

In the Court of the Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni.

Present: Thiru. P. Ganesan, B.A., B.L., D.L.L.,
Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni,

Dated this the 21st day of May 2024

CrI.M.P.No.97/2024

CNR.No.TNTH00-0000152-2024

1. Thangan @ Thangaraj
2. Amsamani
3. Jeyakumar
4. Thangamuthu.

... Petitioners/Accused

-Versus-

State through the Inspector of Police,

Bodi Taluk P. S. in

Cr.No.157/2024

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming up on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru. M.Prabakaran, Learned Counsel for the petitioners and of Thiru. A.Devaraju, Learned counsel for the Intervener/defacto complainant and the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and this Court passed the following :

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.438 of Code of Criminal Procedure seeking anticipatory bail.

2. The Nitty-Gritty of the prosecution case is as follows:

On 08.05.2024 at 6.00 p.m. the petitioners abused the complainant and kicked him as well as intimidated them with dire consequences. Therefore the case registered against the petitioner U/s.294(b), 323 and 506 (ii) of IPC.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The points for determination is that can anticipatory bail be granted to the petitioner?

..2..

Answer :

5. The FIR transpires that the complainant and his community people rented out a shop to the Petitioner No.1. The petitioner No.1 was trading in his shop liquor and other contraband, while questioning the same, the incident took place. The prosecution submitted that the investigation is underway and if he released on Anticipatory bail, the petitioner would abscond. Having regard to the antecedents of the petitioner as well as the nature of the offence, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail.

In result, this anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 21st day of May 2024.

**Vacation Sessions Judge,
Theni.**

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Bodinayakanur.