

In the Court of the Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni.

Present: Thiru. P. Ganesan, B.A., B.L., D.L.L.,  
Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni,

**Dated this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of May 2024**

**CrI.M.P.No.103/2024**

**CNR.No.TNTH00-0000129-2024**

Mokkasamy

... Petitioner/Accused

-Versus-

State through the Inspector of Police,

P.C.Patty P. S. in

Cr.No.199/2024

... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming up on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Tmt.S.Kumaralingam, Learned Counsel for the petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and this Court passed the following:

**ORDER**

This petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused U/s.438 of Code of Criminal Procedure seeking anticipatory bail.

**2. The Nitty-Gritty of the prosecution case is as follows:**

On 08.05.2024 the petitioner and the co-accused robbed the cell phone and cash of Rs.1,50,000/- from the complainant and intimidated him with dire consequences. Therefore the case registered against the petitioner U/s.323, 379(NH) and 506 (i) of IPC.

3. Heard both sides.

4. The points for determination is that can anticipatory bail be granted to the petitioner?

..2..

**Answer :**

5. The FIR and records are perused. The FIR clearly transpires that the petitioner and the co-accused robbed the cell phone and cash of Rs.1,50,000/- from the complainant. Further they intimidated him with dire consequences. The prosecution submitted that the investigation is still pending. It is also came to light from the FIR that the petitioner is Ward Councillor and the property robbed from the complainant were not yet seized. So further investigation is necessary for find out the co accused and to recover the stolen property. At this stage the petitioner is not entitled for Anticipatory bail.

**In fine, the petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of May 2024.

**Vacation Sessions Judge,  
Theni.**

**Copy to:**

The Judicial Magistrate, Theni