

# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: **Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.** 

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.75/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000078-2024)

- 1. Indukumar, (aged 28), S/o.Naganathan.
- 2.Kathir, (aged 19), S/o.Pandi
- 3.Indukumar, (aged 21) S/o.Vadivelkumar.
- 4. Aijay(aged 21) S/o. Karunanethi

....Petitioners/Accused No.1 to 4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,

Cr.No.169/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Tr.K.K.N.Karunakaran, B.Sc., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.,B.L., Public Prosecutor.

### **ORDER**

This is a petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.

The petitioners/accused who apprehend's arrest for alleged offences U/s. 448,



- 323, 294(b),324, 506(ii) IPC in Cr.No.169/2024 of respondent police seek Anticipatory Bail.
  - 2. Heard both side and perused the records.
- 3. The Learned Counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further contend that there is previous enmity between the defacto complainant and the petitioners. He would further submit that most of the investigation has been completed. Therefore he prays for anticipatory bail stating that they will not tamper the witnesses and abscond.
- 4. The Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that there is Previous enmity between the defacto complainant. Due to that on 19.04.2024 at about 2.00 p.m, when the defacto complainant was in his coconut grove, the petitioners came there and abused defacto complainant in filthy language and and assaulted him with sickle, rod and log on his right hand, head, back, left hand arm and right leg and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from hospital on 22.04.2024 and major part of the investigation has already been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P.No.1757/2024 on 30.04.2024.



One previous case is pending against the 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner. No previous case is pending against the 1, 2, 4 petitioners.

- 5. According to the prosecution, there is a previous enmity between both the parties. Due to that on 19.04.2024 at about 2.00 p.m, when the defacto complainant was in his coconut grove, the petitioners came there and abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and and assaulted him with sickle rod and log on his right hand, head, back, left hand arm and right leg and caused injuries. The injured has been discharged hospital on 22.04.2024. Major part of the investigation has already been completed. One previous case is pending against the 3<sup>rd</sup> petitioner. No previous case is pending against the 1, 2, 4 petitioners. Considering the above facts and circumstances, nature of offence and that custodial interrogation is not necessary, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
- 6. Accordingly the petitioners are granted anticipatory bail and they are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram** on executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate concerned within 30 days from the date of this order, failing which the order shall stand cancelled automatically. Further the petitioners shall



adhere to the following conditions:-

- i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required,
- ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against them so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer,
- iii) that the petitioners shall appear before the Investigating Officer, everyday at 10.30 a.m until further orders;
- iv) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during the investigation or trial.
- 7. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with Law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners enlarged on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji -vs- State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560).



8. If the petitioners thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

## Pronounced by me in open Court this the 9th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:15:16 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram.

## Copy sent through e-mail:

To The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram. The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S., The Petitioners through their Counsel.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.83/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000087-2024)

Kalidoss @ Kali, (aged 24)

S/o.Ezhumalai.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police,

Uchipuli P.S.,

Cr.No.55/2024

## ...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.K.N.Karunakaran, Advocate.

For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

#### **ORDER**

This is a petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.

The petitioners/accused who apprehend's arrest for alleged offences U/s. 294(b) 324, 506(ii) IPC and section 4 of TNPHW Act in Cr.No.55/2024 of respondent police seeks Anticipatory Bail.

- 2. Heard both side and perused the records.
- 3. The Learned Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further



contend that there is previous motive between A1 and defacto complainant. The injured was discharged from hospital. He would further submit that most of the investigation has been completed. Therefore he prays for anticipatory bail stating that he will not tamper the witnesses and abscond.

- 4. The Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that 2 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 was released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram in Crl.M.P.No.700/2024 04.03.2024. The occurrence happened due to some previous motive between A1 and the defacto complainant. Subsequently when both were purchasing food in a hotel, a wordy guarrel dispute arose between them. Due to that, the petitioner and A1 abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and A1 assaulted with iron rod on his back side of the head and also both accused pelted stones and caused He would further submit that the injured was discharged from hospital on iniuries. 25.04.0224 and major part of the investigation has already been completed. Earlier by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1760/2024 dated bail application was dismissed 26.04.2024. 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.
- 5. According to the prosecution, there is a previous motive dispute between A1 and defacto complainant. On the day of occurrence when they were buying food



in a hotel, a wordy altacation arose between them. Due to that the petitioner and A1 abused him filthy language and A1 assaulted with iron rod on the back side of his head and both accused threw stones on him and caused injuries. The injured has been discharged from hospital on 25.04.2024. Major part of the investigation has already been completed. 6 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Considering the above facts and circumstances, nature of offence and that investigation is almost over, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

- 6. Accordingly the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail and he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before **Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram** on executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate concerned within 30 days from the date of this order, failing which the order shall stand cancelled automatically. Further the petitioners shall adhere to the following conditions:-
- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required,
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against



them so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police

officer,

iii) that the petitioner shall appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate,

No.II, Ramanathapuram everyday at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

iv) that the petitioner shall not abscond either during the investigation or trial.

7. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial

Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with

Law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners enlarged on

anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the

Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji -vs- State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560).

8. If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under

Section 229-A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 9th day of May 2024.

B C GOPINATH

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:15:37 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,

The Petitioner through his Counsel.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: THIRU.B.C.GOPINATH, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, (i/c) Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandam, Fast Track Mahila Court, Ramanathapuram.

### Thursday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.61/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000061-2024)

- 1. Murugan (aged.59/2024) S/o. Rathipandi
- 2. Arumugam (aged.54/2024) S/o. Rathipandi
- 3. Kaleeswari, (aged.28/2024) S/o.Murugesan
- 4. Senthilmurugan (aged.23/2024) S/o.Murugesan

..Petitioners/ Accused..

 $/V_{S}/$ 

State, through the Inspector of Police, DCB, Ramnad in Cr.No.03/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition dated :07.05.2024 U/s.438 CR.P.C to grant anticipatory bail through e-filing.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.A.Ramalingam, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court



passed the following:

#### **ORDER**

The petition is the accused in Cr.No. 03/2024 of the respondent P.S., The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.406, 420, 120(b) IPC has filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

B C GOPINATH

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:14:03 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge (i/c), Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: THIRU.B.C.GOPINATH, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, (i/c) Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandam, Fast Track Mahila Court, Ramanathapuram.

## Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.78/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000080-2024)

- 1. Sundharapandian (aged.31) (A1) S/o. Subramaniyan
- 2. Surendra Pandiyan @ Surendar Pandiyan (aged.27)(A2) S/o. Subramaniyan

..Petitioners/ Accused..

 $/V_S/$ 

State, through the Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S in Cr.No. 146/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition dated :07.05.2024 U/s.438 CR.P.C to grant anticipatory bail through e-filing.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.N.Mohammed Yasin, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this



Court passed the following:

#### **ORDER**

The petition is the accused in Cr.No. 146/2024 of the respondent P.S., The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.323, 294(b), 506(ii) IPC has filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

B C GOPINATH Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:14:43 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge (i/c), Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.70/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000070-2024)

Saranraj, (aged 24) S/o.Murugan.

...Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,

Cr.No.130/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.K.N.Karunakaran B.Sc.B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A.,B.L., Public Prosecutor.

#### **ORDER**

This is a petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.

The petitioners/accused who apprehends arrest for alleged offences U/s. 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC and section 4 of TNPHW Act in Cr.No.130/2024 of respondent police seek Anticipatory Bail.

- 2. Heard both side and perused the records.
- 3. The Learned Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner are innocent and has been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further contend that there is previous motive between both the parties. The injured was



discharged from hospital. He would further submit that most of the investigation has been completed. Therefore he prays for anticipatory bail stating that he will not tamper the witnesses and abscond.

- 4. The Learned Public Prosecutor would submit that 2 accused involved in this case. The petitioner is Arrayed as A1. A2 is in judicial custody. On 06.04.2024 at about 10.50 p.m, when the defacto complainant and his son-in law and sister were at home, the petitioner and A2 came there and abused them in filthy language and assaulted them with hands, reaper log and caused injuries. He would further submit that the injured was discharged from hospital on 10.04.2024 and major part of the investigation has already been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by this court in Crl.M.P.No.1762/2024 dated 26.04.2024. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.
- 5. According to the prosecution, there is a is previous motive between the parties. In furtherance of it on 06.04.2024 at about 10.50 p.m, when the defacto complainant, his son-in law and sister were at home, the petitioner and A2 came there and abused them in filthy language and assaulted them with hands, reaper log and caused injuries. The injured has been discharged from hospital on 10.04.2024 Major part of the investigation has already been completed. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. Considering the above facts and circumstances, nature



of offence and that substantial investigation is over this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

- 6. Accordingly the petitioner is granted anticipatory bail and he is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance before Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram on executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate concerned within 30 days from the date of this order, failing which the order shall stand cancelled automatically. Further the petitioners shall adhere to the following conditions:-
- i) that the petitioner shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required,
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against them so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer,
- iii) that the petitioner shall appear before the Judicial Magistrate,

  Thirvadanai everyday at 10.30 a.m until further orders;
- iv) that the petitioners shall not abscond either during the investigation or trial.



7. On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with Law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners enlarged on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji -vs- State of Kerala (2005 AIR SCW 5560).

8. If the petitioner thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229-A IPC.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 9th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Uchippuli P.S.,

The Petitioner through his Counsel.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: THIRU.B.C.GOPINATH, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, (i/c) Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandam, Fast Track Mahila Court, Ramanathapuram.

### Thursday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.64/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000064-2024)

- 1. Karuppusamy (aged.20) S/o. Dharmar
- 2. Shanmugavasan (aged.21) S/o. Nagarasu

..Petitioners/ Accused..

 $/V_{S}/$ 

State, through the Inspector of Police, Thiruppullani PS, in Cr.No.81/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition for anticipatory bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.,

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of Thiru.B.Kaleeswaran, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

#### **ORDER**

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC in Cr.No.81/2024 have filed this



petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

B C
GOPINATH

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH

Date: 2024.05.09 20:14:16 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge (i/c), Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT), RAMANATHAPURAM

PRESENT: THIRU.B.C.GOPINATH, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, (i/c) Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandam, Fast Track Mahila Court, Ramanathapuram.

### Thursday, the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.69/2024

(CNR.No. TNRM-00-000069-2024)

- 1. Shyam Sundar (aged.31) (A1) S/o. Rathipandi
- 2. Manoj @ Manojkumar (aged.24)(A2) S/o. Rathipandi
- 3. Boomi, (aged.51)(A3) S/o.Chinnaiya
- 4. Nithish @ Nithish Kumar (aged.25)(A4) S/o.Boomi
- 5. Sarathkumar (aged.34)(A5) S/o.Santhavaziyan

..Petitioners/ Accused...

 $/V_S/$ 

State, through the Inspector of Police, Bazaar P.S in Cr.No. 145/2024

..Respondent / Complainant..

Petition dated :07.05.2024 U/s.438 CR.P.C to grant anticipatory bail through

e-filing.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of



Thiru.B.Kaleeswaran, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and upon hearing both sides arguments, this Court passed the following:

#### **ORDER**

The petition is the accused in Cr.No. 145/2024 of the respondent P.S., The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable U/s.147, 294(b), 323, 352, 324, 379 and 506(ii) IPC has filed this petition seeking to release them on anticipatory bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the petitioner has made an endorsement that he is not pressing this petition. Recording the same, this petition is dismissed as not pressed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 09<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2024.

B C GOPINATH

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:14:27 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge (i/c), Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

## Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

### Crl.M.P.No.28/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000029-2024)

- 1. Marimuthu, (aged 45), S/o.Sadaiyandi.
- 2. Arumugam, (aged 60), S/o.Karuppaiah Thevar.
- 3. Venkatesan, (aged 19), S/o.Narayanamoorthy.
- 4. Ramar, (aged 18), S/o.Balamurugan.

..Petitioners/Accused No.1-4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Abiramam P.S.,

Cr.No.68/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : Tr. A.MuthirulappanB.A., B.L., Advocate.

For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

#### **ORDER**

### This is a petition for Bail U/s.439 Cr.P.C.

The petitioners are the accused in Cr.No.68/2024 on the file of the



respondent police for alleged offences U/s.273, 328 IPC r/w section 24(1) of Tobacco seek bail.

- 2. Heard both side and perused the record.
- 3. According to the prosecution, based on an information, the respondent police went to the occurrence place, and found the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner in possession of 7.875 Kgs., of prohibited Tobacco products in his shop namely Bharath store without getting any licence for selling higher price in local market. The same was seized by the police. The value of the products is Rs.16,000/-. Other petitioners were standing in the shop for selling the products. Thereafter the case has been registered.
- 4. The Learned Counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners is innocent and have been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further contend that the petitioners are in judicial custody from 27.04.2024. Properties involved in this case have already been seized by the police. Most of the investigation has been completed. Further he would submit that the 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner is physically challenged person and 2<sup>nd</sup> petitioner is the senior citizen and others are students who have to pursue school education. For the statistical purpose the respondent police has registered a false case. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the Principal Sessions Court in



Crl.M.P.No.1840/2024 on 30.04.2024. He would further submit that there is no possibility to tamper with the evidence. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

- 5. The Learned Public Prosecutor would contend that there are totally 6 accused in this case. The petitioners are A1 to A4. The 1<sup>st</sup> petitioner is running a petty shop and he was having 7.875 Kgs., of prohibited Tobacco products in his shop without obtaining any license from competent authorities for selling higher price and the properties have been seized by the police. Property worth about Rs.16,000/-. The petitioners were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on 27.04.2024. Major part of the investigation have already been completed. No previous case is pending against the petitioners.
- 5. Considering the submissions made by the Counsel and facts and circumstances, the period of custody and that substantial investigation is over, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.
- 6. Accordingly the petitioners are is ordered to be released subject to the following conditions:
- i) The petitioners shall execute a bond for Rs.20,000/- each with two sureties for the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate**, **Kamuthi**;

ii) Before execution of bond, the 1st and 2nd petitioners shall remit a sum

of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each as non-refundable deposit

in favour of the District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram;

iii) that the petitioners shall file an affidavit that they shall not involve

in similar kind of offence in future at the time of furnishing sureties;

iv) that the petitioners shall appear before the **Investigating Officer every** 

day at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

iv) that the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness during

the trial;

v) if the petitioners fails to comply the above said conditions, the bail

order shall stand cancelled automatically;

In the result, the petition is allowed on the above terms.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:13:40 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c. Ramanathapuram.

09.05.2024



## Copy sent through e-mail:-

To

The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi,

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Abiramam P.S.,

The petitioners through their Counsel.

The District Mediation Centre, Ramanathapuram,

The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.



## IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

## Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

#### Crl.M.P.No.54/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000005-2024)

Marilingam, (aged 28),

S/o.Karuppasamy.

....Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Kovilangulam P.S.,

Cr.No.26/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.M.Radhakrishnan, B.A., B.L., Advocate.

For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

#### **ORDER**

### This is a petition for Bail U/s.438 Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.26/2024 on the file of the respondent police for alleged offences U/s.379 IPC and section 21(1) of MMDR Act seeks bail.

- 2. Heard both side and perused records.
- 3. The Learned Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the above case. Properties involved in this case have already been secured by the police. Most



of the investigation has been completed. He would further submit that there is no possibility to tamper with the evidence. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

- 4. The Learned Public Prosecutor would contend that the defacto complainant is a Revenue Inspector. At the time of vehicle inspection on 30.04.2024, the petitioner and another person illegally transported 4 ½ unit of river sand without getting any permission from the competent authorities in a Tipper Lorry bearing Reg.No.TN 65 BX 7443 at Primary Health Centre, Kovilangulam. There are totally 2 accused involved in this case and the petitioner is arrayed as A1 . A2 is in judicial custody and he is the driver of the vehicle. He would further submit that the properties involved in this case have been seized. Investigation is yet to be completed. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner out of which 2 cases are similar nature.
- 5. According to the Prosecution, at the time of vehicle inspection conducted by the defacto complainant and her Assistant on 30.04.2024 they found that the petitioner and another person have illegally transported 4 ½ unit of river sand without getting any permission from the competent authorities in a Tipper Lorry bearing Reg.No.TN 65BX 7443 at Primary Health Centre, Kovilangulam. The petitioner is arrayed as A2 and he is driver of the above said vehicle. The properties involved in this case have been seized by the police.



Further investigation is yet to be completed. 3 previous cases are pending against the petitioner out of which 2 cases are similar nature. Considering the above said facts and circumstances, period of custody and bad antecedents, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition is stand dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

 $\begin{array}{ll} B\ C & {\tiny \begin{array}{c} Digitally\ signed\ by}\\ B\ C\ GOPINATH \end{array}}\\ GOPINATH & {\tiny \begin{array}{c} Date:\ 2024.05.09\\ 20:13:05\ +0530 \end{array}} \end{array}$ 

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c. Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:-

To
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Kovilangulam P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.



# IN THE COURT OF VACATION SESSIONS JUDGE, (PRINCIPAL SESSIONS COURT) RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

## Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.76/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000075-2024)

Guna @ Gunasekaran, (aged 23/2024),

S/o. Arasapandi.

..Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Parthibanur P.S.,

Cr.No.175/2023

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr. A.Ramalingam, B.Sc., B.L., Advocate.

For Respondent :Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public

Prosecutor.

#### **ORDER**

### This is a petition for Bail U/s.439 Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.175/2023 on the file of the respondent police for alleged offences U/s. 379 IPC.

- 2. Heard both side and perused the records.
- 3. According to the prosecution on 22.09.2023 night the petitioner and 2 others have committed theft a two wheeler Pulsar bike bearing Reg.No.TN 64



P 9327 which was parked in front of his house and was purchased as 2<sup>nd</sup> hand by the defacto complainant's son from his friend namely Vivekanandhan. There after the case was registered.

- 4. The Learned Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further contend that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 04.12.2023. Investigation has been completed and the case was taken on file in C.C.No.49/2024 by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.
- 5. The Learned Public Prosecutor would contend the occurrence took place on 22.09.2023 and FIR was registered on 23.09.2023. After investigation the petitioner and 2 others have were found to be involved in this case. He would further contend that the petitioner was arrested on 04.12.2023 and he is in judicial custody. He would further submit that the property was secured by the respondent police. Investigation has been completed and the case was taken on file in C.C.No.49/2024 by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi and it is posted for hearing on 16.05.2024. 9 previous cases are pending against the petitioner.



6. Considering the submissions made by both sides and that the case was taken on file in C.C.No.49/2024 and it is posted for hearing on 16.05.2024. Considering the facts and circumstances and period of custody and stage of the

case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly the petitioner is ordered to be released subject to the

following conditions:

i) The petitioner shall execute a bond for Rs.20,000/- with two sureties for

the like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate,

Paramakudi,

ii) that the petitioner shall appear before the learned Judicial Magistrate,

Paramakudi every day at 10.30 a.m until further orders;

iv) that the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness during

the trial;

v) if the petitioner fails to comply the above said conditions, the bail order

shall stand cancelled automatically;

In the result, the petition is allowed on the above terms.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 20:13:27 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c. Ramanathapuram.



## Copy sent through e-mail:-

To
The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi,
The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.
The Inspector of Police, Parthibanur P.S.,
The petitioner through his Counsel.
The Superintendent, District Prison, Ramanathapuram.