

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE,  
CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

Present: THIRU. S. UTHAMARAJ, B.A., B.L.,  
Principal Sessions Judge,  
(Full Additional Charge),  
Cuddalore.

Thursday, the 21<sup>st</sup> day of March 2024

**Crl. Misc. Petition No. 2057/2024**

1. Pandidurai, age 37, S/o. Arangi

2. Murugavel, age 35, S/o. Arangi

>> Petitioners/Accused

.Vs.

S.H.O. Thiruppapuliyur P.S.,  
Cr.No. 80/2024.

>> Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Thiru.V.Balaguru, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the respondent and this Court made the following

**ORDER**

Heard both sides.

This petition is filed U/s.438 of Cr.P.C, for seeking Anticipatory bail.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused has filed the Anticipatory application and stated that the Petitioners/Accused have been charged by the Respondent Police U/Ss.147, 148, 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) and 307 of IPC for the alleged occurrence took place on 10.03.2024 and FIR was registered on 11.03.2024.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused has contended that one TPL Company has license to transport the mines but they illegally also doing the same. Some persons asked why they illegally do it. So petty quarrel with clash between the parties. 4 persons injured in the occurrence, 3 of them treated as O.P., 1 person is treated as IP because there is injury in the nose. The investigation is almost completed. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

The Learned Public Prosecutor objected on the ground that the as per FIR, there is 7 accused involved in this case, the detention order served to A1. The Petitioners/Accused assaulted the injured with kaththi on face and head. The 1t Petitioners/Accused has 5 previous cases, the 2nd Petitioner/Accused has 3 previous cases. The injured is still IP because there is fracture in the nose. The investigation has not yet been completed. Hence, he strongly objected to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners/Accused.

Considering the submissions made by both sides. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and other aspects and gravity of the offences and the investigation has not yet been completed, this Court is not inclined to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners/Accused at this stage. Hence, the petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of March 2024.

Principal Sessions Judge,  
(Full Additional Charge),  
Cuddalore.

To : The Judicial Magistrate No. III, Cuddalore  
Copy to : S.H.O. Thiruppapuliyur P.S.

} Through  
E-Mail only