In the Court of the Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni.

Present: Thiru. P. Ganesan, B.A., B.L., D.L.L.,

Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni,

Dated this the 13th day of May 2024 <u>Crl.M.P.No.13/2024</u>

CNR.No.TNTH00-000013-2024

Aravinthan ... Petitioner/Accused

-Versus-

State through the Inspector of Police,

DCB, Theni in

Cr.No.01/2024 ... Respondent/Complainant

This petition is coming up on this day before me for hearing in the presence of Thiru. V. C. Chinnapandi, Learned Counsel for the petitioner and the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State and this Court passed the following:

ORDER

This petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused U/s.439 of Code of Criminal Procedure seeking bail.

2. The Nitty-Gritty of the prosecution case is as follows:

The petitioner and co accused cheated the complainant having sold away property more than what they have in extent. On questioning the same, they abused and intimidated the complainant with dire consequences. Therefore case registered against them U/s.120(B), 406, 420, 463, 464, 465, 468, 294(b) and 506(ii) of IPC.

- 3. Heard both sides.
- 4. The points for determination is that can the petitioner be released on bail?

Answer:

The FIR and reply given by the Police are perused. The FIR would show that the petitioner and the co accused cheated the complainant by sold away property more than what they have in extent. The amount cheated is at Rs.12 lakhs. Besides that, the petitioner and co accused intimidated and abused the complainant. The prosecution strongly objected that if released on bail, the petitioner would abscond and tamper the prosecution evidence. Further the investigaiton is underway. Therefore having considered the serious nature of crime and to further investigate the crime, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail.

In result, this bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 13th day of May 2024.

Vacation Sessions Judge, Theni.

Copy to:

The Judicial Magistrate, Theni.