

Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.38/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000039-2024)

Prabu,(aged 35), S/o.Arumugam.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Thiruppullani P.S.,

Cr.No.64/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.A.Ramalingam,, B.Sc., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

- 2. The application is filed by the petitioner/Accused in Cr.No.64/2024 on the file of the respondent police. Notice for the said application is given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.
- 3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioner in Cr.No.64/2024 U/s.379 and section 21(1) MMDR Act. The petitioner was already granted bail on 17.04.2024. The learned Public Prosecutor has stated that the



petitioner has been complying the condition before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 24.04.2024 to till date for the past 14 days. No deviation of condition reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to relax the condition imposed upon the petitioner. Accordingly, the condition is fully relaxed and the petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

B C Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:16:25 +0530

Vacation Session Judge (i/c) Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through e-mail

To The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram, The Inspector of Police, Thiruppulani P.S., The Petitioner through his counsel



Present: **Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.**

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.39/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000040-2024)

Sethupathy, (aged 18, S/o. Sethuraja.

....Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Devipattinam P.S.,

Cr.No.131/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.R.Chandrasekar, B.Sc., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

- 2. The application is filed by the petitioner/Accused in Cr.No.131/2024 on the file of the respondent police. Notice for the said application is given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.
- 3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioner in Cr.No.131/2024 U/s.21(1) MMDR Act r/w section 37, 109 IPC. The petitioner was already granted bail on 17.04.2024. The learned Public Prosecutor has stated that the



petitioner has been complying the condition before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 24.04.2024 to 08.05.2024 for the past 15 days. No deviation of condition reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to relax the condition imposed upon the petitioner. Accordingly, the condition is fully relaxed. However the petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:17:04 +0530

Vacation Session Judge (i/c) Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through e-mail

To The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram, The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S., The Petitioner through his counsel



Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.41/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000042-2024)

- 1. Muniyasamy, (aged 34) S/o.Bose.
- 2. Manikandan @ Muthumanai (aged 26) S/o. Ganesan.
- 3.Ramachandran, (aged 43), S/o.Bose.

...Petitioners/ Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.,

Cr.No.47/2024Respondent/Complainant

For petitioners : Thiru. K.Muthuduraisamy, B.A., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

2. The application is filed by the petitioners/Accused in Cr.No.47/2024 on the file of the respondent police. Notice for the said application is



given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioners in

Cr.No.47/2024 U/s. 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC. The petitioners were already

granted anticipatory bail on 18.04.2024. The learned Public Prosecutor has stated

that the petitioners have been complying the condition before the respondent

police station daily at 10.30 a.m., from 24.04.2024 to 08.05.2024 for the past

15 days. No deviation of condition reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this

Court is inclined to relax the condition imposed upon the petitioners.

Accordingly, the condition is fully relaxed to the extent that the petitioners shall

appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

B C GOPINATH Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:17:14 +0530

Vacation Session Judge (i/c) Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.,

The Petitioners through their counsel

2



Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.50/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000051-2024)

- 1. Rajadharani, (aged 32) W/o.Manikandan.
- 2. Shanmuganathan, (aged 34)

S/o. Karunaneethi

...Petitioners/ Accused No.2,5

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Pamban P.S., Cr.No.75/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For petitioner : Thiru. S.Shanmuganathan, M.A., B.L., Advocate. : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

2. The application is filed by the petitioners/Accused in Cr.No.75/2024 on the file of the respondent police. Notice for the said application is given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.



3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioners in Cr.No.75/2024 U/s. 143, 447, 427, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC and section 4 of

TNPHW Act. The petitioners were already granted anticipatory bail on 18.04.2024.

The learned Public Prosecutor has stated that the petitioners have been complying

the condition before the respondent police station daily at 10.30 a.m., from

24.04.2024 to 08.05.2024 for the past 15 days. No deviation of condition reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined

to relax the condition imposed upon the petitioners. Accordingly, the condition is

fully relaxed to the extent that the petitioners shall appear before the

Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

B C GOPINATH Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:17:26 +0530

Vacation Session Judge (i/c) Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The District Munsif-cum- Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Pamban P.S.,

The Petitioners through their counsel



Present: **Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.**

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.51/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000052-2024)

Karthikeyan, (aged 31/2024), S/o. Nagalingamoorthy.

....Petitioner/Accused

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Thiruvadanai P.S.,

Cr.No.61/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.T.M.Arunkannan, B.A., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

Heard both side and perused the records.

- 2. The application is filed by the petitioner/Accused in Cr.No.61/2024 on the file of the respondent police. Notice for the said application is given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.
- 3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioner in Cr.No.61/2024 U/s.273, 328 IPC and section 7 Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act. The petitioner was already granted bail on 10.04.2024. The learned Public



Prosecutor has stated that the petitioner has been complying the condition before the respondent police daily twice at 10.30 a.m., and 5.00 p.m., from 15.04.2024 to 08.05.2024 for the past 24 days. No deviation of condition reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to relax the condition imposed upon the petitioner. Accordingly, the condition is fully relaxed. However the petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:17:38 +0530

Vacation Session Judge (i/c) Ramanathapuram.

Copy sent through e-mail

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram, The Inspector of Police, Thiruvadanai P.S.,

The Petitioner through his counsel



Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.32/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000033-2024)

- 1. Karthik,. (aged 34/2024), S/o.Nagasamu.
- 2. Kumar, (aged 45/2024), S/o.Ariraman.

....Petitioners/Accused No.3,4

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police Emaneswaram P.S.,

Cr.No.80/2024

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners: Tr.B.Ramamoorthy, Advocate.

For Respondent: Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This is a petition for Bail U/s.439 Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.80/2024 on the file of the respondent police for alleged offences U/s. 379 and 414 IPC seek bail.

- 2. Heard both side and perused the records.
- 3. According to the prosecution, on 22.04.2024 at about 11.00 a.m, the



defacto complainant was grazing his 2 cows and after grazing the cows, one cow is missing. Thereafter he knowing about the cow, the petitioners and others have stole 3 cows (2 cows are belongs to other persons) and the same were tied-up in a Kattukaruvela trees and have transported at 01.00 a.m by them in TATA ACE bearing Reg.No.TN 63 BM 5271. Total value of the cows Rs.1,85,000/- and the case has been registered.

4. The Learned Counsel for the petitioners would contend that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further contend that the petitioners are in judicial custody from 27.04.2024. There is no specific overt-act against the petitioners. The petitioners have taken the defacto complainant's cow in their vehicle to another place. A3 is the owner and A4 is the driver of the vehicle. He would further contend that defacto complainant was given a complaint after 6 days of the occurrence. Vehicle has already been seized by the respondent police. Most of the investigation has been completed. Earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi in Crl.M.P.Nlo.3094/2024 dated 03.05.2024. He would further submit that there is no possibility to tamper with the evidence. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.



5. The Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed granting bail to the petitioners. The cows have been sold at Kariyapatti Market by the petitioners and other accused. Its worth about Rs.1,85,000/-. Totally 6 accused involved in this case out of which 2 persons are juvenile. The petitioners are arrayed as A3 He would further submit that vehicle and A4. Others are still absconding. only seized by the respondent police. Investigation is yet to be completed. Major part of the investigation have already is completed. However neither the cow nor any other material has been recovered.

6. Considering the submissions made by both side facts and circumstances of the case and period of custody, this Court is not entitled to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, the bail petition is stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

BCGOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:19:55 +0530

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c. Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:-

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,

The petitioners through their Counsel.



Present: Thiru. B.C.Gopinath, M.L., Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court,

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.44/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-00-000045-2024)

Ashok Kumar, (aged 30),

S/o.Sekar.

....Petitioner/Accused No.2

/vs/

State, through the Inspector of Police

Kenikkarai P.S.,

Cr.No.196/2024.

...Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioner : Tr.V.Sunil Malhothra, B.Com., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Tr.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This is a petition for Bail U/s.439 Cr.P.C.

The petitioner is the accused in Cr.No.196/2024 on the file of the respondent police for alleged offences U/s. 294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC seeks bail.

- 2. Heard both side and perused the records.
- 3. According to the prosecution, there is some dispute between the defacto complainant and the petitioner and others. Due to which the petitioner and A1 abused the defacto complainant in obscene words and assaulted with hands and stone on



his back side head and caused injuries and also threatened them with dire consequences and the case has been registered.

- 4. The Learned Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the above case. He would further contend that the petitioner is in judicial custody from 16.04.2024. Properties have been recovered by the respondent police. Injured was discharged from hospital. Material part of the witnesses have already been examined. Earlier application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate in Crl.M.P.No.1550/2024 on 24.04.2024 at the same time A1 was released. Further he has contended that both parties have compromised the matter. He would further submit that there is no possibility to tamper with the evidence. Hence, he prays to grant bail to the petitioner.
- 5. The learned Public Prosecutor has contended that, totally 2 accused are involved in this case. The petitioner is A2. A1 was already released on bail by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram. The petitioner was arrested on 16.04.2024. Further he would submit that 6 witnesses have already been examined. Injured was discharged from hospital. Further he has contended that the petitioner has violated bond U/s.110 of Cr.P.C. in MC No.113/2023 dated 25.08.2023 and his



name in History Sheet. 7 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. If the

petitioner is released on bail, it will possible to commit same type of offence again.

Hence this petition is to be dismissed.

6. Considering both side submissions and on perusal of the case records, it

found that the petitioner is arrayed as A2. A1 has already been released on bail by

the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Ramanathapuram. The petitioner and A2 have

involved in this case. The petitioner was arrested on 16.04.2024. 6 witnesses have

already been examined. Injured was discharged from hospital. The petitioner has

violated 110 bond in MC No.113/2023 dated 25.08.2023 and his name in History

Sheet. 7 previous cases are pending against the petitioner. However co-accused was

released and victim was discharged at the time the petitioner has violated the bond

and many previous cases are pending. Considering the above said facts and

circumstances, period of custody and bad antecedents of the petitioner, this Court is

not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly the anticipatory bail petition is stands dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09

Digitally signed

Vacation Sessions Judge, I/c.

Ramanathapuram.

3



Copy sent through e-mail:-

To The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram. The Inspector of Police, Kenikkarai P.S., The petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru.B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c)

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court, Principal Sessions Judge(i/c)

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.25/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000026-2024)

Aelosiyas, (aged 32)

W/o.Jeyabalan. ...Petitioner/ Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Keelathooval P.S.,

Cr.No.56/2024 ...Respondent/Complainant

For petitioner : Thiru. S.Chellamani, B.A., B.L., Advocate.

For Respondent : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1634/2024 dated 17.04.2024.

- **2.** Heard the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor.
- **3.** The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1634/2024 dated 17.04.2024 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each



for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate**, **Mudukulathur** within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. Due to the health condition of the petitioner, he could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order in Crl.M.P.No.1634/2024 dated 17.04.2024.

- **4.** The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1634/2024 dated 17.04.2024 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.
- 5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner pay a sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred only) to the District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram and to produce the sureties as ordered in Crl.M.P.No.1634/2024 dated 17.04.2024, for which time extension for further period of 10 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred only) on or before 13.05.2024 to the District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram and on such payment time is extended till 20.05.2024.



Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:17:52 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Mudukulathur.

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Keelathooval P.S.

The Petitioner through her Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru.B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c)

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court, Principal Sessions Judge(i/c)

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.26/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000027-2024)

Suresh, (aged 35/2024)

S/o.Maniyan. ...Petitioner/ Accused

 $/v_{S}/$

State through the Inspector of Police,

Sayalkudi P.S.,

Cr.No.49/2024Respondent/Complainant

For petitioner : Thiru. M.Sivsankar, MCA., B.L., Advocate.

For Respondent : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.983/2024 dated 05.03.2024.

- **2.** Heard the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor.
- **3.** The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.983/2024 dated 05.03.2024 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties each for a



like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate**, **Kadaladi** within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. Due to the health condition of the petitioner, he could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order in Crl.M.P.No.983/2024 dated 05.03.2024.

- **4.** The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.983/2024 dated 05.03.2024 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.
- 5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner pay a sum of Rs.1000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) to the District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram and to produce the sureties as ordered in Crl.M.P.No.983/2024 dated 05.03.2024 for which time extension for further period of 10 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays Rs.1000/- (Rupees One Thousand only) on or before 13.05.2024 to the District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram and on such payment time is extended till 20.05.2024.



Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

ВС

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:18:05 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The District Munsif-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kadaladi.

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.

The Petitioner through his Counsel.



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru.B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c)

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court, Principal Sessions Judge(i/c)

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.27/2024 (CNR No.TNRM 00-000028-2024)

Nagoor Maideen, (aged 32)

S/o.Seeni Ibramsa.

...Petitioner/ Accused

 $/v_{\rm S}/$

State through the Inspector of Police,

Devipattinam P.S.,

Cr.No.97/2024 ...Respondent/Complainant

For petitioner : Thiru. M.Sritharan, B.B.A., L.L.B., Advocate.

For Respondent : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner U/s.439(1)(b) to relax the condition which was imposed by this Court in the anticipatory bail order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1378/2024 dated 26.03.2024.

2. Heard both side, record perused. This application is filed by the petitioner / accused in Cr.No.97/2024 on the file of respondent police. Notice for the said

application is given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioner in Cr.No.97/2024

U/s.294(b), 324, 506(ii) IPC. Subsequently, the petitioner was released on

anticipatory bail and he is said to have been complying the condition daily from

23.04.2024 to till date before the investigation officer daily at 10.30 a.m. No

deviation reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to

relax the condition imposed upon the petitioner since condition is complied without

deviation. Accordingly, the condition is fully relaxed. However the petitioner shall

appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

BC

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:18:18 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Devipattinam P.S.,

The Petitioner through his Counsel.

2



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru.B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c)

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court, Principal Sessions Judge(i/c)

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024

Crl.M.P.No.37/2024 (CNR No.TNRM 00-000038-2024)

Devi @ Meenadevi, (aged 36)

W/o.Murugan.

...Petitioner/ Accused No.4

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Emaneswaram P.S.,

Cr.No.55/2024 ...Respondent/Complainant

For petitioner : Thiru. K.Anbuchezhiyan, B.A., B.L., Advocate. For Respondent : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

PETITION FOR RELAXATION OF CONDITION U/S.439(1)(b) OF Cr.P.C. ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner U/s.439(1)(b) to relax the condition which was imposed by this Court in the anticipatory bail order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1391/2024 dated 04.04.2024.

2. Heard both side, record perused. This application is filed by the petitioner / accused in Cr.No.55/2024 on the file of respondent police. Notice for the said

application is given to the Learned Public Prosecutor.

3. The respondent police has filed a case against the petitioner in Cr.No.55/2024

U/s.143, 294(b), 341, 323, 324, 506(ii) IPC. Subsequently, the petitioner was released

on anticipatory bail and he is said to have been complying the condition daily from

19.04.2024 to till date before the respondent police station daily twice at 10.30 a.m.

and 5.00 p.m. No deviation reported.

4. Considering the nature and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to

relax the condition imposed upon the petitioner since condition is complied without

deviation. Accordingly, the condition is fully relaxed. However, the petitioner shall

appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required for interrogation.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 09th day of May 2024.

B C GOPINATH

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:18:32 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram,

The Inspector of Police, Emaneswaram P.S.,

The Petitioner through her Counsel.

2



IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, RAMANATHAPURAM.

Present: Thiru.B.C.Gopinath, M.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c)

Sessions Judge, Magalir Neethimandram,

Fast Track Mahila Court, Principal Sessions Judge(i/c)

Ramanathapuram.

Thursday, the 09th day of May 2024 Crl.M.P.No.53/2024

(CNR No. TNRM-01-000054-2024)

Pitchaipandi, (aged 32)

S/o.Muthupandi. ...Petitioner/ Accused

/vs/

State through the Inspector of Police,

Paramakudi Taluk P.S.,

Cr.No.46/2024 ...Respondent/Complainant

For petitioner : Thiru. R.Balaji, M.Com., L.L.B., Advocate.

For Respondent : Thiru.B.Karthikeyan, B.A., B.L., Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

The petition has been filed by the petitioner for extension of time to produce the sureties as per the order passed in Crl.M.P.No.1616/2024 dated 17.04.2024.

- **2.** Heard the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned Public Prosecutor.
- **3.** The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1616/2024 dated 17.04.2024 with a condition to execute a bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties each



for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned **Judicial Magistrate**, **Paramakudi** within a period of 15 days from the date of above said order. Due to the health condition of the petitioner, he could not produce the sureties in time before the concerned Court and seeks to extend the time to comply the conditional order in Crl.M.P.No.1616/2024 dated 17.04.2024.

- **4.** The learned Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail by this Court in Crl.M.P.No.1616/2024 dated 17.04.2024 and hence he has no serious objection for extension of time to produce the sureties.
- 5. Having considered the fact and reasons stated in this petition and prayer of the petitioner seeking extension of time can be accepted in the interest of justice on condition that the petitioner pay a sum of Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred only) to the District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram and to produce the sureties as ordered in Crl.M.P.No.1616/2024 dated 17.04.2024 for which time extension for further period of 10 days from today is granted. The other conditions passed in the said order remains unchanged.

In the result, the petition is allowed on condition that the petitioner pays Rs.500/- (Rupees Five Hundred only) on or before 13.05.2024 to the District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram and on such payment time is extended till 20.05.2024.



Pronounced by me in open Court this the 09th day of May 2024.

ВС

Digitally signed by B C GOPINATH GOPINATH Date: 2024.05.09 19:19:25 +0530

Vacation Sessions Judge(i/c) Ramanathapuram. 09.05.2024.

Copy sent through e-mail:

To

The Judicial Magistrate, Paramakudi.

The Public Prosecutor, Ramanathapuram.

The District Legal Service Authority, Ramanathapuram.

The Inspector of Police, Sayalkudi P.S.

The Petitioner through his Counsel.