IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 13 OF 2015 (UNDER ARTICLE 32 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)

SUPREME COURT ADVOCATES ON RECORD ASSOCIATION & ANR.

... PETITIONERS

-VERSUS-

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

10:	-	40	~	
4.00	- 1	.4	- 3	
	-	. ^	æ	

	57	
1,	Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.	For Union of India, Ministry of Law and Justice
2.	Ms. C.K. Sucharita, Adv.	For State of Andhra Pradesh
3.	M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.	For State of Assam,
4.	Mr. Anil Srivastava, Adv.	For State of Arunachal Pradesh
5.	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.	For State of Bihar
6.	Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.	For State of Delhi
7.	Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.	For State of Gujarat
8.	Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.	For State of Goa
9.	Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv. Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.	For State of Haryana
10.	Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv.	For State of Himachal Pradesh
11.	Mr. Ashok Mathur, Adv.	For State of Jammu & Kashmir
12.	Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.	For State of Karnataka
13.	Ms. Bina Madhavan and Mr. Ramesh Babu M.R., Advs.	For State of Kerala
14.	Mr. Saurabh Mishra, Adv.	For State of Madhya Pradesh
15.	Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.	For State of Maharashtra
16.	Mr. Kh. Nobin Singh, Adv.	For State of Manipur
17.	Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.	For State of Meghalaya
18.	Mr. P.V. Yogeshwaran, Adv.	For State of Mizoram

19,	Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.	For State of Nagaland	
20.	Ms. Kirti R. Mishra, Adv.	For State of Odisha	
21.	Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv.		
	Mr. J.S Chhabra, Adv.	For State of Punjab	
22.	Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.		
	Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.		
	Ms. Ruchi Kohli, Adv.	For State of Rajasthan	
23.	M/s. Aruputham Aruna & Co., A	dvs. For State of Sikkim	
24.	Mr. B. Balaji, Adv.	For State of Tamil Nadu	
25.	Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.	For State of Tripura	
26.	Mr. Abhishek Choudhary, Adv.	For State of Uttar Pradesh	
27.	Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv.	For State of West Bengal	
28.	Mr. D.K. Sinha, Adv.	For State of Chhattisgarh	
29,	Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv.	For State of Uttarakhand	
30.	Mr. Gopal Prasad, Adv.		
	Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Adv.	For State of Jharkhand	
31.	Mr. Anil Kumar Chopra, Adv.	For Union of Territory of Chandigarh	
32.	Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.	For Union of Territories of Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Dadra & Nagra Haveli, Daman & Diu and Lakshdweep	
33.	Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv	For Union Territory of Puducherry	

WHEREAS the Writ Petition above-mentioned was filed in this Registry by Mr. Surya Kant, Advocate on behalf of the Petitioners above-named; copy of whereof has been uploaded on the website of Supreme Court i.e. www.supremecourtofindia.nic.in.

AND WHEREAS the said Writ Petition was listed before this Court on the 22nd April, 2015, when the Court, while directing issue of Rule in the matter above-mentioned, was pleased to inter-alia pass the following Orders:

"The general consensus, of the learned counsel representing the rival parties was, that Writ Petition(C) no. 13 of 2015 should be treated as the lead case. We accept the aforesaid recommendation. The Secretary General of the Supreme Court shall issue notice in the above noted lead case, returnable on 23.04.2015, on all Standing Counsel in this Court, representing all the States. The Secretary General shall also upload, the paper book of Writ Petition(C) no.13 of 2015, on the Supreme Court Website, so that it can be downloaded and accessed by the learned counsel representing the different States, and by others impleaded therein.

The copies of the other petitions shall be served by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, in the office of the learned Attorney General for India. Each of the counsel representing the connected cases, shall file written briefs to indicate the additional issues (if any) arising therein, so as to enable this Court to determine, whether individual hearing is required in such connected matters.

List again on 23.04.2015 at 2:00 p.m."

NOW, THEREFORE, TAKE NOTICE that the Writ Petition above-mentioned will be posted for hearing before this Court along with connected matters on the 23rd day of April, 2015 at 2:00 P.M. or so soon thereafter as may be convenient to the Court for Orders when you may appear before this Court either in person or through Advocate on Record and show cause to the Court as to why the prayer made in the writ petition should not be allowed.

TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that in default of your appearance the matter will be heard and determined in your absence.

Dated this the 22nd day of April, 2015.

SECRETARY GENERAL