e-Courts Dis 4849
11.12.25

District Court, Vizianagaram,
Date: **.12.2025**.

Copy of **letter** of Hon'ble High Court of A.P. in ROC No.99/SO/2023 dt.04.12.2025 along with copy of **Order** dated 08.10.2025 passed in Miscellaneous Application No. 2034 of 2022 in Miscellaneous Application No. 1849 of 2021 in Special Leave Petition (Criminal) No. 5191 of 2021 (**Satender Kumar Antil V. Central Bureau of Investigation and another**) by the Honourable Supreme Court of India, communicated to all the Judicial Officers in the Vizianagaram Judicial District, for information and compliance of the directions issued thereon.

Sd/ *M. Babitha*

Principal District Judge,
Vizianagaram.

True Copy / By Order

RVJ Kamalakar
Chief Administrative Officer,
District Court, Vizianagaram.

Copy to :-

The System officer, **e-Courts** Project, District Court, Vizianagaram, with a direction to send the copy/copies of the same **to all the Judicial Officers relating to Vizianagaram District Judiciary, through their respective official mails** and to upload the same in District Court website **vizianagaram.dcourts.gov.in** and also place the same in the **group of Chief Ministerial Officers** of Vizianagaram Judicial District.

.Dis.No. 4849 Date : 11 .12.2025.

TO communicate (urgent)

S. KAMALAKARA REDDY
REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AMARAVATI
(Off) :0863 2372615
(Telefax) :0863 2372624

ROC No.99/SO/2023 **Dated: 4.12.2025**

EC

District Judge's Court, Vizianagaram
08 DEC 2025
Administrative Officer

To

1. The Member Secretary,
   Andhra Pradesh State Legal Services Authority,
   H.No.2-273/54-A, B.S.R. Commercial Complex,
   Opp: Traffic Police Station, Malkapuram village,
   Tulluru Mandal, near A.P. Secretariat, Amaravati.

2. All the Principal District Judges
   in Andhra Pradesh.

Sir/Madam,

Sub: High Court of Andhra Pradesh – Order dated 08.10.2025 passed in Miscellaneous Application No. 2034 of 2022 in Miscellaneous Application No. 1849 of 2021 in Special Leave Petition (Criminal) No. 5191 of 2021 (***Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation and another***) by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India – Forwarded – Reg.

Ref: 1. Order dated 08.10.2025 passed in Miscellaneous Application No. 2034 of 2022 in Miscellaneous Application No. 1849 of 2021 in Special Leave Petition (Criminal) No. 5191 of 2021.

2. Minutes of the Committee meeting of Hon'ble Judges held on 18.11.2025.

*****

Adverting to the subject and references cited, I am to inform that the Committee meeting of Hon'ble Judges for "Ensuring the implementations of the decisions of the Apex Court" held on 18.11.2025 having perused the statements sent by all the Unit Heads regarding implementation of Section 41-A Cr.P.C./Section 35 of B.N.S.S., has been pleased to resolve to direct the concerned District Judges to direct the trial Courts to take immediate steps for the release of UTPs through the DLSA/District Empowered Committee, as per the SOP/Guidelines laid down in *Satender Kumar Antil's case.* The Hon'ble Committee also resolved to forward a copy of order dated 08.10.2025 passed in

R NO 7686
8/12/25

Check & Put up

D.J.

Miscellaneous Application No. 2034/2022 in M.A.No.1849 of 2021 in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191 of 2021 to all the Principal District Judges/Chairpersons of DLSAs and the Member Secretary, A.P.S.L.S.A., for compliance with the directions issued therein.

As directed, all the Unit Heads except the District Judges at Kadapa, Kurnool and Vizianagaram (who in their statements for the month of September, 2025 reported that there were no remands for the offences punishable with imprisonment below seven years in their respective units), are hereby instructed to direct the trial Courts to take immediate steps for the release of UTPs through the respective DLSAs/District Empowered Committees as per the SOP/Guidelines laid down in *Satender Kumar Antil's* case.

As directed, I am to forward herewith copy of order dated 08.10.2025 passed in Miscellaneous Application No. 2034/2022 in M.A.No.1849 of 2021 in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191 of 2021, for compliance of the directions issued thereon.

Yours sincerely,

REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

4/11/25.

Encl: As stated

ITEM NO.5 COURT NO.6 SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Miscellaneous Application No.2034/2022 in MA 1849/2021 in SLP(Crl) No.5191/2021

SATENDER KUMAR ANTIL Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ANR. Respondent(s)

[TO BE TAKEN UP AT THE 2 P.M.]
Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv./AC, Mr. D.L Chidananda (AC) Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Advocate for high court of karnataka; Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Advocate for high court of jharkhand; Mr. P.I. Jose, Advocate for gauhati high court; Mr. Arjun Garg, Advocate for high court of madhya pradesh; Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for high court of delhi; Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, Advocate for high court of meghalaya; Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, Advocate for high court of Orissa,Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, Advocate for State of Arunachal Pradesh, Mr.Somanadri Gaud Katam, Advocate for High Court of Telengana; Mr. Aaditya A. Pande, Advocate for the State of Maharashtra; Mr. Ankur Prakash, Advocate for the State of Uttarakhand; M/s Arputham Aruna, Mr. Debojit Borkakati, Advocate for the State of Assam, Mr. S.N.Terdol, Advocate for the State of Ladakh,Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, Advocate for the State of Meghalaya, Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate for the State of Bihar,Mr. Mahfooz A.Nazki, Advocate for the State of Andhra Pradesh, Mr. Maibam N.Singh, Advocate for the High Court of Manipur, Mr. Prashant S.Kenjale, Advocate for the High Court of Bombay, Mr. Ajay Pal, Advocate for the State of Punjab, Mr. Gagan Gupta, Advocate for High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Advocate for the State of Kerala,Mr. SuvenduSuvasis Dash, Advocate for the State of Orissa, Mr. Pradeep Mishra, Advocate for the State of Uttar Pradesh, Mrs. Swati Ghildiyal,Advocate for the State of Gujarat, Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar,Advocate for the Government of Manipur, Mr. Abhay Anil Anturkar, Advocate for the State of Goa, Mr. Anupam Raina, Advocate for the High Court of Jammu,Mr. Sunny Choudhary, Advocate for State of Madhya Pradesh, Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, Advocate for High Court of Himachal Paradesh, Ms. K.Enatoli Sem, Advocate for State of Nagalanad,Mr. R.Ayyam Perumal, Advocate for State of Madras, Ms. Pallavi Langar, Advocate Govt. of Himachal Pradesh, Mr. Sameer Abhayankar, Advocate for Stae of Sikkim, Mr. Arvindh S.Advocate of U.T. Pudducherry, Ms. D.Bharthi Reddy, Advocate for High Court of Uttrakhand,Mr. Tapesh Kumar Singh, Advocate for High Court of Jharkhand, Mr. Gaurav Agarwal, Advocate for High Court of Patna, Mr. Aproo Kurup, Advocate for High Court of Chhatisgarh, Mr. Nikhil Goel, Advocate for High Court of Gujarat, Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for High Court of Tripura,, Mr. Sandeep Kumar Jha, Advocate for State of Rajasthan,, Mr. Shailesh

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by ASHA KUNDRA
Date: 2022.10.18 13:01:28 IST
Reason:

Mandiyal, Advocate for U.T. J and K, Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, Advocate for state of Goa, Dr. Monika Gusain, Advocate for State of Haryana,Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, Advocate for State of Tripura, Ms. Manish Ambwani, Advocate for High Court of Rajasthan, Mr. Kunal Chatterjee, Advocate for High Court of Calcutta, Mr. Rahul Gupta, Advocate for High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Mr. Aproov Shukla, Advocate for High Court of Allahabad, Mr. Nirnimesh Dubey, Advocate for state of Mizoram, Mr. Joseph Aristotle S. Advocate for State of Tamil Nadu, Mr. Vishal Prasad, Advocate for State of Chhattisgarh,Ms. Astha Sharma, Advocate for State of West Bengal, Mr. Raghuvendra Srivastava, Advocate for High Court of Karnataka,Mr. Rajiv Kumar Choudhary, Advocate for State of Telengana,Mr. S.N.Terdol,Advocate forState of Daman & Diu.Mr. T.G.N.Nair, Advocate for High Court of Kerala,Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, Advocate for State of Kerala, Mr. Arvind S.Advocate for state of Pudducherry, Mr. Mudit Gupta, Advocate state of Himachal Pradesh, Ms. Saroj Tripathi,Advocate,Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay Siddhanta, Advocate for High Court of Sikkim, Mr. Shibashish Misra, Advocate for High Court of Orissa, Mr. Kumar Mihir, Advocate for the High Court of Manipur.

WITH
MA 2035/2022 in SLP(Crl) No. 5191/2021 (II)

Date : 08-10-2025 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH CHANDRA SHARMA

By Courts Motion, AOR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv./AC
Mr. Karl P Rustomkhan, Adv.
Mr. Mohd. Osama, Adv.
Mr. Rajneesh Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Suhail Ahmed, Adv.
Ms. Komal Thakkar, Adv.
Mr. D. L. Chidananda, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mrs. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Mr. Mukesh Kumar Maroria, AOR
Ms. Priyanka Das, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Akhil, Adv.
Mr. Padmesh Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Shrdha Deshmukh, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR
Mr. Malak Manish Bhatt, AOR

Mr. Amit Gupta, AOR

Ms. Muskan Nagpal, Adv.
Mr. Kshitij Vaibhav, Adv.

Ms. Swati Ghildiyal, AOR
Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, Adv.
Ms. Neha Singh, Adv.
Mr. Rishi Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Singh Rawat, AOR
Ms. Saakshi Singh Rawat, Adv.
Mr. Sunny Sachin Rawat, Adv.

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Ms. Karishma Malani, A.A.G.
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, AOR
Mr. Nikunj Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Drishti Rawal, Adv.
Ms. Aakanksha, Adv.
Ms. Ishika Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Sarthak Arya, Adv.
Ms. Richa Verma, Adv.
Ms. Seema Sindhu, Adv.
Ms. Kirti, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Mayur Goyal, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Chand, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR
Ms. Divya Jain, Adv.

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Mr. Shaurya Sahay, AOR
Mr. Aman Jaiswal, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Singh, AOR

Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR
Mr. James P. Thomas, Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR

Mr. Karan Sharma, AOR
Mr. Mohit Siwach, Adv.
Mr. Chetan Manchanda, Adv.

Mr. Guntur Pramod Kumar, AOR
Ms. Prerna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Yadav, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR
Mr. Suvendu Suvasis Dash, AOR

Mr. Pai Amit, AOR
Ms. Pankhuri Bhardwaj, Adv.
Mr. Abhiyudaya Vats, Adv.
Ms. Merin Francis, Adv.

Ms. Vishakha, AOR

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.
Ms. Shweta J. Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR
Mrs. Anu K Joy, Adv.
Mr. Alim Anvar, Adv.
Mr. Santhosh K, Adv.
Mrs. Devika A.l., Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., AOR

Ms. Aishwarya Bhati, A.S.G.
Mr. Vatsal Joshi, Adv.
Ms. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.
Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv.
Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Krishna Kant Dubey, Adv.
Mr. Piyush Beriwal, Adv.
Ms. Indira Bhakar, Adv.
Mr. Harish Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Rajesh Singh Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR
Mr. Kunal Rana, Adv.

Mr. Abhay Anil Anturkar, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Tank, Adv.
Mr. Aniruddha Awalgaonkar, Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Kapoor, AOR
Mr. Sarthak Mehrotra, Adv.
Mr. Bhagwant Deshpande, Adv.
Ms. Subhi Pastor, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Pal, AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Dhananjay Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.

Ms. Eliza Barr, Adv.
Ms. Disha Singh, AOR

Mr. Mahfooz Ahsan Nazki, AOR
Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR

Mr. Ahantham Henry, Adv.
Mr. Ahantham Rohen Singh, Adv.
Mr. Mohan Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aniket Rajput, Adv.
Ms. Khoisnam Nirmala Devi, Adv.
Mr. Yeshu Mehta, Adv.
Mr. Tanay Hegde, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Mihir, AOR

Mr. Prafulla Kumar Bharat, Advocate General
Ms. Prerna Dhall, Adv.
Mr. Ambuj Swaroop, Adv.
Mr. Kapil Katare, Adv.
Ms. Rajnandani Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Singh, AOR
Ms. Minakshi Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Kumar Anand, Adv.
Mr. M.a. Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, A.A.G.
Mr. Amogh Bansal, Adv.
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR

Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR
Ms. Samyuktha H Nair, Adv.
Mr. Ajaya Kumar K.r., Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR

Ms. Rashmi Nandakumar, AOR
Ms. Yashmita Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Kumar Choudhry , AOR

Mr. Kunal Mimani, AOR
Mr. Prashant Alai, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sharma, AOR
Mr. Dipesh Sinha, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi Barua, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Anand, Adv.

Mr. Maibam Nabaghanashyam Singh, AOR

Mr. Parth Awasthi, Adv.
Ms. Maitreyee Jagat Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Astik Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Akansha Tomar, Adv.
Mr. Pashupathi Nath Razdan, AOR

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, AOR
Ms. Jaya Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Shishir Kumar Saxena, Adv.
Mr. R.n. Pareek, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Parihar, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, AOR

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. T.k. Nayak, Adv.
Mr. Marbiang Khongwir, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Abhyankar, AOR
Mr. Rahul Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Aakash Thakur, Adv.

Mr. Vishal Prasad, AOR

Ms. Asmita Singh, AOR
Ms. Asmita Singh, Adv.
Ms. Ankita Makan, Adv.

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury, AOR

Mr. Debojit Borkakati, AOR

Ms. Pallavi Langar, AOR
Ms. Pragya Bhagel, Adv.
Mr. Sujeet Kumar Chaubey, Adv.

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, AOR
Mr. Somesh Chandra Jha, AOR
M/S. Arputham Aruna And Co, AOR

Mr. R. Ayyam Perumal, AOR
Mr. Umesh Kumar Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. A. Sai Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Yashvardhan, Adv.
Mr. Apoorv Shukla, AOR
Ms. Prabhleen A. Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Aman Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Akash Panwar, Adv.
Mr. Mudit Gupta, AOR

Mr. Ashish Batra, AOR
Mr. Ankur Prakash, AOR

Ms. K. Enatoli Sema, AOR
Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv.
Ms. Chubalemla Chang, Adv.
Mr. Prang Newmai, Adv.
Ms. Yanmi Phazang, Adv.

Mrs. Madhuri Negi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR

Mr. Sarthak Raizada Ga, Adv.
Mr. Sarad Kumar Singhania, AOR
Ms. Rashmi Singhania, Adv.

Ms. Rooh-e-hina Dua, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Anjuman Tripathy, AOR
Mr. Aditya Jain, AOR

Mr. Somanadri Goud Katam, AOR
Mr. Sirajuddin, Adv.

Mr. Sunny Choudhary, AOR
Mr. Shuvodeep Roy, AOR

Ms. Enakshi Mukhopadhyay Siddhanta, AOR
Mr. Rao Raj Bahadur Singh, Adv.
Mr. R. Murugaiyan, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR
Mr. Abhimanyu Tewari, AOR

Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar, AOR
Mr. Karun Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Anupama Ngangom, Adv.
Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Adv.

Mr. Aravindh S., AOR
Mr. Aman Gautam, Adv.
Ms. Anika Bansal, Adv.

Mr. Anando Mukherjee, AOR
Mr. Shwetank Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

We have heard the learned Amicus and the learned Additional Solicitor General. It is agreed that the SOP with respect to the formation of the Empowered Committee and the SOP with respect to Under Trial Prisoners as extracted by this Court in order dated 13.02.2024 may be modified:

"Point (iii) in the "Guidelines and Standard Operating Procedure for implementation of the Scheme for support to poor prisoners" may be modified as under:

iii) An 'Empowered Committee' may be constituted in each District of the State/UT, comprising of i) District Collector (DC)/District Magistrate (DM)'s *nominee*, ii) Secretary, District Legal Services Authority, iii) Superintendent of Police, iv) Superintendent/Dy. Superintendent of the concerned Prison and v) Judge Incharge of the

concerned Prison, as nominee of the District Judge. *Secretary, DLSA will be the Convener/Coordinating Incharge of the meetings of the EC*."

Similarly, the Standard Operating Procedure for Under Trial Prisoners may be modified as under:

"1) If the UTP is not released from jail within a period of 7 days of order of grant of bail, then the jail authority should inform the Secretary, DLSA

2) Upon receiving information, the Secretary, DLSA must immediately arrange for an interaction with the UTP. The Secretary, DLSA will authorise a JVL/PLV to interact with the UTP and to verify if the UTP has funds in his Prisoner's Savings Account. If not, based on information obtained from the UTP in the format prescribed (to be given by NALSA) the form will be forwarded to the Secretary, DLSA within a period of 5 days, pending integration in the ICJS.

3) The District Level Empowered Committee will direct release of funds for surety on the recommendation of DLSA within a period of 5 days from the date of receipt of the report, pending integration in the ICJS.

4) The District Level Empowered Committee shall

meet on every 1st and 3rd Monday, (and in the event if there is holiday on such days, then on the next working days) of each month to consider the cases recommended by DLSA.

5) For cases where the Empowered Committee recommends that the identified UTP be extended the benefit of financial support under 'Support to poor prisoners Scheme', then the requisite amount of upto Rs.50,000/- per case for one prisoner, can be directed to be drawn and made available to the Hon'ble Court by way of Fixed Deposit or any other prescribed method, which the District Committee feels appropriate within 5 days of its decision pending integration in the ICJS. This decision would simultaneously be informed to DLSA and Jail Authorities by email, pending integration in the ICJS.

In the event, the fund is not forthwith deposited within 5 days in Court, and the UTP not released, the Jail authorities should on the 6th day, inform the DLSA. If the prisoner is acquitted/convicted, then appropriate orders may be passed by the trial Court so that the money comes back to the Government's account as this is only for the purposes of securing bail unless the accused is entitled to the benefit of bail under Section 389(3) Cr.P.C. [S.430(3)

BNSS] in which event the amount can be utilised for bail by the Trial Court to enable the accused to approach the Appellate Court and also if the Appellate Court grants bail under Section 389(1) of Cr.P.C.[S.430(1) BNSS];

6) If the bail surety amount is higher than Rs.50,000/- the Empowered Committee may exercise its discretion to pay such higher amount not exceeding Rs.1 lakh. If the Empowered Committee declines to exercise discretion, it would inform the Secretary, DLSA forthwith of its decision by email (and not later than 2 days), pending integration in the ICJS, to enable the Secretary, DLSA to instruct a Legal Aid Advocate to move the Court granting bail or any superior Court to have the surety amount reduced.

Once the Secretary, DLSA instructs a Legal Aid Advocate to seek reduction of surety amount, this application/Petition would be filed by the Legal Aid Advocate within 7 days of the case being assigned to the Legal Aid Advocate."

Accordingly, we direct the Union of India to carry out the necessary modifications and issue a modified SOP in the aforesaid terms.

We have further been informed by the learned

Amicus that the earlier direction issued by this Court vide order dated 21.01.2025 has not been given effect to by the State of West Bengal, while all the other States have complied with the same.

Learned counsel appearing for the State of West Bengal seeks a week's time for compliance.

We may note that notwithstanding the time granted for compliance on different occasions, no compliance has been reported even today.

As a last and final opportunity, we are inclined to grant a further time of two weeks to the State of West Bengal to comply with the direction, failing which appropriate orders will be passed, including a direction to the Chief Secretary of the State to appear before this Court and imposition of adequate costs.

List the matters on 26.11.2025 at 2 p.m.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR