## IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, CUDDALORE DISTRICT, CUDDALORE

Present: THIRU. S. UTHAMARAJ, B.A., B.L.,

Principal Sessions Judge, (Full Additional Charge),

Cuddalore.

Thursday, the 21<sup>st</sup> day of March 2024

## Crl. Misc. Petition No. 2059/2024

- 1. Arumugam, age 52, S/o. Govindhan
- 2. Anandharaj, age 29, S/o. Arumugam
- 3. Radha, age 49, W/o. Arumugam

>> Petitioners/Accused

.Vs.

S.H.O. Mangalampettai P.S.

Cr.No. 54/2024

>> Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming for hearing before me on this day in the presence of Thiru.K.Selvakumar, Advocate for the petitioners and of Public Prosecutor for the respondent and this Court made the following

## **ORDER**

Heard both sides.

This petition is filed U/s.438 of Cr.P.C for seeking Anticipatory Bail.

Prosecution case is that the FIR was registered as against the Petitioners/ Accused for the alleged offences punishable U/Ss. 294(b), 323, 336 and 506(i) of IPC for the alleged occurrence took place on 03.03.2024. FIR was registered on 04.03.2024.

The Learned Counsel for the Petitioners/Accused has contended that the Respondent Police have registered an FIR as against the Petitioners/Accused *due to petty quarrel between the parties* and that they have been falsely implicated in this case and they have not committed any offence as alleged in FIR. There is case in counter in Cr.No.53/2024 and the injured was discharged from the hospital. Hence, prays to allow the petition.

Notice was ordered to the Learned Public Prosecutor and he has appeared before this Court and contended that there is case in counter and the injured was discharged from the hospital and hence, he has no serious objection to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners/ Accused.

Considering the rival submissions of both sides and considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the injured was discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant Anticipatory bail to the Petitioners/Accused with the following conditions:-

The Petitioners/Accused are ordered to be enlarged on bail in the event of arrest or on their surrender on or before <a href="Mailto:04.04.2024">04.04.2024</a> before the Learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Vridhachalam on their executing a bond for Rs.10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) along with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the said Court, <a href="failing which the Anticipatory bail granted by this Court shall stand dismissed automatically">automatically</a> and further the Petitioners/Accused shall report and sign before the <a href="Respondent Police Station daily">Respondent Police Station daily</a> at 10.30 AM for 15 days and thereafter as and when required. Further conditions that

- (i) the Petitioners/Accused shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.
- (ii) the Petitioners/Accused shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) the Petitioners/Accused shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.
- (iv) Further on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the Petitioners/Accused in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the Petitioners/Accused released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court themselves as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K. Shaji Vs. State of Kerala (2005) AIR SCW 5560).
- (v) If the Petitioners/Accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered Under Section 229A of IPC.

Accordingly, this petition is allowed.

Pronounced by me in Open Court, this the 21st day of March 2024.

Principal Sessions Judge, (Full Additional Charge), Cuddalore.

To : The Judicial Magistrate No. II, Vridhachalam

Copy to : S.H.O. Mangalampettai P.S.

Through E-Mail only