

AGRI GOLD DIARY DATED: 22-04-2024

1.	Crl.M.P.No.97/2023 Cr.No.255/2019	<p>Special Public Prosecutor is present. Respondents called absent. This petition is filed for attachment of immovable vehicles and to make attachment as absolute. There is no specific measurements of 31 gold and silver articles, Schedule No.II and III, No photographs of Schedule No.IV of the vehicle and cash is mentioned as Rs.37,00,655/-, whereas different amounts of Rs.1,05,000/- and Rs.40,000/- are also mentioned. There is no document of Panchanama for seized properties. Hence Prosecution is directed to file all relevant material papers of the valuation of the properties, their photographs etc., Accordingly, petition is reopened.</p> <p>Section is directed to put up for filing material papers. Call on 08.05.2024</p>
2.	Crl.M.P.No.188/2019 Crl.MP Sr 36/2023	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Mr.Pammi Venkata Reddy filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale deed dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p> <p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plottings of the land were done.</p> <p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>

3.	Crl.M.P.No.187/2019 Crl.MP Sr 39/2023	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Smt.Bhimineni Satyavathi @ Machikalapudi Satyavathi filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale deed dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p> <p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plottings of the land were done.</p> <p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>
4.	Crl.M.P.No.185/2019 Crl.MP Sr 42/2023	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Sri Lethavadla Srinivasarao filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale deed dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p>

		<p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plottings of the land were done.</p> <p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>
5.	Crl.M.P.No.454/2016 Crl.MP Sr 49/2023	<p>The petitioner and Smt.P.Parvathi filed this application with relief interim attachment effected to the schedule property be released. Respondent No.1 is the competent authority and respondent No.2 M/s Dream Land Ventures Private Limited.</p> <p>The contention of the petitioner is, the schedule property under interim attachment was already purchased by paying advance amount for total consideration of Rs.4,33,342/- and an agreement of sale was entered in the year 2014 as receipts were issued by the company Dream Land Ventures. Since total consideration is already paid and the receipts were issued under agreement of sale, the properties are absolute properties of the petitioner and the Government has no valid to attach the schedule property.</p> <p>Respondent No.2 filed counter stating that the company had sold various plots to its customers on receiving sale considerations. The attachment would effect their right.</p> <p>The competent authority filed counter opposing petition that, the properties belongs to the accused company which were purchased by the petitioner. The interim attachment is already made absolute including the present petitioner. As per the record, the relief is filed whose may challenged to raise the attachment under the GO. Subsequently the interim attachment was made absolute by the orders of this Court vide orders dated 20.02.2015. Petition is relief one. The petitioner at liberty to file fresh application, if he is aggrieve. The petition at</p>

		<p>this stage is not maintainable as interim attachment is the relief to made absolute. Treated as already heard. The petitioner has to bring this facts and sake proper relief. Hence petition is hereby returned to file fresh application, if applicable with all relevant facts.</p>
6.	<p>Crl.M.P.No.190/2019 Crl.MP Sr 50/2023</p>	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Mr.Bhimineni Hari Hara Prasad filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale dee dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p> <p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plottings of the land were done.</p> <p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>
7.	<p>Crl.M.P.No.186/2019 Crl.MP Sr 53/2023</p>	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Sri Munnangi Anji Reddy filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale dee dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused</p>

		<p>company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p> <p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plottings of the land were done.</p> <p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>
8.	<p>Crl.M.P.No.191/2019 Crl.MP Sr 48/2023</p>	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Mr.Thamma Nagireddy filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale deed dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p> <p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plottings of the land were done.</p>

		<p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>
9.	<p>Crl.M.P.No.192/2019 Crl.MP Sr 56/2023</p>	<p>Both parties called absent. No representation. Sri Avutu Srinivasa Reddy filed this application against 21 Respondents, out of which Respondent No.1 is the competent authority seeking relief to release the attachment effected to the schedule property by the orders of this Court. There is an assignee, the said property was sold by Respondent No.3 Agri Gold Constructions Private Limited to the petitioner under valid sale deed dated 12.06.2024. This sale transaction was prior to the registration of crime against the Accused company. The competent authority did not issue notice to the petitioner.</p> <p>The Agri Gold Company and the competent authority filed Counter.</p> <p>The competent authority has elaborately stated that the remedy left to the petitioner is to recover amount from the Accused company.</p> <p>The accused company contention is prior to registering the crime, the property was voluntarily sold to the petitioner as per G.O. The properties transaction prior to registration of crime are independent. However, there is no record from Respondent No.3 or the competent authority that when the company was registered, when the land was purchased by the company and when the plotting's of the land were done.</p> <p>Hence Respondent No.3 is directed to furnish registration certificate from the accused company, memorandum and articles of association, documents relating to purchase of land by company.</p> <p>For filing these documents call on 08.05.2024.</p>
10	<p>Crl.M.P.No.189/2019 Crl.MP Sr 64/2023</p>	<p>Mr.K.Viswanadham Naidu filed this application to release the petition schedule properties under attachment by the orders of this Court. Petitioner filed this application against 21 respondents. Respondent No.1 is the competent authority. The contention of the present petition is, the properties were purchased in bonafideness from its lawful vendors in the year 2015 under registered sale deed dated 10.08.2015. Since he is a valid purchaser, he shall be given exemption.</p>

		<p>The accused company did not file any counter.</p> <p>The competent authority filed counter opposing petition. There is no record to show that M/s.Venkatadri Hostels Private Limited, the properties were purchased by the petitioner whereas respondent is not party to the present petition. Hence petition is hereby returned with a liberty to file fresh application after verifying the cause title and parties properly.</p>
11	Crl.M.P.No.233/2023 C.C.No. 10/2018	<p>Mr.Nayani Ramanaiah filed this application against three respondents. Respondent No.1 is the competent authority. Respondent No.2 Ms.Agri Gold Farm Estates India Private Limited to raise the attachment effected to the schedule property on the ground that the respondent No.2 had purchased the lands at China Kakani village of Guntur District to develop the same and divided into plots and this petitioner purchased plot of 167.22 Square Meters under the agreement of sale cum GPA dated 19.05.2014 by paying valid sale consideration. He is a bonafide purchaser from the said company. However, his properties were attached under GO and subsequently it was made absolute. He purchased the properties prior to registration of the crime. He is absolute owner and other have no claim.</p> <p>The accused company filed counter stating that, prior to registering the FIR, the properties under valid consideration cannot be attached. However, this Court is placed with memorandum, articles of association, certificate of registration issued by the registrar of the companies, when the lands were purchased by the company to proceed further. Hence the accused company is directed to furnish the registration certificate of the company, the memorandum and articles of association of the company and when the lands were purchased by it. Call on 08.05.2024.</p>
12	Crl.M.P.No.236/2023 C.C.No. 10/2018	<p>Smt. Thunuguntla Venkateswara Gupta filed this application against the competent authority and Agri Gold Farm Estates India Private Limited i.e. Accused company seeking the relief to refund the matured amount which was deposited.</p> <p>As per report, the prosecution filed memo to pass orders transferring the deposit amount under the orders of Honourable High Court for the State of Telangana which was transmitted to this Court. This Court has directed the competent authority to file the methodology under which the transmitted amount would be distributed.</p>

		<p>Same is pending. The petitioner is at liberty to take steps before the Competent authority by filing proper applications on passing of orders from this Court to transmit the collected amount. Hence petition is hereby returned with a liberty to file fresh applications before competent authority for refund of amounts, subject to directions if any by this Court.</p>
13	<p>Crl.M.P.No.319/2023 C.C.No. 10/2018</p>	<p>Smt. Mudda Subba Lakshmi filed this application against the competent authority and Agri Gold Farm Estates India Private Limited i.e. Accused company seeking the relief to refund the matured amount which was deposited.</p> <p>As per report, the prosecution filed memo to pass orders transferring the deposit amount under the orders of Honourable High Court for the State of Telangana which was transmitted to this Court. This Court has directed the competent authority to file the methodology under which the transmitted amount would be distributed. Same is pending. The petitioner is at liberty to take steps before the Competent authority by filing proper applications on passing of orders from this Court to transmit the collected amount. Hence petition is hereby returned with a liberty to file fresh applications before competent authority for refund of amounts, subject to directions if any by this Court.</p>
14	<p>Crl.M.P.No.320/2023 C.C.No. 10/2018</p>	<p>Smt. Thunuguntla Rama Devi filed this application against the competent authority and Agri Gold Farm Estates India Private Limited i.e. Accused company seeking the relief to refund the matured amount which was deposited.</p> <p>As per report, the prosecution filed memo to pass orders transferring the deposit amount under the orders of Honourable High Court for the State of Telangana which was transmitted to this Court. This Court has directed the competent authority to file the methodology under which the transmitted amount would be distributed. Same is pending. The petitioner is at liberty to take steps before the Competent authority by filing proper applications on passing of orders from this Court to transmit the collected amount. Hence petition is hereby returned with a liberty to file fresh applications before competent authority for refund of amounts, subject to directions if any by this Court</p>

15	Crl.M.P.No.321/2023 C.C.No. 10/2018	<p>Smt. Pendyala Rama Devi filed this application against the competent authority and Agri Gold Farm Estates India Private Limited i.e. Accused company seeking the relief to refund the matured amount which was deposited.</p> <p>As per report, the prosecution filed memo to pass orders transferring the deposit amount under the orders of Honourable High Court for the State of Telangana which was transmitted to this Court. This Court has directed the competent authority to file the methodology under which the transmitted amount would be distributed. Same is pending. The petitioner is at liberty to take steps before the Competent authority by filing proper applications on passing of orders from this Court to transmit the collected amount. Hence petition is hereby returned with a liberty to file fresh applications before competent authority for refund of amounts, subject to directions if any by this Court.</p>
16	Crl.M.P.No.322/2023 C.C.No. 10/2018	Petitioner called absent. No representation. Special Public Prosecutor is present. R2 remained absent. No representation. For counters, call on 07-05-2024