District Court, Vizianagaram,
Date : **.04.2026.**

Copy of letter of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravathi in ROC.No.**332/SO/2026** dt.**20.04.2026** along with enclosure (i.e. the gist of the judgments), is herewith communicated **to all the Judicial Officers** in the Unit of Vizianagaram District Judiciary, for information and necessary action.

True Copy / By Order

Chief Administrative Officer,
District Court, Vizianagaram.

Sd/ *M. Babitha*

Principal District Judge,
Vizianagaram.

Copy to :-

The System officer, **e-Courts** Project, District Court, Vizianagaram, with a direction to send the copy of the same **to all the Judicial Officers relating to Vizianagaram District Judiciary, through their respective official mails** and to upload the same in District Court website **vizianagaram.dcourts.gov.in** and also place the same in the **group of Chief Ministerial Officers** of Vizianagaram Judicial District.

.Dis.No. 1985 Date : 1 **.04.2026.**

Y.V.S.B.G. PARDHA SARADHI
REGISTRAR GENERAL

AMARAVATI
(Off) :0863 2372613
(Telefax):0863 2372631

EC

ROC No.332/SO/2026 **Dated.20.04.2026**

District Judge's Court
24 APR 2026
Administrative Officer

To
All the Unit Heads of District Judiciary
in Andhra Pradesh.

Sir,

Sub: High Court of Andhra Pradesh – Gist of certain Judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court directed to be circulated to the Hon'ble Judges, Tribunals working under the control of the High Court, the District Judiciary and others – Uploaded on the official website of the High Court of Andhra Pradesh under the tab "Circulated Judgments" – Intimation -- Reg.

Ref: Office note, dt.08.04.2026 placed before the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and orders passed thereon.

*****

As directed, I am to inform that certain Judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court directed to be circulated to the Hon'ble Judges of High Court, Tribunals working under the control of the High Court, the District Judiciary and others, are uploaded on the official website of High Court of Andhra Pradesh under the tab "Circulated Judgments". The gist of the Judgments is herewith forwarded for information and necessary action, if any.

Yours sincerely,

REGISTRAR GENERAL

R NO 2761
24/4/26

Check & Put up

D.J.

1. **Nirbhay Singh Suliya Vs State of Madhya Pradesh & anr. (civil appeal No. 40 of 2026) (@ special leave petition (civil) No. 24570 of 2024)**

Sub: Service Law / Administrative Law – Challenge to State action – Scope of judicial review over administrative decisions and principles of natural justice in grant/denial of relief. - A judicial officer cannot be disciplined for an erroneous or inconsistent judicial orders unless there is clear evidence of mala fide, corruption, or extraneous influence.

2. **Vijay Kumar & ors. Vs The State of Rajatan Special Leave petition (CRIMINAL) Diary No.71965/2025 [Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-08-2025 in SBCRRP No. 38/2003 passed by the High Court of Judicature for Rajasthan at Jaipur]**

Sub – Directions to High Court for expeditious disposal of the petitions wherein intermorders are passed holding up the trial more particularly in sensitive and serious matters like murder, dowry death and rape etc

3. **Dr. Jaya Thakur Vs Government of India & ors. W.P. (c) 1000 of 2022 (decided on 13-2-2026)**

Sub : Directions to the Union of India, the States and Union Territories respectively to ensure providing of (i) free sanitary pads to every female child studying between classes 6 & 12; and (ii) a separate toilet for females in all government aided and residential schools, and other consequential reliefs including the maintenance of toilets and spread of awareness programmes.

4. **Suhas Chakm Vs Union of India and ors. W.P.No.1082 of 2020 (decided on 26-2-2026)**

Sub : Over Crowing of prisoners – Open Correctional Institutions OCI)- establishment of OCIs – Directions to State Governments and union territories to create open and/or semi-open barracks within existing closed prisons, wherever feasible, so as to provide access of reformative correctional facilities to eligible prisoners; submission of status report to monitoring Committee as constituted under Direction F; and direction to High Courts to register a suo motu writ petition, as a continuing mandamus, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with the present judgment within their respective jurisdictions, particularly

with respect to the establishment, functioning and expansion of OCIs. The High Courts, through their respective Registrar General, shall compile and forward consolidated report to this Court once every year, summarising the compliance status of States and Union Territories, progress achieved, best practices identified, and persistent gaps requiring policy or executive intervention. The first such consolidated annual report shall be placed on record before this Court on or before 31st March, 2027.

5. Bhopal Municipal Corporation Vs Dr Subash C. Pandey & ors (CIVIL APPEAL NO. 6174 OF 2023)

Sub: Solid Waste Management Rules - requested all the Chief Justices of the High Courts and the Learned Chairpersons of the Tribunals to ensure compliance with SWM Rules, 2024, by all the courts and tribunals within their jurisdictions with effect from 01.04.2026".

6. Directorate of Revenue Intelligence Vs Ray Kumar Arora & ors. (CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1319 OF 2013

Sub : Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (NDPS Act) – Scope, Interpretation, and Retrospective Application of Judicial Decisions - The interpretation of Section 8 of the NDPS Act, as clarified in Sanjeev V. Deshpande v. State, is to be applied retrospectively. The Court reaffirmed the general rule that judicial decisions operate retrospectively unless expressly limited. The absence of any invocation of the doctrine of prospective overruling in Sanjeev V. Deshpande indicates legislative intent to apply the interpretation to both past and pending cases. Consequently, all pending cases, including those instituted prior to the said decision, shall be governed by this interpretation. Retrospective application of the ruling does not violate Article 20(1) of the Constitution, as it does not create a new offence but merely clarifies the existing legal position. Overruling a prior judgment does not amount to enacting an ex post facto law, particularly where the interpretation is reasonable and foreseeable.

7. Sunny Chowhan Vs State of Haryana Criminal Appeal No. 1613 of 2026

Sub: Instructions to Honble High Courts for speedy disposal of Bail, Anticipatory bail and suspension of sentence petitions - request the Hon'ble Chief Justices to revisit the roster/listing arrangements

8. Kattavellai @ Devakar Vs State of Tamilnadu Criminal Appeal 1672 of 2019

Sub: Guidelines for collection, preservation, transportation, and maintenance of register of Chain of Custody of DNA Evidence in Criminal Investigations"

9. BANK OF INDIA Vs SRI NANGLI RICE MILLS PVT. LTD. & Ors CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7110 OF 2025 (Arising out of Special Leave Petition (Civil) No. 16735 of 2022)

Sub: "Summary of legal principles governing Sec. 11 of the SARFAESI Act and its applicability to Dispute Resolution and Arbitration"

10. NARAYAN YADAV Vs STATE OF CHHATTISGARH Judgment dated 05.08.2025 in Criminal Appeal No. 3343 of 2025 arising out of SLP (CRL) No. 10595 of 2025,

Sub: Legal analysis of Sec.304 IPC, applicability of exceptions to Section 300 IPC, and grounds for acquittal.

11. ESTATE OFFICER, HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS. Vs NIRMALA DEVI Judgment dated 14.07.2025 in Civil Appeal No.7707 of 2025 (arising out of SLP (Civil) No.15148 of 2017)

Sub: Guidelines on "Land Acquisition, compensation, and limits of Rehabilitation Schemes by the State"

12. Kallu Nat Alias Mayank Kumar Nagar Vs State of U.P. and another (Special Leave Petition (CRL) NO. 10010 of 2025

Sub: "Principles governing cognizance of offences, committal of cases, and power to summon additional accused under the Code of Criminal Procedure"

13. **Gajanan Dattatray Goel Vs The State of Maharastra and anr** Criminal Appeal No.3219 of 2025 (@ petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Criminal) No. 10749 of 2025)

Sub: Directions prohibiting grant of Bail based on monetary undertakings and emphasizing merit-based consideration.

14. **Operation Asha Vs Shelly Batra & ors. (Judgment dated 05.08.2025 in Civil Appeal No.10048 of 2025 (arising out of SLP (Civil) No. 29830 of 2024)**

Sub : Comprehensive principles on maintainability of suits under Sec. 92 CPC, Public and Constructive trusts, and scope of judicial intervention"

15. **M/S Armour Security (India) Ltd Vs Commissioner, CGST, Delhi East Commissionarate & anr. Special Leave Petition (Civil) No.6092 of 2025**

Sub: Guidelines to prevent overlapping Tax investigations and ensure coordination between Central and State Authorities under the GST Regime"

16. **PHIRERAM Vs STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR Order dt.02.09.2025 of Supreme Court of India in Criminal Appeal No. 3830 of 2025 (arising out of SLP (Criminal) No. 9082 of 2025).**

Sub : Principles governing Cancellation of Bail

17. State of Karnataka Vs Sri Darsan etc (Judgment dt.14.08.2025 of Supreme Court of India in Criminal Appeal Nos. 3528-3534 of 2025 (arising from SLP (Criminal) Nos. 516-522 of 2025)

Sub: No one is above the law: principles governing bail, equality before law, and accountability of Influential accused -influence of accused, and protection of fair trial.

18. SANJABIJ TARI Vs KISHORE S. BORCAR & ANR. Judgment dated 25.09.2025 in Criminal Appeal No.1755 of 2010,

Sub: Guidelines for compounding of Cheque bounce cases

(

19. JAI BALAJI INDUSTRIES LTD. AND ORS. Vs M/S HEG LTD. TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) NO. 1100 OF 2025(ARISING OUT OF TRANSFER PETITION (CRL.) D. NO. 24506 OF 2025)

Sub: Jurisdiction and transfer of Cheque dishonour cases: continuation of Proceedings post evidence stage under Section 138 NI Act - principles governing territorial jurisdiction and transfer of proceedings under ections 138, 142(2) & 142A of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 - transfer of pending complaint cases after commencement of evidence: Clarification of Law on Jurisdiction - "Jurisdiction of Payee's Bank and Continuity of trial in Section 138 proceedings: Supreme Court guidelines - Doctrine of Continuity in trial proceedings despite jurisdictional defect in Cheque bounce cases - once evidence begins, trial must continue: Supreme court on Jurisdiction and transfer in Section 138 NI Act Case

20. **Danesh Singh & ors. Vs Har Pyari (Dead) THR. Lrs. & ORS.**
Civil Appeal No. 14761 of 2025

Sub: Doctrine of Lis- pendens, execution of decrees, and remedies of parties under Rules 58–104, Order XXI CPC and Section 47 CPC

21. **Harshbir Singh Pannu and anr Vs Jaswinder Singh**
Civil Appeal No. 14630 of 2025

Sub: Termination and recall of Arbitral proceedings, payment of fees, and appointment of Substitute Arbitrator under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 - remedies for non-deposit of Arbitral fees and resumption of Arbitration through Substitute Arbitrator.