OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE:: KURNOOL

Dis.No. 6221/Estt/2026 Dated 13-10-2026.

Letter of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravathi ROC No.1090/SO/2026, dated 06-10-2026 along with copy of E-mail of the Sexcretary, A.P. Information Commission along with Common order dated. 17-09-2026 pased by the A.P. Information Commission in S.A. No. 1639 of 2023 & 101 batch cases for information and necessary action.

All the Judicial Officers working in Kurnool District are requested to download the letter of the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravathi ROC No.1090/SO/2026, dated 06-10-2026 along with copy of E-mail of the Secretary, A.P. Information Commission along with Common order dated. 17-09-2026 pased by the A.P. Information Commission in S.A.No.1639 of 2023 & 101 batch cases, from District Court website i.e., https://kurnool.dcourts.gov.in.

All the Judicial Officers are requested to submit the compliance report after downloading the above said copy to this Court.

13/10/2026

VI Additional District Judge,
FAC.Principal District Judge,
KURNOOL.

To,

All the Judicial Officers in Kurnool District.

Copy to
All the Assistant Public Information Officers, Kurnool District.

The System Officer, District Court, Kurnool, with a direction to upload the same in the District Court's Official website.

GUTTALA GOPI
REGISTRAR GENERAL

AMARAVATI
(Off):0863 2372613
(Telefax) : 0863 2372631

ROC No.1090/SO/2026 **Dated:06.10.2026**

To

All the State Assistant Public Information Officers,
Principal District Courts in Andhra Pradesh.

Sir/Madam,

Sub: High Court of Andhra Pradesh – Common order dt:17.09.2026 passed by the A.P. Information Commission in S.A.No. 1639 of 2023 & 101 batch cases -- Forwarding for taking necessary action - Reg.

Ref: E-mail dt:23.09.2026 of the Secretary, A.P. Information Commission.

*****

Adverting to the subject and reference cited, I am herewith forwarding the E-mail of the Secretary, A.P. Information Commission along with Common order dt:17.09.2026 passed by the A.P. Information Commission in S.A.No. 1639 of 2023 & 101 batch cases, for information and necessary action.

Yours sincerely,

REGISTRAR GENERAL

Enclosure: As stated.

3496

reggentaphc < reggentaphc@gov.in >

AP Infromation Commission - Communication of Hon'ble Chief Infromation Commission Order - Reg.

1090

CH Padma Devi < secy-admn-apic@ap.gov.in >

Wed, 23 Sep 2026 5:15:32 PM +0530

Pages are remained

To "handlooms_textiles"<handlooms_textiles@yahoo.com>,"administration"<administration@amtz.in>,"admininistrator_apidc"
<admininistrator_apidc@ap.gov.in>,"md-apacl"<md-apacl@yahoo.com>,"peshiapmdcmd"<peshi.apmdcmd@gmail.com>,"finance"
<finance@apsfc.com>,"vidyasagarmiriyala"<vidyasagarmiriyala@outlook.com>,"aptpc1970"
<aptpc1970@gmail.com>,"marketingaphdcltd"<marketing.aphdcltd@yahoo.com>,"mothilalnaikv"
<mothilalnaik.v@ap.gov.in>,"gmhydapdc"<gm.hyd.apdc@gmail.com>,"apmidcl"<apmidcl@gmail.com>,"ceoapmb"
<ceo.apmb@gmail.com>,"mdapadcl"<mdapadcl@gmail.com>,"kpilplp"<kpilp.lp@gmail.com>,"mdrpdcl"
<mdrpdcl@apmaritime.in>,"acctsapeita"<acctsapeita@gmail.com>,"ceo_sapnet"<ceo_sapnet@ap.gov.in>,"vgokavarapu"
<vgokavarapu@epragathi.in>,"jd-admm-apis"<jd-admm-apis@ap.gov.in>,"Managing Director APTS"
<md_apts@ap.gov.in>,"Service Apts Service Apts"<service-apts@ap.gov.in>,"aplabourwelfareboard"
<aplabourwelfareboard@gmail.com>,"gmomcapvja"<gmomcapvja@gmail.com>,"commissionermct"
<commissioner.mct@gmail.com>,"ccdmcamaravati"<ccdmc.amaravati@gmail.com>,"md"<md@assccl.org>,"commissioner"
<commissioner@apcrda.org>,"elurumc"<elurumc@gmail.com>,"cfosmartcity"<cfosmartcity@gmail.com>,"apusp24"
<apusp24@rediff.mail.com>,"swachhandhra"<swachhandhra@gmail.com>,"aptidco"<aptidco@gmail.com>,"aptidcocao"
<aptidcocao@gmail.com>,"apufidc"<apufidc@yahoo.com>,"apscmfc"<apscmfc@yahoo.co.in>,"accounts"
<accounts@apsmfc.com>,"daladhipati"<daladhipati@gmail.com>,"apsbclco2122"<apsbclco2122@gmail.com>,"Mdapewidchyd
Mdapewidchyd"<md_apewidc_hyd@ap.gov.in>,"gmlidcap2016"<gmlidcap2016@gmail.com>,"mdapsccfc"
<mdapsccfc@gmail.com>,"cgmfinance"<cgmfinance@apssdc.in>,"incapap"<incapap@incap.co.in>,"vcnmd"
<vcnmd@apsrtc.ap.gov.in>,"gmfinancegcc"<gmfinancegcc@gmail.com>,"apgirijan1956"<apgirijan1956@gmail.com>,"mdapvcc"
<mdapvcc@gmail.com>,"apwcfcmd"<apwcfc.md@gmail.com>,"apwaterresources"
<apwaterresources@gmail.com>,"commissioner_gvmc"<commissioner_gvmc@yahoo.co.in>,"ourvmc"
<ourvmc@yahoo.com>,"enc_publichealth"<enc_publichealth@ap.gov.in>,"encphed"
<encphed@yahoo.co.in>,"mwcommissionerdirectorapgov"<mwcommissionerdirector.apgov@gmail.com>,"apsscw"
<apsscw@gmail.com>,"dmegoap"<dmegoap@gmail.com>,"peshichfw"<peshichfw@gmail.com>,"diripmap2"
<diripmap2@gmail.com>,"ayushdept"<ayushdept@yahoo.co.in>,"dgapdca"<dgapdca@gmail.com>,"dirdca_ap"
<dirdca_ap@nic.in>,"commapvvp"<commapvvp@yahoo.co.in>,"director"
<director@appa.appolice.gov.in>,"commissionerofprintingstationery"<commissionerofprintingstationery@yahoo.com>,"ap_firechief"
<ap_firechief@yahoo.com>,"DIRECTOR SAINIK WELFARE"<sainikwelfare-ap@nic.in>,"apsainik"<apsainik@gmail.com>,"adg"
<adg@apint.gov.in>,"dgp"<dgp@appolice.gov.in>,"registry-dgprison"<registry-dgprison@ap.gov.in>,"directorapfsl"
<directorapfsl@yahoo.co.in>,"apshclmdpeshi"<apshclmdpeshi@gmail.com>,"DIRECTOR INDUSTRIES"
<commr_inds@ap.gov.in>,"DIRECTOR INDUSTRIES"<director.industry@ap.gov.in>,"brambedkar"<br.ambedkar@nic.in>,"G.
Rekha Rani, IAS"<tappal-hat@ap.gov.in>,"directormines"<directormines@yahoo.co.in>,"Commissioner Civil Supplies"
<commr_cs@ap.gov.in>,"apccspeshi"<apccspeshi@gmail.com>,"Director Civil Supplies"<dir_cs@ap.gov.in>,"dcspeshiap"
<dcspeshiap@gmail.com>,"Controller Legal Metrology"<clm-ap@nic.in>,"apscdrcap"
<apscdrc.ap@nic.in>,"apstatefoodcommisison"<apstatefoodcommisison@gmail.com>,"directordta"
<director.dta@gmail.com>,"directorstateaudit"<directorstateaudit@gmail.com>,"agaeandhrapradesh"
<agaeandhrapradesh@cag.gov.in>,"G. Anantha Ramu, IAS"<secy-governor@ap.gov.in>,"secyappsc"
<secy.appsc@gmail.com>,"secretarypeshiappsc"<secretarypeshiappsc@gmail.com>,"commr_pr"
<commr_pr@ap.gov.in>,"Engineer Chief RWSS RWSS, AP"<eicrwss@ap.gov.in>,"ce_rws1"<ce_rws1@ap.gov.in>,"Chief Engineer
II Chief Engineer II"<ce_rws2@ap.gov.in>,"Pdswsmpr Pdswsmpr"<pd_swsm_pr@ap.gov.in>,"G JayaLakshmi IAS"
<ccla_rev@ap.gov.in>,"cclarev"<cclarev@gmail.com>,"secretaryccla"<secretary.ccla@gmail.com>,"ap_cct"
<ap_cct@apct.gov.in>,"apcommissionerpe"<apcommissioner.pe@gmail.com>,"sslr_headoffice"
<sslr_headoffice@yahoo.co.in>,"csslrap"<csslr.ap@gmail.co.in>,"COMMISSIONER ENDOWMENTS DEPARTMENT"<endow-
commr@gov.in>,"cig"<cig@igrs.ap.gov.in>,"Prakhar Jain, IAS"<commr_relief_rev@ap.gov.in>,"commissionerpe"
<commissioner.pe@gmail.com>,"directorenf"<director.enf@gmail.com>,"Social Department"
<commr_sw@ap.gov.in>,"commissioner_sugar"<commissioner_sugar@yahoo.co.in>,"Abhishek Kumar, IAS., "
<dir_ports_kkd@ap.gov.in>,"commroflabourap"<commroflabour.ap@gmail.com>,"dfhyd_ap"<dfhyd_ap@gov.in>,"BYSANI
UMAMAHESWARA RAO"<dir_boilers@ap.gov.in>,"dimsgovtap"<dimsgovtap@yahoo.co.in>,"industrialtribunalihyderabad"
<industrialtribunalihyderabad@gmail.com>,"dop"<dop@co.appolice.gov.in>,"cdma_ap"<cdma_ap@cdma.ap.gov.in>,"dtcpap"
<dtcp.ap@gmail.com>,"AP DTCP"<director.tcp@ap.gov.in>,"vcvuda"<vcvuda@yahoo.com>,"communications"
<communications@apcrda.org>,"vc"<vc@tuda.gov.in>,"commrmc"<comm.rmc@gmail.com>,"Commissioner GVMC"
<commissioner.gvmc@gov.in>,"Atul Singh, IPS Atul Singh, IPS"<dg_acb@ap.gov.in>,"commissioneriprap"
<commissioner.ipr.ap@gmail.com>,"Director Tribal Welfare"<dir_tw@ap.gov.in>,"apctwd"<apctwd@gmail.com>,"secy_gklm"
<secy_gklm@rediffmail.com>,"apgurukulamho"<apgurukulamho@gmail.com>,"vchveerabhadrudu"
<vchveerabhadrudu@yahoo.com>,"directorterti"<directorterti@gmail.com>,"commr_te"<commr_te@ap.gov.in>,"det_trg_ap"
<det_trg_ap@rediffmail.com>,"tc"<tc@aptransport.org>,"apwdcw"<apwdcw@gmail.com>,"M Kavitha Bai"<tappal-
wdc@ap.gov.in>,"DIRECTOR JWCS AP"<tappal-jwc@ap.gov.in>,"directorjwcsap"<directorjwcsap@gmail.com>,"cotaptourism"
<cotaptourism@gmail.com>,"sportsinap"<sportsinap@gmail.com>,"apsportsschool"
<apsportsschool@gmail.com>,"apdirectorculture"<apdirectorculture@gmail.com>,"nccteap01"
<nccteap01@gmail.com>,"nccdteap02"<nccdteap02@gmail.com>,"diraparch"<diraparch@gmail.com>,"dysapys"
<dysapys@gmail.com>,"directoraparchives"<directorap.archives@gmail.com>,"apgoml_director"
<apgoml_director@rediffmail.com>,"info"<info@teluguacademy.net>,"vcnmd"<vcnmd@apsrtc.gov.in>,"cedmap2017"
<cedmap2017@gmail.com>,"B Gopal"<dir_econ@ap.gov.in>,"Sri B. Balu Naik"<eic_pr@ap.gov.in>,"krishnachandramouli90"
<krishna.chandramouli90@gmail.com>,"AP State Election Commission"<sec-ap@nic.in>,"secyapsec"
<secy.apsec@gmail.com>,"Commissioner Panchayat Raj"<cpr.ap@nic.in>,"dgp"<dgp@apint.gov.in>,"rescm-ap"<rescm-
ap@nic.in>,"reggenaphc"<reggenaphc@nic.in>

Respected Sir/ Madam,

With reference to the subject cited, the Commission while disposing the Second Appeal No. 1639 of 2023 and 101 batch cases filed by Sri B. Gopal, has, under the Note appended to the Order, inter alia, directed to dispose all the pending application / First Appeals / Second Appeals / Complaints filed by the said applicant in view of the prohibition imposed by the Commission.

Further, the Honorable Commission also directed that the said Order be communicated to all Public Authority throughout the State of Andhra Pradesh for information and necessary action. In view of the above, please find the attachment of the letter and Commission order of the Honorable Commission.

Secretary,
AP Information Commission

2 Attachment(s)

B. Gopal Order.pdf 11.5 MB	Rc. No. 03.pdf 1 MB

ANDHRA PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2026

: PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISISONER

SRI VAJJA SRINIVASA RAO

&

THE HONOURABLE STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

SRI GAJULA ADENNA

S. A. No. 1639 OF 2023 & 101 Batch Cases

Between:

Sri B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766,
Anantapur District.

AND

PIOs and FAAs in 102 batch Cases (Listed below)

ORDER

1. The Appellant filed applications under Section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 before the Public Information Officers (PIOs), seeking certain information.

2. Thereafter, the Appellant preferred First Appeals under Section 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Being aggrieved by the outcome of the said First Appeals, the Appellant filed Second Appeals/Complaints before this Commission.

3. The Cases were taken up for hearing, listed on 20-08-2026, 09-09-2026 and 15-09-2026. The Appellant remained absent on the date of hearing the cases. The Respondents appeared during the course of hearing and participated in the proceedings.

4. During the hearing, the PIO submitted that the Appellant filed numerous Applications and Appeals before the PIO's, FAA's and the Commission. It is observed from the records that so many cases have been filed by the Appellant before the Commission. These facts demonstrate the Appellant's extensive engagement with the RTI mechanism and the proceedings before the Commission.

5. It is noteworthy that the Appellant himself, in his written affidavit, has stated that the number of Second Appeals filed by him are in the thousands.

6. During the hearing held on 15-09-2026, the Commission observed that the Deputy Tahsildar of Kundurpi, had submitted the entire set of Applications filed by the Appellant under Section 6(1), numbering more than 300, as stated by the Deputy Tahsildar, covering the period from 2002 to the present year. The submission of such a substantial number of applications over the years demonstrates the extensive and repeated nature of the applications filed by the appellant before the concerned authorities.

7. The Commission noted that the Appellant had filed a large number of RTI Applications, Appeals and Complaints before various Public Authorities. The Commission asked the PIOs whether the information sought by the Appellant had been furnished to him in response to those Applications and Appeals. The PIOs stated that the information was generally furnished in response to the Applications and Appeals filed by the Appellant. Despite having received responses to his earlier Applications, the Appellant continued to seek substantially the same information by filing multiple RTI Applications and thereafter pursuing successive Appeals before the competent authorities.

8. The Commission enquired with the PIOs and FAAs whether the Appellant had filed any Public Interest Litigation (PIL) before any Court on the basis of information obtained from the Public Authorities, with the objective of serving the larger public interest. The PIOs and FAAs stated that, the Appellant had not filed any PIL in the public interest. They further submitted that the Appellant had been demanding monies from farmers by filing RTI Applications and Appeals seeking information relating to their personal particulars and assets.

9. On perusal of the Applications filed under Section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, it is observed numerous applications are filed seeking Action Taken Reports on his Complaints regarding news items in the daily newspapers. At the same time, the applications appear to have been filed in a grievance petition of third parties.

10. The Commission also perused the applications filed by the Appellant. It is observed that the applications were presented under a stamp/description identifying the Appellant as the "Ex-President of the RTI Committee." Further, in the Written Affidavit filed before the Commission, the District Revenue Officer, Collectorate, Ananthapuramu, has stated that the Appellant claims to be the Founder President of the "Suryodhaya Information Right Protection Committee, Andhra Pradesh" and has been submitting a large number of applications under the Right to Information Act, 2005, before various Revenue Offices and other authorities.

11. The Commission observes that such designation or description is not relevant to the statutory proceedings under the Right to Information Act, 2005. The right to seek information under the Act is conferred upon every citizen in his or her individual capacity, and an application made under the Act is required to be considered strictly in accordance with the provisions and procedure prescribed under the RTI Act.

Accordingly, the status or designation claimed by an Applicant in any organization or committee has no bearing on the consideration or disposal of an Application under the Act.

12. Upon consideration of the RTI applications /appeals and the submissions made by the parties, the principal issue for determination is whether the applicant can seek information by **raising thousands of queries/**questions by filling the RTI applications. The Right to Information Act, 2005 confers upon a citizen the right to obtain information which is held by or under the control of Public Authority. The statutory right is intended to secure access to existing information and records. It does not, however, require the Public Information Officer to undertake an extensive research exercise, collect information from disparate records, create fresh information, or answer an unlimited questionnaire merely because such questions have been included in an RTI application.

13. The Commission on perusal of the numerous applications of the Applicant observed that the Applicant has raised an exceptionally large number of queries and questions, seeking voluminous information. The nature and manner of the queries would require substantial compilation, **analysis and creation of** information rather than merely providing access to identifiable records maintained by the public authority. The RTI mechanism cannot reasonably be construed as requiring a Public Information Officer to answer thousands of questions individually where the information sought is not maintained in the form requested. At the same time, the mere fact that the applications, appeals and complaints are voluminous does not, in itself, extinguish the applicant's statutory right to information.

14. The Commission further notes with serious concern that the Appellant has been repeatedly filing RTI applications, first Appeals, and second

Appeals on substantially similar issues by continuously raising multiple and repetitive queries arising out of replies already furnished by the public authorities. The PIOs and the FAAs brought to the notice of the Commission that the Appellant has filed numerous applications for the same information.

15. The Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the Public Information Officer, Registrar (Administration) Vs B Bharathi [WP No. 26781/2013 dated 17-09-2014] observed as follows: -

> *"The action of the second respondent in sending numerous complaints and representations and then following the same with the RTI applications; that it cannot be the way to redress his grievance: that he cannot overload a public authority and divert its resources disproportionately while seeking information and that the dispensation of information should not occupy the majority of time and resources of any public authority, as it would be against the larger public interest..."*

16. The Central Information Commission in Amar Kumar Vs Indian Army held that:

> *"The Appellant appears to be doing so despite the express knowledge of the fact that he is pursuing a matter of no larger public interest, rather concerning only his perceived personal grievance. It is appalling to note that the public authority is being unabashedly harassed by filing umpteen vexatious RTI Applications. It is also not clear as to what kind of information will satisfy the Appellant as it appears he is merely intending to compel the public authorities into addressing his grievances. This being the ulterior motive is manifest from the bare perusal of the queries of these RTI*

Applications. The larger issue then here is the repetitive nature of these RTI Applications and the motivated attempt at putting the public authority as well as the Commission to test."

17. The CIC in File No.s:CIC/SG/C/2011/000760, CIC/SM/A/2011/000926/SG, and CIC/SM/A/2011/001111/SG, CIC/SG/A/2011/002909 decided on 17-10-2012 observed as follows:

"though the right to information is a fundamental right of the citizens, it cannot be used indiscriminately to fulfil the demands of one individual...The Commission is also conscious of the fact that it is financed by the poorest man in this country who may be starving to death. The complainant by repeatedly filing similar RTI applications and appeals with the respondent public authority and the Commission. is wasting public resources "

18. The Hon'ble Delhi High Court in Shail Sahni Vs Valsa Sara Mathew & Ors dated 19-01-2016 observed as follows :

"Indiscriminate and impractical demands or directions under the RT/ Act for disclosure of all and sundry information (unrelated to transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities and eradication of corruption) would be counterproductive as it will adversely affect the efficiency of the administration and result in the executive getting bogged down with the non-productive work of collecting and furnishing information. The Act should not be allowed to be misused or abused, to become a tool to obstruct the national development and integration, or to destroy the O Scanned with

OKEN Scanner peace, tranquillity and harmony among its citizens. Nor should it be converted into a tool of oppression or intimidation of honest officials striving to do their duty. The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RT/ Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritising "information furnishing". at the cost of their normal and regular duties."

"this Court deems it appropriate to refuse to exercise its writ jurisdiction. Accordingly. present petition is dismissed. This Court is also of the view that misuse of the RTI Act has to be appropriately dealt with: otherwise the public would lose faith and confidence in this "sunshine Act". A beneficent Statute, when made a tool for mischief and abuse must be check ed in accordance with law. A copy of this order is directed to be sent by the Registry to Defence and Law Ministry, so that they may examine the aspect of misuse of this Act, which confers very important and valuable rights upon a citizen."

19. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ICAI Vs Shaunak H. Satya observed as follows:

"This Court is also of the view that misuse of the RTI Act has to be appropriately dealt with otherwise the public would lose faith and confidence in this "sunshine Act". A beneficent Statute, when made a tool for mischief and abuse must be checked in accordance with law".

20. The Hon'ble Delhi High Court in Shishir Chand Vs Central Information Commission (2023) made the strongest observation:

> *"abuse of RTI has led to paralysis and fear among government officials." and "unfortunate misuse... will dilute its importance and make government servants dither from carrying out their activities."*

21. The Central Information Commission in Mrm Danasegar Vs UT of Pondicherry (CIC, 2015) observed as follows:

> *"classic instance of blatant misuse of RTI Act" Also said RTI cannot become: "a tool of oppression or intimidation of officials" 6. During hearing of a case by RTI activist Anjali Bhardwaj CJI made an oral observation (obiter dicta) during hearing stating that the "unbridled use" of the Right to Information (RTI) Act had created a sense of "paralysis and fear" among government officials, causing many to avoid making administrative decisions.*

22. The PIOs as well as the FAAs argued that the information is pertains to the third parties' grievances rather than public interest in most of the cases. Most of the applications shown that the information sought by the Appellant are Grivences and action taken reports rather than seeking for records.

23. The Right to Information (RTI) Act, 2005 is widely regarded as a notable success in promoting transparency and accountability in governance. It facilitates informed participation in the democratic process by enabling individuals to seek information from public authorities. However, it is equally important to note that the RTI Act imposes certain limitations on the nature of information that may be sought. While the Act promotes access to existing information, it does not

extend to providing interpretations or addressing grievances. The term *"information"* is defined under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, as follows:

> *"(f) 'information' means any material in any form, including records, documents, memos, emails, opinions, advices, press releases, circulars, orders, logbooks, contracts, reports, papers, samples, models, data material held in any electronic form and information relating to any private body which can be accessed by a public authority under any other law for the time being in force."*

24. Notably, the Hon'ble Bombay High Court at Goa, in the matter of Dr. Celsa Pinto vs. Goa State Information Commission (W.P. No. 419 of 2007, decision dated 03.04.2008), held as follows:

> *"The definition of information cannot include within its fold answers to the question 'why', which would be the same as asking for reasons or justification for a particular action. Public Information Authorities cannot be expected to communicate to a citizen the reasons why a certain thing was done or not done, in the sense of providing justification, merely because the citizen makes a requisition for information. Justifications are matters within the domain of adjudicating authorities and cannot properly be classified as information."*

25. A careful balance is therefore essential to prevent public authorities from being overwhelmed with requests seeking grievance redressal rather than genuine information, thereby defeating the very purpose of the Act. Accordingly, it must be clearly understood that the RTI Act is not intended to function as a mechanism for grievance redressal.

26. In Yogesh Kumar vs. CPIO, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pensions, Department of Personnel & Training, the appellant sought information regarding the existence of any proposal to implement the Modified Assured Career Progression (MACP) Scheme with effect from 01.01.2006 instead of 01.09.2008, in compliance with a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. In this regard, the Hon'ble Central Information Commission observed that the matter was not purely one of seeking information but was essentially an attempt to redress the appellant's grievance. The CPIO correctly observed that the information sought did not conform to Section 2(f) of the RTI Act.

27. In this context, attention was drawn to the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in CBSE vs. Aditya Bandopadhyay & Ors. [Civil Appeal No. 6454 of 2011], wherein it was held as follows:

> *"At this juncture, it is necessary to clear some misconceptions about the RTI Act. The RTI Act provides access to all information that is available and existing. This is clear from a combined reading of Section 3 and the definitions of 'information' and 'right to information' under clauses (f) and (j) of Section 2 of the Act. If a public authority has any information in the form of data or analysed data, or abstracts, or statistics, an applicant may access such information, subject to the exemptions in Section 8 of the Act. However, where the information sought is not part of the record of a public authority, and such information is not required to be maintained under any law, rules, or regulations, the Act does not cast an obligation upon the public authority to collect or collate such non-available information and furnish it to an applicant. A public authority is also not required to furnish information that requires drawing of inferences and/or making of assumptions. It is also not required to provide 'advice' or*

'opinion' to an applicant, nor to obtain and furnish any such 'opinion' or 'advice'. The reference to 'opinion' or 'advice' in Section 2(f) refers only to such material already available on record."

28. In Shri Sanjeev Kumar vs. PIO/PCO (Cluster), Transport Department, Delhi, the applicant filed an RTI application relating to a complaint and sought, inter alia, information regarding the officer responsible for keeping the complaint pending and the action initiated against such officer. The Hon'ble Central Information Commission observed that the RTI application stemmed from the grievance of the appellant and held that the Commission is not a grievance redressal forum. The appellant was granted liberty to approach the appropriate forum for resolution of grievances involving disputed questions of fact.

29. Similarly, in Sandip Nana Patil vs. CPIO, DoPT, New Delhi, the Appellant sought clarification regarding rules governing grievance redressal mechanisms on the Public Grievance (PG) Portal and related matters. The Commission observed that the queries sought clarification and interpretation rather than information as defined under Section 2(f) of the RTI Act. The CPIO was found to have provided an appropriate reply, leaving no scope for further intervention.

30. Upon careful consideration of the above judicial pronouncements, it may be inferred that the fundamental purpose of the RTI Act is to enable access to genuine and existing information, rather than to serve as a forum for redressing personal grievances. These decisions underline the statutory limitations governing the right to information and emphasize that, although individuals possess the right to information under Articles 19 and 21 of the Constitution, such rights must operate within the boundaries prescribed by legislation.

Maintaining this balance is essential to preserving the integrity of the RTI framework, ensuring that it continues to function as an effective instrument for transparency, democratic participation, and accountable governance.

31. The Central Information Commission was observed in Prem Prakash Kumar Vs NFL, Panipat, (Decision no. 246/IC/(A)/2006, F.No. CIC/MA/A/2006/00374 & 375 dated 28 August 2006).

"in fact, the nature of queries and the information sought are such that the information seeker would never be satisfied because the promotion of self-interest, rather than public interest, was dominant, as the appellant had sought redressal of grievances."

32. The Central Information Commission in Shri Gopal Soni Vs The New India Assurance Company Ltd (F No CIC/AT/A2008/00097, 000116, 000124, dated 12.6.2008) Learned Commissioner Shri A. N. Tiwari dealt with similar problem. The Respondents in this case submitted that the Appellant, their employee, was suspended for insubordination and misconduct, and ever since he directed a spate of applications containing queries for detailed, voluminous but inane information which would have to be collected and collated from over 30 branches. The Commission held in this case: "answering the elaborate and detailed queries, which have to be both accurate and authentic, imposes heavy cost on the public authority and tends to divert its resources, which brings it within the scope of section 7(9) of RTI Act."

33. The Central Information Commission in Mohan Lal Atarwal vs Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan on 23 July, 2019 observed as follows:

"The Commission holds the appellant as a misuser of the RTI Act and warns him to stop such harassment of his colleagues and the officers of the institution. The appellant is once again advised to stop filing multiple RTI applications repeatedly which is nothing short of an abuse of the process of law and an attempt to virtually intimidate the Institute. In case the appellant continues to prefer RTI applications which are vexatious and frivolous in nature and are multiple RTIs on the same or similar subject with a view to disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority, the PIO will be free to deny information under the provisions of section 7 (9) of the Act. The appellant is advised to henceforth use his right to information in a responsible manner and be specific while seeking information."

34. In the light of the large number of applications, appeals filed by the Appellant and the factual premise of the cases, the Commission finds it worthwhile to mention a similar case wherein Central Information Commission in its decision No. CIC/YA/A/2014/001071, 001123, 001210 while disposing of a batch of fifteen matters of one Sh. M Danasegar dated 30.06.2015 had held as follows:

"...The Commission finds this case to be a classic instance of blatant misuse of RTI Act by the appellant, who is a disgruntled employee of the same organisation, through relentlessly filing of a series of RTI applications to harass officials of a public authority. The information sought in most of his RTI applications has no public interest at all and veers around the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him. In the process of seeking the same, the appellant has resorted to reckless data mining on a humongous scale. Still, information has been provided by the respondent authorities as per record on some points and the rest denied for the reason that it is either voluminous or not available or relates to clarification/interpretation. The appellant, motivated by personal interest, has clearly sought such information with the vengeful motive to harass the officers through a flurry of RTI applications. The RTI Act cannot be allowed to be misused or abused and to become a tool of

oppression or for intimidation of officials striving to do their duty..."

35. The Appellant filed vexations and frivolous applications to abuse the RTI process and its mechanism. The Commission relied on the Apex Court decision regarding vexatious and frivolous petitions. The Supreme Court in ADVOCATE GENERAL, BIHAR VS M.P. KHAIR INDUSTRIES, AIR 1980 SC 946) has termed

"..filing of frivolous and vexatious petitions as abuse of the RTI process. Some of such abuses specifically mentioned by the Apex Court include initiating or carrying on proceedings which are wanting in bona-fides or which are frivolous, vexatious or oppressive. The Apex Court also observed that in such cases the Court has extensive alternative powers to prevent an abuse of its process by striking out or staying proceedings or by prohibiting taking up further proceedings..."

36. The Apex Court had discussed the issue of wasteful vexatious litigation in great detail in the case of ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY VS THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL, (AIR 2003 SC 280 Para 11), where J. Pasayat had held:

".... It is depressing to note that on account of such trumpery proceedings initiated before the Courts, innumerable days are wasted, which time otherwise could have been spent for the disposal of cases of the genuine litigants. Though we spare no efforts in fostering and developing the laudable concept of PIL and extending our long arm of sympathy to the poor, the ignorant, the oppressed and the needy whose fundamental rights are infringed and violated and whose grievances go unnoticed, unrepresented and unheard; yet we cannot avoid but expressing our opinion that

while genuine litigants with legitimate grievances relating to civil matters involving properties worth hundreds of millions of rupees and criminal cases in which persons sentenced to death facing gallows under untold agony and persons sentenced to life imprisonment and kept in incarceration for long years, persons suffering from undue delay in service matters, Government or private, persons awaiting the disposal of case... ... ... etc. etc. are all standing in a long serpentine queue for years with the fond hope of getting into the Courts and having their grievances redressed, the busybodies, meddlesome interlopers, wayfarers or officious interveners having absolutely no public interest except for personal gain or private profit either of themselves or as proxy of others or for any other extraneous motivation or for glare of publicity break the queue muffing their faces by wearing the mask of public interest litigation and get into the Courts by filing vexatious and frivolous petitions and thus criminally waste the valuable time of the Courts, as a result of which the queue standing outside the doors of the Courts never moves, which piquant situation creates frustration in the minds of the genuine litigants and resultantly they lose faith in the administration of our judicial system...."

37. The Commission relied on the decision of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras in the case of PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER, REGISTRAR (Administration) Vs B BHARATHI [WP No. 26781/2013 dated 17.09.2014] wherein it has been held as follows:

"...The action of the second respondent in sending numerous complaints and representations and then following the same with the RTI applications; that it cannot be the way to redress his grievance; that he cannot overload a public authority and divert

its resources disproportionately while seeking information and that the dispensation of information should not occupy the majority of time and resource of any public authority, as it would be against the larger public interest....."

38. The Hon'ble High Court of Madras in W.P. No. 16504 / 2014dated 19-04-2022 observed as follows :-

"The Tamilnadu Information Commission in 20854 / 2012 dated 02-06-2012 observed as follows :

1) *The Registrar of the Tamil Nadu information commission is directed not to entertain any appeal / petition / letter from this individual henceforth.*
2) *The Registrar shall also ensure that the individual does not gain entry into the princess of the Tamil Nadu Information commission, as he has been found to be interfering with the functions of the staff and officers.*
3) *The Registrar is also directed to instruct the officers under staff concerned, not to entertain him in future, even on telephone.*
4) *The Registrar is also directed by the commission to initiate contempt proceedings as delineated in chapter XXVI of the code of criminal procedure, 1973, read with section 228 IPC Should the individual continue in his act in future."*

"7. The conduct of the petitioner is demonstrated from his own applications filed under the act. It is nothing but abuse of process of Law and particular statute, which was enacted for achieving transparency with regard to administration. Thousands of questions raised by the citizens taking an undue advantage of the act will result in hanging the system and efficient administration. It will disproportionately divert the manpower of the administration from discharging the normal duties of the office. Such attempt shall not be encouraged even though the act provides for no limitations.

8. Therefore, the petition is dismiss. This is a fit case for imposing heavy costs. However, considering the petitioners plight, this court restrain itself from doing so. No cast. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed."

39. Right to Information Act, 2005 is a beneficial legislation enacted to promote transparency and accountability in the functioning of public authorities. However, the RTI mechanism cannot be permitted to be misused as a tool for endless correspondence, repetitive questioning, harassment of public authorities, or for obstructing the regular functioning of public offices. Repeated filing of appeals on identical or substantially similar issues unnecessarily diverts the limited resources and time of the public authorities as well as the Commission, thereby adversely affecting genuine information seekers awaiting adjudication of their cases.

40. The Appellant has stated that thousands of Applications and Appeals are pending for adjudication and has alleged that the RTI adjudicating authorities, in collusion with the Government authorities, are deliberately failing to dispose of the Appeals and Applications. However, the Commission has observed that all the Appeals pending before it has been taken up for hearing and disposed of, except for 47 Appeals that are presently pending for hearing as on the date of consideration. Therefore, the allegation that thousands of Appeals are pending before the Commission for adjudication is not borne out by the records available before the Commission.

41. The objection raised by the Appellant is wholly untenable and self-contradictory. While alleging that hundreds of his Appeals are pending, the Appellant objects when the Commission takes up 30–40 matters in a day precisely to reduce such pendency. The Commission is relentlessly striving, day after day, to dispose of the maximum possible number of appeals and complaints and to provide expeditious relief to information seekers. The Appellant's sweeping allegation that such listing is a "conspiracy" to protect officials is baseless, irresponsible

and unsupported by any material whatsoever. The Appellant cannot demand expeditious disposal on one hand and, on the other, question the very process adopted by the Commission to achieve it. The Commission accordingly finds no substance in the said allegation.

42. The Commission cautions the Appellant that the right to pursue a statutory remedy does not confer an unrestricted licence to make reckless, scandalous or knowingly false allegations against a quasi-judicial authority or its officers. If such allegations are found to have been deliberately and knowingly made with a view to scandalising, intimidating or lowering the authority of the Commission, or otherwise constitute an abuse of the process of law, the Appellant may be liable to such consequences as are permissible under the applicable law. The Appellant is, therefore, cautioned to exercise due restraint and to refrain from making unsubstantiated allegations against the Commission or its officers in future.

43. It is also pertinent to note that, despite making serious allegations against the Commission regarding alleged collusion, deliberate inaction and non-disposal of Appeals, the Appellant has himself failed to appear before the Commission on the dates fixed for hearing and has thereby not availed the opportunity afforded to him to substantiate such allegations.

44. The Commission has also taken note of the allegations made against the Appellant regarding demanding and collecting money from public authorities and farmers in connection with RTI applications filed by him. The material placed before the Commission indicates allegations that the Appellant has been filing RTI Applications seeking personal information relating to individuals as well as farmers, including details concerning their landed properties, and is allegedly using such

applications to demand and collect illegal amounts from the persons concerned. Such conduct, if established upon proper enquiry, would amount to misuse of the provisions of the Right to Information Act and cannot be permitted under the guise of exercising the statutory right to information.

45. The Commission further notes that the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyandurgam, has submitted the names of the persons who allegedly paid amounts to the Appellant, the purpose for which such amounts were allegedly taken, and the statements of the concerned persons. The material placed before the Commission indicates that the said persons have approached the authorities seeking justice and redressal, stating that they had parted with money to the appellant in connection with the matters relating to the RTI applications filed by him.

46. Accordingly, the competent police authority may conduct an appropriate enquiry into the allegations concerning the Appellant's conduct, the RTI applications filed by him, and the alleged demand or collection of money from public authorities and farmers. If the allegations are substantiated, appropriate action may be taken in accordance with law.

47. It is further observed that the appellant has submitted an affidavit containing certain derogatory and disparaging remarks against the Public Information Officer (PIO), the First Appellate Authority (FAA), and the Information Commission. In the said affidavit, the appellant has alleged that the PIO, FAA, and the Information Commission are acting in collusion with the concerned Government authorities and are deliberately failing to take coercive steps for effective implementation of the provisions of the Right to Information Act. Such allegations are

serious in nature and appear to have been made without placing sufficient material or evidence in support thereof. The appellant is expected to maintain due decorum and use appropriate and respectful language while making submissions before the statutory authorities.

48. The Appellant is accordingly cautioned to refrain from making unwarranted, derogatory, or unsubstantiated allegations against public authorities and statutory functionaries. Any grievance regarding non-compliance with the provisions of the Right to Information Act may be raised through the remedies and procedures prescribed under the Act.

49. The Commission asked PIO's and the FAA's whether he filed any corruption complaint against any public authorities. They replied that he had not filed any such complaint till date.

50. The PIOs and the FAAs submitted that the Appellant presently working as a Document Writer at the Sub-Registrar office and turned into the RTI activist and has filed numerous applications under the Right to Information Act, 2005. The same was confirmed by the other PIO' and FAAs.

51. Upon perusal of the Second Appeals, Complaints and its connected records, the Commission finds that several queries raised by the Appellant are based upon daily newspaper clippings quarries, assumptions, surmises, conjectures, personal grievances, and speculative allegations regarding the functioning and activities of the public authorities. The Commission reiterates that under the RTI Act, a public authority is required to furnish only such information as is available and existing on record. The Act does not require the PIO to create information, provide explanations, answer hypothetical questions, interpret rules, or adjudicate grievances.

52. In the present case, the Commission has further taken note of the material indicating that the Appellant owns landed properties and a residential house, is working as a document writer and owns a two-wheeler. The material placed before the Commission also raises a question as to his eligibility for the White Ration Card under the applicable criteria in the State of Andhra Pradesh and indicates that the prescribed eligibility norms may not have been duly adhered to at the time of its issuance. Accordingly, the Commission has directed the District Collector to conduct a detailed enquiry into the issuance of the White Ration Card, ascertain the Appellant's eligibility under the prescribed norms, and examine whether the card has been obtained or utilised by suppressing material facts or for availing benefits intended for eligible beneficiaries. The Appellant's claim of entitlement to voluminous information entirely free of cost, therefore, cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding facts and the legal principles governing the responsible exercise of the right to information.

53. The Commission has also considered the Appellant's claim for furnishing voluminous information free of cost on the strength of his alleged BPL/White Ration Card. In this regard, the Commission has taken note of the principles enunciated **in Sardarji Bhagvanji v. State of Gujarat (Para 8) and Mr. Tajender Singh v. Government of NCT of Delhi (Para 8),** wherein the Courts have cautioned against invoking BPL status as a means to seek voluminous information at public expense, particularly where such demands result in substantial expenditure of public resources and may constitute an abuse of the statutory process. And, photocopying such documents will certainly kill thousands of human hours as well as manpower and supplying such voluminous information under the guise of BPL at the cost of public exchequer cannot, at any stretch of imagination, be the object

of any legislation. Moreover, acceding to such request may be akin to opening Pandora's box.

54. In view of the repeated and vexatious nature of the filings made by the Appellant, the Commission deems it appropriate to issue the following directions in order to prevent abuse of the RTI process and to protect the valuable time and resources of the public authorities and the Commission resulting in undue burden upon the public authorities concerned.

55. The Commission is also conscious of the fact that it is financed by the Taxpayers and for the common man in this country. The Complainant by repeatedly filing similar RTI applications and appeals with the respondent public authorities and the Commission, is wasting public resources. That the Appellant was using RTI Act as a litigation tool, he used of RTI Act as vexatious in nature and held that entertaining such applications and appeals could no longer serve the objectives of the RTI Act.

56. The conduct of the petitioner is demonstrated from his own applications filed under the RTI Act. It is nothing but abuse of process of Law and particular statute, which was enacted for achieving transparency with regard to administration. Thousands of questions raised by the appellant taking an undue advantage of the act will result in hanging the system and efficient administration. It will disproportionately divert the manpower of the administration from discharging the normal duties of the office. Such attempt shall not be encouraged even though the act provides for no limitations. Such repetition of information request may be considered as reasonable ground for refusal under the RTI Act.

57. An applicant or appellant repeating the RTI application or appeal either once or multiple times, suppressing the fact of earlier application and receipt of the answer, the PIO of public authority may reject it forthwith after intimating it along with reasons. Appeals can be rejected. The First Appellate Authority and Commission may be right and reasonable to consider this as a ground for rejecting the application, first or second appeal, respectively among other reasons if any.

58. The definition of words" prohibit" is silent in the RTI act. Its meaning and application are derived from the General clauses act, supreme court principles, Latin maxims of statutory interpretation. The Supreme Court of India has established that if a statute does not define a word, its meaning can be determined by the context and specific judicial principles. Expressio unius est exclusio alterius ("The expression of one thing is the exclusion of the other"): This maxim supports the view that if the RTI Act explicitly lists specific exemptions (Section 8) or methods (Section 6), it implicitly prohibits exemptions or methods that are not listed. Noscitur a Sociis ("A word is known by the company it keeps"): If "prohibit" or related restrictive terms were to appear in a list within the Act (e.g., "forbidden," "restricted"), their meaning would be interpreted based on the surrounding words to determine the scope of the prohibition. If a person filing hundreds of RTI vexatious, Frivolous applications causing continuous harassment he is prohibited from RTI Act, 2005 based on the findings, observations, Latin maxims, section 7(9) of RTI Act,2005.

59. This Commission is of the considered view that this is a fit case to prohibit the Appellant from filing applications, appeals, and complaints under the RTI Act, 2005. The Commission deems it appropriate to reprimand the Appellant, Boya Gopal, and cautions him to refrain from conduct that may amount to harassment. It is further

clarified that any repetition of such conduct may invite appropriate action, including rejection of future applications, appeals, or complaints, in the larger public interest and in furtherance of the objectives of the RTI Act, 2005.

60. Accordingly, the Second Appeals and Complaints are hereby dismissed with the above observations.

Sd/-
GAJULA ADENNA
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Sd/-
VAJJA SRINIVASA RAO
CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Deputy. Registrar

Particulars of the cases:

Sl. No.	Case Nos.	Concerned Parties	
1	1639/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.

2	1640/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
3	3916/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
4	3950/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
5	3951/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
6	17357/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
7	5325/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
8	5755/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
9	17358/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
10	5757/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
11	5758/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
12	18179/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.

13	9813/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
14	18180/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
15	18181/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
16	18389/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
17	18964/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
18	20335/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
19	20339/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
20	10069/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
21	5324/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
22	5756/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
23	6747/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.

24	9980/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
25	16782/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
26	17356/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
27	18178/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
28	18963/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
29	16517/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
30	16660/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
31	18129/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
32	18390/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
33	20334/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
34	5597/2023	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Collector, Kurnool - 518001, Kurnool District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Collector, Kurnool - 518001, Kurnool District.

35	12831/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Collectorate Compound, Ashok Nagar, Ananthapuramu District - 515001.
36	12832/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
37	12833/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
38	12834/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Collectorate Compound, Ashok Nagar, Ananthapuramu District - 515001.
39	12835/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Collectorate Compound, Ashok Nagar, Ananthapuramu District - 515001.
40	12836/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
41	12837/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
42	12838/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
43	12839/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
44	12840/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
45	14401/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.

46	14402/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
47	14576/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
48	15289/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
49	15291/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
50	5496/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
51	7943/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kambaduru Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515765.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kambaduru Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515765.
52	9022/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
53	9025/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
54	9359/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
55	10217/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Collectorate Compound, Ashok Nagar, Ananthapuramu District - 515001.
56	10218/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Collectorate Compound, Ashok Nagar, Ananthapuramu District - 515001.

57	10219/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Collectorate Compound, Ashok Nagar, Ananthapuramu District - 515001.
58	3645/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Kundurpi Village, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
59	14578/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Kundurpi Village, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
60	9360/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Panchayat Secretary, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
61	9024/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
62	1965/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
63	1966/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
64	5494/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
65	5495/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
66	5497/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.

67	5498/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
68	15290/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Gram Sachivalayam, Mahanthapuram Village, Kadarampalli Post,Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram District - 515766.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi Mandal, Ananthapuram Dist - 515766.
69	4312/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
70	4315/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
71	4906/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
72	4912/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
73	12368/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
74	12370/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
75	4314/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kambaduru - 515765, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
76	4909/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
77	4910/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.

78	4911/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
79	4313/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
80	4913/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
81	12369/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
82	13117/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Collector, Puttaparthi (Mandal & Village) - 515134, Sri Sathya Sai District,Sri Satya Sai District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Collector, Puttaparthi (Mandal & Village) - 515134, Sri Sathya Sai District,Sri Satya Sai District.
83	4907/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the MPDO, Tadimarri - 515631, Sri Satya Sai District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Tadimarri - 515631, Sri Satya Sai District.
84	5859/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Zilla Praja Parishad (ZPP), Sai Nagar Main Road, Sai Nagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Zilla Praja Parishad (ZPP), Sai Nagar Main Road, Sai Nagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
85	7655/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Grama Sachivalayam, Bestharapalli (V) - 515766, Kundurpi (Mandal) Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
86	11487/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
87	13115/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Superintendent of Police, Puttaparti - 515134, Sri Satya Sai District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Superintendent of Police, Puttaparti - 515134, Sri Satya Sai District.
88	14577/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Grama Sachivalayam, Jambugumpala (V) - 515766, Kundurpi (M), Anantapur District.

89	14580/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Sub-Registrar, Registration and Stamps Department, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Registrar, Registration & Stamps Department, Subash Road, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
90	14581/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Sub-Registrar, Registration and Stamps Department, Kalyanadurgam - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Registrar, Registration & Stamps Department, Subash Road, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
91	15292/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Panchayat Office, District Panchayathi Office, Ram Nagar, Indira Gandhi Nagar, Anantapur - 515004, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Panchayat Office, District Panchayathi Office, Ram Nagar, Indira Gandhi Nagar, Anantapur - 515004, Anantapur District.
92	15924/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Mandal Educational Officer (MEO), Mandal Resource Centre (MRC) Complex, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
93	16174/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Medical and Health Officer (DM&HO), DMHO Office Complex, Opposite Government General Hospital, Gulzarpet, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Medical and Health Officer (DM&HO), DMHO Office Complex, Opposite Government General Hospital, Gulzarpet, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
94	16175/2024	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District. O/o the Director, Khadi and Village Industries Commission (KVIC), Patamata, Vijayawada - 520010, NTR District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Collector, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
95	655/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Prinicipal, Kasturba Gandhi Balika Vidyalaya (KGBV) School, Kundurpi (Village & Mandal) - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
96	3646/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Deputy Executive Engineer (Housing), A.P. State Housing Corporation Ltd. Subdivision Office, Near Kalyandurg Municipality Complex, Kalyandurg - 515761, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Project Director (Housing), A.P. State Housing Corporation Ltd. Office, Gulzarpet, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
97	7325/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Panchayat Office, District Panchayathi Office, Ram Nagar, Indira Gandhi Nagar, Anantapur - 515004, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Zilla Praja Parishad (ZPP) Complex, Sai Nagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
98	7326/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.

		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Grama Sachivalayam, Kundurpi Road, Basapuram Village, Kundurpi Mandal - 515766, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the MPDO, Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
99	8694/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
100	9552/2025	Appellant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the District Educational Officer, District Educational Office Complex, Kamalanagar, Anantapur - 515001, Anantapur District.
101	16038/2024	Complainant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766, Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Tahsildar, Rolla (Village & Mandal) - 515321, Madakasira Revenue Division, Sri Satya Sai District.

		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Revenue Divisional Officer (RDO), Revenue Divisional Office Complex, Chennekothapalli Road, Penukonda - 515110,Sri Satya Sai District.
102	12279/2024	Complainant	B. Gopal, Kadarampalli (V & P), Kundurpi - 515766,Anantapur District.
		PIO	The Public Information Officer, RTI Act, 2005. O/o the Andhra Pradesh Information Commission, Mangalagiri - 522503, Guntur District.
		FAA	The First Appellate Authority, RTI Act,2005 O/o the Andhra Pradesh Information Commission, Mangalagiri - 522503, Guntur District.

Note:

1. In view of the above prohibition of the Appellant in the above cases, all pending Applications, First Appeals, Second Appeals and Complaints stands closed, and no notices or summons are required for closing of the cases.

2. The Registry is directed to take appropriate steps to serve a copy of this order upon all Secretaries, HODs, District Collectors, Superintendents of Polices, Public Information Officers, First Appellate Authorities, Nodal Officers, and DDOs in the State of Andhra Pradesh.

Sd/-
GAJULA ADENNA
STATE INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Sd/-
VAJJA SRINIVASA RAO
CHIEF INFORMATION COMMISSIONER

Authenticated by:

Deputy. Registrar

ANDHRA PRAD ESH INFORMATION COMMISSION
(Under Right to Information Act, 2005)
MGM Capital, 1st Floor, NRI Hospital "Y" Cross Roads, National Highway -16
Service Road, Chinna Kakani – 522503, Mangalagiri, Guntur District.
Website:www.sic.ap.gov.in **E-mail:**sic-ap@gov.in **Landline:** 0863-2387345

Rc. No. 03/APIC/Secy/2026 **Date: 22-09-2026**

Sub: APIC - Common Order dated 17-09-2026 passed by the Sri V. Srinivasa Rao, Hon'ble CIC & Sri G. Adenna, Hon'ble SIC in S.A. No.1639 of 2023 and 101 batch cases filed by Sri B. Gopal, Anantapur District - Communication of Order - Reg.

Ref: Common Order dated 17-09-2026 passed by the Hon'ble CIC & SIC, APIC in S.A. No.1639 of 2023 and 101 batch cases filed by Sri B. Gopal, Anantapur District.

*****

With reference to the reference cited, The Hon'ble CIC & SIC, APIC, while disposing of S.A. No.1639 of 2023 and 101 batch cases filed by Sri B. Gopal, Anantapur District, has, under the Note appended to the Order, inter alia, directed that all pending applications, First Appeals, Second Appeals and Complaints filed by Sri B. Gopal, Anantapur District shall stand closed in view of the prohibition imposed by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Commission has further directed that the said Order be communicated to all Public Authority throughout the State of Andhra Pradesh for information and necessary action. Accordingly, all concerned authorities are requested to take note of the directions contained in the enclosed Common Order and ensure strict compliance wherever applicable. Any applications, First Appeals or matters covered by the directions contained in the aforesaid Order may be dealt with in accordance with the findings and directions of the Hon'ble Commission.

Encl: Copy of Common Order dated 17-09.2026.

Ch Padma
23/09/26.
Secretary
Andhra Pradesh Information Commission

To
All Special Chief Secretaries/Principal Secretaries/Secretaries to Government, Government of Andhra Pradesh.
All Heads of Departments.
All District Collectors in the State.
All Superintendents of Police in the State.
All Public Information Officers.
All First Appellate Authorities.
All Nodal Officers under the RTI Act, 2005.
All Drawing & Disbursing Officers concerned.
The PIO, APIC
The FAA, APIC

Copy to:
PSs/ PAs of all Hon'ble SIC.
Judicial Officers, APIC.
The Secretary (LAW), APIC.