already granted anticipatory bail before the Principal Sessions Court in



CrI.M.P.No.4977/2023 on 16.11.2023 and he has not complied any conditions. Now he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.05.2024. The petitioner and A1 have damaged the back side glass of the Govt. bus using stones and damage value Rs.25,000/- . Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Co-accused released on bail. Further he has contended that one previous case is pending against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides learned Counsels arguments and on perusal of records, it found that on 21.10.2023 the petitioner A1 have thrown stones on the bus and damaged the backside glass for worth of Rs.25,000/- belongs to the TNSTC. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. Now he was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 09.05.2024. Further more the petitioner has already obtained anticipatory bail by the Principal Sessions Court on 16.11.2023 and he has not produced sureties. One previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution side. At the same time, the FIR contention is due to such act of the petitioner and A1 the public property was damaged for worth of Rs.25,000/-. It is a fit case to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to get bail on payment of cost with following condition:



i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram;**

ii) Before execution of bond, **the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) to the Credit of Crime No.219/2023 before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram without prejudice to his defence before the Judicial Magistrate concerned;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iv) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the **respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m for a period of two weeks** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

vi) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner

TNRM000001032024



released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the
Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A
IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.16
18:01:54 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail;

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Thiruppullani P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram,



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.104/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-0000119-2024)

Jeishankar,
S/o.Senthoorpandi.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Sayalgudi P.S.,
Cr.No.171/2023

.....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.David, B.Sc., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 13.08.2024 in Cr.No.171/2023 on the file of the respondent police and he is facing the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 307 IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that the defacto complainant is father of the petitioner. The injured is mother of the petitioner. The petitioner's health was mentally affected for the past 2 years and after discharging from hospital one week prior to the occurrence. On 13.08.2023 the petitioner tortured his mother for money to consuming alcohol. Following which, at about 6.30 a.m the injured was taking firewood at opposite vacant site of one Ramasamy, the petitioner abused his mother in obscene words and assaulted axe on her back side of the head, left side neck, left and caused injuries. Hence the charge.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Further he has submitted that the occurrence was happened on 13.08.2023 and he was arrested on the same day. The petitioner is son of the victim person. Further he would submit that the injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Prior to the occurrence the petitioner was mentally affected and was taking treatment in private hospital and then the occurrence was happened. The petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 275 days. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined by the respondent police. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, he will not tamper the witnesses and abscond. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the



prosecution version. Further he has contended that the injured person is mother of the petitioner. Prior to the occurrence the petitioner was mentally affected and was taking treatment in private hospital and after discharging he was committed the offence. Further he would submit that the petitioner was arrested on 13.08.2023. After he was taken treatment for his mental illness at Keelpakkam, now he is recovered and in judicial custody. Further he is ready to facing the trail in the present case. The injured has been discharged from the hospital. 8 witnesses have already been examined by the police. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. If the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will case to the prosecution.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of the case records, it found that, the injured is mother of the petitioner. The injured was discharged from hospital. Most of the investigation have already been completed. The petitioner was arrested on 13.08.2023 and he is more than 9 months. Prior to the occurrence the petitioner was taking treatment for his mental ill-health. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Nature and circumstances and period of custody and relationship of the petitioner are considered by this Court and comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions:-

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to



the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall **report and sign before the learned District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi daily at 10.30 p.m until further orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC;

TNRM000001192024



Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.16
18:01:28 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sayalgudi P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000000172024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.16/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000017-2024)

Surya, (aged 22/2024),
S/o.Baluchamy.

...Petitioner/Accused No.16

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.305/2023.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Vikneshwaran, B.Sc., L.L.B., the learned Legal Aid Counsel for the petitioner and of Thiru. B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 27.09.2023 in Cr.No.305/2023 of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307 and 302 IPC @ U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307, 302 r/w 34, 149, 120(b), 212 IPC, has filed this petition seeking for bail.



2. The prosecution case is that, there was a wordy quarrel arose between the defacto complainant's son and one namely Nithish(A1) in temple festival. Due to which on 16.09.2023 at about 11.45 p.m, the defacto complainant's son and his friends were proceeding in two wheelers bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BL 7757 and TN 63 BK 2328 Paramakudi bridge junction in front of the Rahuman Paint shop, the petitioner and other accused waylaid them. Further they assaulted one of his friends Abibalan by means of sword on his chest and caused injuries and also restrained the defacto complainant son and assaulted with beer bottles on his face and A1 assaulted with sword on his face and caused death to the defacto complainant's son. Hence the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, he has not committed any such offence and he has falsely been implicated in this case. He has further contended that, the petitioner is under judicial custody from 27.09.2023 and he is in judicial custody for the past 3 months. Injured has been discharged from the hospital. Further he has contended that there is no specific overt-act against this petitioner. The occurrence was happened only between A1 and the deceased. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.No.398/2024 dated 30.01.2024. Co-accused were enlarged on bail by this Court on various days. Investigation was over. The charge sheet has already been filed. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner



by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024. No previous case is pending against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will not possible to tamper and hamper the witnesses. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that there are totally 16 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A16. A11 was deleted from this case. A8, A4 and A15 have released on bail and anticipatory bail by the Principal District and Sessions Court on 06.12.2023 and 08.12.2023. Further he has contended that the petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.09.2023. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital on 25.09.2023. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court. Investigation has already been completed. Charge sheet has already been filed and the case was taken on file in PRC No.76/2023 on 19.12.2023. Further he would submit that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court and they are not complied conditions which was imposed against them, hence the respondent police has initiated to cancellation of bail order against them. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024. Further he has contended that if the petitioner is released on bail, it will endanger life of the petitioner . Hence he strongly objected to release the petitioner.

TNRM000000172024



Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioner is arrayed as A16. A11 is deleted from the case. Totally 16 accused involved in this case. Some of the co-accused were released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court. The investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed and the case was taken on file as PRC. A1 to A4 are in judicial custody. The petitioner was arrested on 27.09.2023 and he is more than 7 months incarceration in judicial custody. The occurrence was happened due to temple festival motive between the defacto complainant's son and A1 namely Nithish. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024 No previous case is pending against the petitioner. Further more the learned Public Prosecutor has strongly objected to release the petitioner by stating that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by this Court and they are not complied the court conditions which was imposed against them and the respondent police has filed a petition for cancellation of bail order and his another contention is that if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to endanger life of the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, endanger life of the petitioner and act of the

TNRM000000172024



co-accused are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioner is not entitled to get any relief. Hence the petition dismissed.

Accordingly, the bail petition is stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:01:05 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000000432024



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

**CrI.M.P.No.42/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000043-2024)**

Muthuramalingam @
Kurangu Muthuramalingam, (aged 45/2023),
S/o.Thangavel.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Perunazhi P.S.,
Cr.No.67/2023

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated:07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.T.M.Arunkannan, B.A., B.L., , Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

O2RDER

The petitioner/accused who was arrested on 03.09.2023 in Cr.No.67/2023 on the file of respondent P.S., for the offences punishable U/s.294(b), 307 IPC, has filed this petition for seeking bail.



2. The prosecution case is that on 01.09.2023 at 9.00 p.m, while conducting the cultural programme in the temple festival, a quarrel arose between the accused and the defacto complainant group for disturbing the cultural programme by the petitioner along with other accused and hence, the petitioner along with other accused abused the defacto complainant parties and attacked them by means of Aruval and knife and caused injuries and thereby attempted to commit murder.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the petitioner has not committed any such offence and he has been falsely foisted against him. He has further contended that, the petitioner was arrested on 03.09.2023 and he is under judicial custody for the past more than 8 months. This is a case and case in counter. Further, the learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that there is previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioner. Investigation has already been completed. Charge sheet filed. Further he would submit that the case was taken on file as SC No.40/2024 by the Assistant Sessions Judge, Subordinate Court, Mudukulathur and it is posted for trial. Further he has contended that Goondas Act was revoked by the Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1638/2023 against the petitioner. Co-accused (A2) released on bail by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.4489/2023 dated 17.10.2023. Hence this petition is to be



allowed.

4 . The learned Public Prosecutor has reiterated the prosecution version. Further he has contended that totally 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 has already been granted bail before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in CrI.O.P.(MD) No.18085/2023 dated 11.10.2023. The occurrence was happened during the time of temple festival, between two groups. Counter case has also been registered in Cr.No.67/2023. Counter case accused were already enlarged on bail by this Court on various days. The petitioner was arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 03.09.2023. The injured person has already been discharged from the hospital. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this Court in CrI.M.P.No.44289/2023 dated 17.10.2023. 18 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Further he would submit that the case was taken on file as SC No.40/2024 by the Assistant Sessions Judge, Subordinate Court, Mudukulathur and it is posted for trial proceedings on 03.06.2024. Further he has contended that Goondas Act was revoked by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1638/2023 on 30.04.2024 against the petitioner.

5. After taking into consideration of the both side learned counsels arguments and perused the case records, it is found that the petitioner is arrayed as A1. A2 has already been released on bail before the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court. Counter case has also been registered in Cr.No.66/2023. Victim discharged



from the hospital. The petitioner was arrested on 03.09.2023 and he is more than 8 months incarceration in judicial custody. Further he would submit that the case was taken on file as SC No.40/2024 by the Assistant Sessions Judge, Subordinate Court, Mudukulathur and it is posted for trial. Further he has contended that Goondas Act was revoked by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1638/2023 on 30.04.2024 against the petitioner. In these circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, period of custody and stage of the case are considered by this Court and comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to get bail with the following conditions;

i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on his executing of bond for Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi;**

ii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

iii) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the leaned **Assistant Sessions Judg, Sub-Court, Mudukulathur daily twice at 10.30 a.m until furthr orders** and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation

TNRM000000432024



as and when required by the investigation Officer;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witnesses either during investigation or trial;

v) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial;

vi) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs-State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560;**

vii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.16
18:00:28
+0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The District Munsif -cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi,
The Assistant Sessions Judge, Sub-court, Mudukulathur.
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Perunazhi P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel,
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.17/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000018-2024)

1. Nitheesh, (aged 24/2023),
S/o.Ramachandran

2. Karan, (aged 21),
S/o.Ramasamy,

3. Rajeshpandi, (aged 23),
S/o.Sasikumar.

4. Kaleeswaranpandi, (aged 25),
S/o.Sasikumar.

....Petitioners/Accused No.1-4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Paramakudi Town P.S.,
Cr.No.305/2023.

....Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 07.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
Thiru.M.Mayakannan, Learned Counsel for the petitioners and of
Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides



arguments, this Court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners who are arrested on 18.09.2023 in Cr.No.305/2023 on the file of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307 and 302 IPC @ U/s. 147, 148, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) 307, 302 r/w 34, 149, 120(b), 212 IPC, have filed this petition for seeking bail.

2. The prosecution case is that, there was a wordy quarrel between the defacto complainant's son and A1 in a temple festival. Due to which on 16.09.2023 at about 11.45 p.m, the defacto complainant's son and his friends were proceeding in two wheelers bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BL 7757 and TN 63 BK 2328 Paramakudi bridge junction in front of the Rahuman Paint shop, the petitioners and other accused waylaid them. Further they assaulted one of his friends Abibalan by means of sword on his chest and caused injuries and also restrained the defacto complainant son and assaulted with beer bottles on his face and A1 assaulted with sword on his face and caused death to the defacto complainant's son. Hence the case was registered.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners has contended that, they have not committed any such offence and they have falsely been implicated in this case. He has further contended that, the petitioners are in judicial custody from



18.09.2023. Injured has been discharged from hospital. Investigation has already been completed. Co-accused A9, A11, A13 and A6, A10 have already been released on bail and by the Principal Sessions Court in CrI.M.P.Nos.5502/2023 and 5639/2023 on 17.12.2023 and 02.01.2024 respectively. Further he has contended that Goondas Act was revoked against the petitioners by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1412/2023 and 1409/2023 and 1457/2023 on 30.04.2024.. Further he would submit that Charge sheet has already been filed. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. On the other hand, the Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that there are totally 16 accused involved in this case. The petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4. Further he has contended that the occurrence was happened due to temple festival motive between the deceased and the A1. The petitioners were arrested on 18.09.2023 and they are in judicial custody. A11 is deleted in this case. Some of the co-accused were already been enlarged on bail by this Court on various days. Investigation has already been completed. Charge sheet has been filed and the case was taken on file in PRC No.76/2023. Further he has contended that 4 previous cases are pending against the 1st petitioner, one previous case is pending against the 2nd petitioner, 2 previous cases are pending against 3rd petitioner, 3 previous cases are pending against the 4th petitioner. Further he has contended that Goondas Act



was revoked against the 1, 2, 4 petitioners by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1412/2023 and 1409/2023 and 1457/2023 on 30.04.2024. Further he would submit that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court and they are not complied conditions which was imposed against them, hence the respondent police has initiated to cancellation of bail order against them. Further he would submit that Goondas Act was set aside against the petitioner by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP No.1410/2023 dated 30.04.2024. Further he has contended that if the petitioners are released on bail, it will endanger life of the petitioners. Hence he strongly objected to release the petitioners on bail. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of records, it is found that the petitioners are arrayed as A1 to A4. A11 is deleted from the case. Totally 16 accused involved in this case. Some of the co-accused were released on bail by the Principal Sessions Court. The investigation has been completed and charge sheet was filed and the case was taken on file as PRC. A1 to A4 are in judicial custody. The petitioners were arrested on 18.09.2023 and they are than 7 months incarceration in judicial custody. The occurrence was happened due to temple festival motive between the defacto complainant's son and A1 namely



Nithish. The injured has already been discharged from the hospital. Further more Goondas Act was revoked against the 1, 2, 4 petitioners by the Hon'ble Madurai Bench of Madras High Court in HCP (MD) No.1412/2023 and 1409/2023 and 1457/2023 on 30.04.2024. The learned Public Prosecutor has strongly objected to release the petitioners by stating that co-accused A1 and A9 were already released on bail by this Court and they are not complied the court conditions which was imposed against them and the respondent police has filed a petition for cancellation of bail order and his another contention is that if the petitioners are released on bail, it will possible to endanger life of the petitioners. Further more the petitioners are facing some previous cases. In these circumstances, if the petitioners are released on bail, prejudice will cause to the prosecution. Nature and circumstances, endanger life of the petitioners and act of the co-accused and bad antecedents of the petitioners are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that petitioners are not entitled to get any relief. Hence the petition dismissed.

Accordingly, the bail petition is stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date:
2024.05.16
18:01:42 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.

TNRM000000182024



Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Paramakudi Town P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.

TNRM000001182024



**IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL
SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.**

**PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.**

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

**Cr.L.M.P.No.103/2024
(CNR No. TNRM-00-000118-2024)**

Palaninathan, (aged 30),
S/o.Kandhan.

....Petitioner/Accused No.1

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police
Sayalgudi P.S.,
Cr.No.227/2017
PRC No.8/2019.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated: 14.05.2024 U/s.439 Cr.P.C. to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru. B. Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following;

ORDER

The petitioner is the accused in PRC No.8/2019 on the file of the learned District Musnif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi. The petitioner who remanded to judicial custody on 08.02.2024 for the offence punishable U/s.395 IPC, has filed



this petition seeking to release him on bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has contended that, the case was taken on file in PRC No.8/2019 and same was pending before the learned District Munsif-cum Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi. Further he has submitted that the case was posted for hearing on 22.04.2022, on that day, the petitioner was unable to appear before the Court, hence NBW has been issued against him. Further he has contended that the petitioner was already attended the court in regular manner, due to he has involved in other cases he could not able to attend the court on 22.04.2022. Further he would submit that NBW was executed on 23.02.2024 and he was produced on PT warrant converted to Fresh Warrant and he is under judicial custody. If the petitioner is enlarged on bail, no prejudice will cause to the prosecution, the above said absence is neither willful nor wanton by the petitioner. Hence this petition is to be allowed.

4. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 6 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is arrayed as A1. NBW is pending against A5 and A6. Others are appeared before the court regularly. The occurrence took place on 20.09.2017 and FIR was registered on 21.09.2017. Further he has contended that the case was taken on file in PRC No.8/2019 and same was pending before the learned District Munsif-cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi. The case was posted for



hearing on 22.04.2022 on that day , the petitioner did not appear before the Court, hence NBW has been issued against him and it was executed on 23.02.2024. The case is pending for committal proceedings. 22 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and his name is in History Sheet. In the circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to jumped on bail. Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

5. After taking into consideration of both sides the learned counsels and on perusal of the case records, it is found that, the petitioner is arrayed as A1. Further the case was taken on file in PRC No.8/2019. Due to non-appearance of the petitioner on 22.04.2022, the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned has been issued NBW against the petitioner and it was executed by the respondent police on 23.02.2024 and he is in judicial custody. The case is pending for committal proceedings. 22 previous cases are pending against the petitioner and his name is in History Sheet. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is attending the courts in other cases regularly. The petitioner was already released on bail related in another case by this court. In the circumstances, if the petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to jumped on bail. Nature and circumstances, period of custody and stage of the case are considered by this Court and come to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to get bail with following conditions:-

- i) that the petitioner is ordered to be enlarged on bail on execution of bond for



Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with one blood surety and one normal surety each for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned District Munsif - cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi;

ii) Before execution of bond, the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs.2,000/- (Rupees Two Thousand only) as non-refundable deposit to the Credit of District Mediation Centre, Ramathapuram;

iii) that the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhaar card or Bank pass book to ensure their identity;

v) that at the time of furnishing the sureties, the petitioner shall file an undertaking affidavit that he will appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned on all hearing without fail, if he is failed to attend the court for hearing in future, the learned Counsel for the petitioner to file appropriate petition before the learned Judicial Magistrate concerned ;

iv) that the petitioner shall report and sign before the learned District Munsif -cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi daily at 10.30 a.m until committal proceedings of the case and on further condition that he shall make available himself for interrogation as and when required by the investigation Officer;

v) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;



vi) that the petitioner shall co-operate with speedy disposal of the case;

vii) that on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji-vs- State of Kerala(2005) AIR SCW 5560);2**

viii) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered U/s.229 A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU Date:
2024.05.16
18:01:15 +0530
Principal Sessions Judge,
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To
The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Sayalgudi P.S.,
The Petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.
The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram.



IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

PRESENT: THIRU.S.KUMARAGURU, B.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge,
Principal District Judge,
Ramanathapuram

Thursday, the 16th day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.40/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000041-2024)

Jawith Assam (aged 33),

S/o.Jabarullah Khan

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Devipattinam P.S., in Cr.No.98/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition dated : 07.05.2024 prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru.K.Muthuduraisamy.B.A.,B.L., the Learned Counsel for the petitioner and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.B.L., the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following...

ORDER

The Petitioner, who were granted anticipatory bail vide order of this court in CrI.M.P.No.1436/2024 dated 01.04.2024 with a condition to report before the Inspector of Police, Thiruchendur Police Station daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. Subsequently above said condition was modified as per order in CrI.M.P.No.1696/2024 dated 24.04.2024 and the petitioner has been complying the



condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 am and 5.00 p.m., until further orders. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram from 29.04.2024 to till date. The petitioner is the only bread winner of his family, it is very difficult to comply the condition and prays to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on him.

The Learned Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner has complied with the condition before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.I, Ramanathapuram daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 02.05.2024 to 16.05.2024 for the past 14 days.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions made on both sides, and the nature of offences, this Court is inclined to relax the anticipatory bail condition imposed on the petitioner.

TNRM000000412024



In the result, the petition is allowed and the condition imposed on the petitioner is totally relaxed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 16th day of May 2024.

Digitally signed
by S
KUMARAGURU
Date: 2024.05.16
18:02:33 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge
Ramanathapuram.
16.05.2024

Copy sent through E-Mail

To
The Judicial Magistrate No.1, Ramanathapuram
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,
The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S.,
The petitioner through his counsel.