

In the Court of the Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni.

Present: Thiru. P. Ganesan, B.A., B.L., D.L.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni,

**Dated this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2024**

**Crl.M.P. No. 98/2024 in**

**Crl.M.P.No.1035/2024**

**CNR.No.TNTH00-000137-2024**

1. Karthik

2. Saranya

... Petitioners/Accused

-Versus-

State through the Inspector of Police,

DCB, Theni in

Cr.No.11/2024

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming up on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru. S.Selvakumar, for the petitioners and Thiru.J.Shanmugaraj for the intervener/defacto complainant and the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/s.438 of Code of Criminal Procedure seeking anticipatory bail.

**2. The Nitty-Gritty of the prosecution case is as follows :-**

The petitioners and co-accused cheated the complainant to the tune of Rs.17,79,942/-. They conspired and cheated the complainant. Therefore case registered against them U/s.417, 420, 120(B), 294(b) and 506(i) of IPC.

3. Heard both sides including intervener.

4. The points for determination is that can the petitioners be released on anticipatory bail ?

..2..

**Answer :**

5. The FIR and records are perused. As disclosed from the FIR, the petitioners and co-accused allegedly cheated the complainant to the tune of Rs.17,79,942/-. Also they conspired to commit such offence. The prosecution submitted that the investigation is still pending and the petitioners would abscond if released on bail. Having considered the above grounds, I am not inclined to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail.

**In result, this anticipatory bail petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of May 2024.

**Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Theni.**

**Copy to:**

The Judicial Magistrate, Theni.