23/2/26
12:48 PM

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, PRAYAGRAJ.

ADMIN. ORDER NO. 126 **Dated: February 21 ,2026**

ORDER

While enclosing herewith a pdf copy of **Judgement/order dated 27.01.2026** passed by **Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No.(s) 1112 of 2025 – Shaheen Malik Vs. Union of India & Anr.**, vide Hon'ble High Court's Letter No. **2329/Admin. G-II Dated 21.02.2026**, it has been communicated that during the course of hearing of aforesaid petition, Hon'ble Supreme Court, vide order dated 27.01.2026, has expressed its concern over pendency of cases concerning **'Acid Attacks'** and issued directions for expeditious conclusion of the **trials in the matters concerning acid attacks and Hon'ble Court has directed to ensure that trials in the matters concerning acid attacks be concluded expeditiously, in a time bound manner and if required, even on an out-of-turn basis.**

II. In compliance of Hon'ble Court's above directions, following order is being passed with immediate effect:

(a) The **Presiding Officers of the Courts of Special Judge S.C./S.T. Act, Special Judge E.C. Act, Special Judge M.P./M.L.As., Special Judge POCSO I, II, III, Addl. District & Sessions Judge Court Nos. 8,9,13,14,21,22, Addl. District & Sessions Judge Fast Track Court No. 1 (Where Trials are pending as per the list enclosed)** are directed to comply with the directions issued by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforementioned Judgement/order in letter and spirit & submit progress report to Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Court No. 1 on the last working day of each week.

(b) Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Court No. 1 is directed to keep strict vigil and monitor the disposal of trials related to the Acid Attacks pending in abovementioned Courts within stipulated time frame as directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court under regular intimation to the undersigned in every monthly meeting.

(b) The System Officer is directed to provide a soft/pdf copy of **Order/judgement dated 27.01.2026** passed by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court** in the aforementioned petition as well as Hon'ble High Court's Letter No.**2329/Admin.G-II Dated 21.02.2026** to all the Judicial Officers working under control of undersigned for information & strict compliance in letter & spirit.

(b) A hard-copy of above mentioned Order dated **27.01.2026** passed by the **Hon'ble Supreme Court** in the aforementioned petition as well as Hon'ble High Court's Letter No. **2329/Admin.G-II Dated 21.02.2026** be also circulated among all the Judicial Officers working under control of undersigned for information & strict compliance in letter & spirit.

(c) In compliance of order/judgement dated 12.08.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court in Crl. Misc. Application u/s 528 BNSS No. 6400 of 2025 titled Smt. Bacchi Devi Vs State of UP And Another, a meeting of all Judicial Officers of the Judgeship is convened on **26.02.2026** at **4.35 PM**, in the **Meeting Hall,** situated at the II-Floor of Newly constructed 24-Court Room Building of District Court Prayagraj for discussion and deliberation regarding compliance of **Judgement/order dated 27.01.2026** passed by **Hon'ble Supreme Court in Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No.(s) 1112 of 2025-Shaheen Malik Vs. Union of India & Anr.,** All the Judicial Officers of the Judgeship are directed to participate in the said meeting.

Inform all concerned for strict compliance.

21.2.2026

(**Satya Prakash Tripathi**)
District & Sessions Judge
Prayagraj.

Dated : February 21 ,2026

Statement of cases pertaining to Acid attack victims as on 31.01.2026					
Name of the District	Cases disposed till 31.01.2026	Cases pending at the Stage of Trial as on 31.01.2026	Age-wise pendency of cases pertaining to Acid attack victims in the State of Uttar Pradesh		
			More than 3 years old	More than 05 years old	More than 07 Years old
Prayagraj	8	27	5	5	14

Note – 03 Cases which are disposed are less than 3 years old.

Consolidated by :

Statement Clerk

(Aparna Tripathi)
O/C statement/ADJ/Spl. Judge
Prevention of Corruption Act
Prayagraj

PENDING OF CASES PERTAINING TO ACID ATTACK VICTIMS AS ON 31.01.2026 IN DISTRICT COURT, PRAYAGRAJ				
Name of the Court	Cases pending at the Stage of Trial as on 31.01.2026	Age-wise pendency of cases pertaining to Acid attack victims in the State of Uttar Pradesh		
		More than 3 years old	More than 05 years old	More than 07 Years old
SC/ST	1	1	0	0
EC ACT	1	0	0	1
MP/MLA	3	0	1	1
ADJ-8	1	0	1	0
ADJ-9	1	0	0	0
POCSO-1	3	0	0	3
POCSO-2	4	1	2	1
POCSO-3	6	1	1	4
ADJ13	3	2	0	0
ADJ14	1	0	0	1
ADJ21	1	0	0	1
ADJ22	1	0	0	1
FTC-1	1	0	0	1
TOTAL	27	5	5	14

Consolidated by—
Statement clerk

Through e-Mail

From,

Manjeet Singh Sheoran, HJS
Registrar General
High Court of Judicature at,
Allahabad

To,

All the District and Sessions Judges/OSD
All the Principal Judges, Family Courts
All the Presiding Officers of Commercial Courts, MACTs & LARRAs
State of Uttar Pradesh

Letter No. 2329 **/Admin G-II** **Dated** 21/02 **/2026**

Sub: Compliance of Judgment/order dated 27.01.2026 passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No.(s), 1112 of 2025, Shaheen Malik Vs Union of India & Anr.

Madam/Sir,

During the course of hearing of afore-mentioned petition, Hon'ble Supreme Court vide order dated **27.01.2026 (pdf copy enclosed),** has expressed its concern over pendency of cases concerning '**Acid Attacks'** and issued directions for expeditious conclusion of the trials in the matters concerning acid attacks.

In this regard, while enclosing pdf copy of the order dated **27.01.2026** passed by Hon'ble Supreme Court and the **data regarding cases pertaining to Acid Attack Victims** (as on **31.01.2026),** I am directed by Hon'ble Court to request you to ensure that ***trials in the matters concerning acid attacks be concluded expeditiously, in a time bound manner and if required, even on an out-of-turn basis.***

You are, therefore, requested to communicate the directions issued by Hon'ble Supreme Court to all the Judicial Officers working under your kind control and ensure strict compliance of the same by all the concerned, in letter and spirit.

Encl:- As above

With Regards,

Yours faithfully,

19.2.26

Registrar General

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

Statement of cases pertaining to Acid Attack Victims as on 31.01.2026

Sr. No.	Name of the District	Cases disposed till 31.01.2026	Cases pending at the Stage of Trial as on 31.01.2026	Age-wise pendency of cases pertaining to Acid attack victims in the State of Uttar Pradesh		
				More than 3 years old	More than 5 years old	More than 7 years old
1	AGRA	3	14	3	0	2
2	ALIGARH	1	15	8	2	2
3	AMBEDKAR NAGAR	0	0	0	0	0
4	AMROHA	0	0	0	0	0
5	AURAIYA	0	1	0	0	0
6	AYODHYA	0	0	0	0	0
7	AZAMGARH	0	3	1	0	0
8	BAGHPAT	0	0	0	0	0
9	BAHRAICH	0	1	0	1	0
10	BALLIA	0	6	0	3	1
11	BALRAMPUR	0	2	0	1	0
12	BANDA	2	2	1	1	0
13	BARABANKI	0	2	2	0	0
14	BAREILLY	1	3	0	0	0
15	BASTI	0	0	0	0	0
16	BHADOHI	0	5	0	0	1
17	BIJNOR	0	3	0	0	0
18	BUDAUN	6	2	0	0	0
19	BULANDSHAHR	0	1	0	0	0
20	CHANDAULI	0	0	0	0	0
21	CHITRAKOOT	0	0	0	0	0
22	DEORIA	0	1	0	0	0
23	ETAH	2	7	0	2	5
24	ETAWAH	0	0	0	0	0
25	FARRUKHABAD	0	4	1	0	1
26	FATEHPUR	0	0	0	0	0
27	FIROZABAD	0	0	0	0	0
28	G.B. NAGAR	0	0	0	0	0
29	GHAZIABAD	0	0	0	0	0
30	GHAZIPUR	0	1	1	0	0
31	GONDA	0	4	2	0	0
32	GORAKHPUR	0	12	5	0	0
33	HAMIRPUR	0	0	0	0	0
34	HAPUR	0	0	0	0	0
35	HARDOI	0	0	0	0	0
36	HATHRAS	0	5	1	0	2
37	JALAUN	0	2	0	2	0
38	JAUNPUR	0	0	0	0	0
39	JHANSI	0	7	6	4	2
40	KANNAUJ	0	2	0	0	1

16.02.26

16/2/26

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD

Statement of cases pertaining to Acid Attack Victims as on 31.01.2026

Sr. No.	Name of the District	Cases disposed till 31.01.2026	Cases pending at the Stage of Trial as on 31.01.2026	Age-wise pendency of cases pertaining to Acid attack victims in the State of Uttar Pradesh		
				More than 3 years old	More than 5 years old	More than 7 years old
41	KANPUR DEHAT	0	0	0	0	0
42	KANPUR NAGAR	0	10	2	0	0
43	KASGANJ	0	1	0	0	1
44	KAUSHAMBI	0	0	0	0	0
45	KUSHI NAGAR	0	6	2	0	1
46	LAKHIMPUR KHERI	0	0	0	0	0
47	LALITPUR	0	0	0	0	0
48	LUCKNOW	1	9	2	0	3
49	MAHARAJGANJ	0	0	0	0	0
50	MAHOBA	0	0	0	0	0
51	MAINPURI	0	0	0	0	0
52	MATHURA	0	0	0	0	0
53	MAU	2	1	0	0	0
54	MEERUT	0	12	6	0	1
55	MIRZAPUR	0	0	0	0	0
56	MORADABAD	0	13	3	1	0
57	MUZAFFARNAGAR	0	8	2	2	0
58	PILIBHIT	0	0	0	0	0
59	PRATAPGARH	0	0	0	0	0
60	PRAYAGRAJ	8	27	5	5	14
61	RAE BARELI	0	0	0	0	0
62	RAMPUR	0	6	1	0	2
63	SAHARANPUR	0	3	0	0	0
64	SAMBHAL	0	11	4	2	0
65	SANT KABEER NAGAR	0	0	0	0	0
66	SHAHJAHANPUR	0	6	0	0	0
67	SHAMLI	0	0	0	0	0
68	SHRAVASTI	1	0	0	0	0
69	SIDDHARTH NAGAR	0	0	0	0	0
70	SITAPUR	0	0	0	0	0
71	SONBHADRA	0	0	0	0	0
72	SULTANPUR	0	0	0	0	0
73	UNNAO	0	1	1	0	0
74	VARANASI	0	4	2	1	1
	State of Uttar Pradesh	**27**	**223**	**61**	**27**	**40**

ITEM NO.28 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s).1112/2025

SHAHEEN MALIK **Petitioner(s)**

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR. **Respondent(s)**

Date : 27-01-2026 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) :Ms. Sija Nair, Adv.
Mr. Anuj Kapoor, AOR
Mr. Shivom Sethi, Adv.
Mr. Nandeesh Nanda, Adv.
Mr. Arnav Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. Kishan Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Madiah Shahjar, Adv.
Ms. Huda Naaz, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Mrs. Archana Pathak Dave, A.S.G.
Mr. Vaibhav Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Digpaul, Adv.
Ms. Mili Baxi, Adv.
Mr. Anmol Chandan, Adv.
Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Aman Mehta, Adv.
Dr. N. Visakamurthy, AOR

Ms. Manisha Ambwani, AOR

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Mr. Ravi Raghunath, AOR
Ms. Nishtha Jindal, Adv.

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by ARJUN BISHT
Date: 2026.01.28 17:08:51 IST
Reason:

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Abhikalp Pratap Singh, AOR
Ms. Aagam Kaur, Adv.
Ms. Kashish Jain, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Garg, Adv.

Mr. B. Shravanth Shanker, AOR
Ms. Grahita Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. B. Yeshwanth Raj, Adv.

Mr. Sanjai Kumar Pathak, AOR
Mrs. Shashi Pathak, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Tripathi, Adv.
Mr. Robin Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Shweta Jayshankar Dwivedi, Adv.
Ms. Smriti Singh, Adv.

Ms. Abha Sharma, AOR
Mr. Anupam Maurya, Adv.
Mr. Praneet Das, Adv.

Mr. Avneesh Arputham, AOR
Mr. Ankit Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Abhishek, Adv.
Mr. P. Venkatraju, Adv.
Ms. G. Sushmita, Adv.
Mr. Sravan Kumar Karanam, AOR

Mr. Karthik S.D., AOR

Mr. Kunal Chatterji, AOR
Ms. Maitrayee Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. Rohit Bansal, Adv.
Mr. Varij Nayan Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Disha Singh, AOR
Ms. Eliza Bar, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Shrikant Kenjale, AOR
Mr. B. Dhanajay, Adv.

Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., AOR

Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Sr. A.A.G.
Mr. Samar Vijay Singh, AOR
Ms. Sabarni Som, Adv.
Mr. Aman Dev Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Nikunj Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Arya, Adv.
Ms. Ishika Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Eashwar, Adv.
Ms. Richa Verma, Adv.

Mr. Shovan Mishra, AOR

Ms. Anubha Agrawal, AOR

Ms. Radhika Gautam, AOR

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR
Mr. Divyansh Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Saurav, Adv.

Mr. Pavan Kumar, AOR
Mr. Akhlesh Kumar Soni, Adv.
Mr. Neelam, Adv.
Mr. Pushkar Anand, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Ratra, Adv.

Mr. Ambhoj Kumar Sinha, AOR
Ms. Neeti Bhardwaj, Adv.

Ms. Anuradha Arputham, AOR
Mr. Mridul Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Divyakant Lahoti, AOR
Mr. Kartik Lahoti, Adv.
Mr. Adith Menon, Adv.
Ms. Akanksha Soni, Adv.
Ms. Shubheksha Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Maheshwari, Adv.
Ms. Praveena Bisht, Adv.
Ms. Vindhya Mehra, Adv.
Mr. Kumar Vinayakam Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Tripathi, Adv.

Ms. Vandana Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Mohit Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Manan Verma, AOR
Mr. Vikas Negi, Adv.
Mr. Sumit Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Pranjal Tandon, Adv.
Ms. Mansi Diwakar, Adv.

Mr. P. I. Jose, AOR
Ms. P S Chandralekha, Adv.

Ms. K. V. Bharathi Upadhyaya, AOR
Ms. Pritama, Adv.
Ms. Shaivani Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Bipin Vinayak Chandan, Adv.
Mr. Sufyan Hasan, Adv.
Ms. Hema Malik, Adv.

Ms. Nidhi Mittal, AOR
Ms. Jaya Choudhury, Adv.

Mr. Prabir Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR
Mr. Dilip Kumar Chatterjee, Adv.
Mr. Rajit Lal Moitra, Adv.
Mr. Arindam Mukherjee, Adv.
Ms. Oindrila Das, Adv.
Mr. Debomoy Roy, Adv.
Ms. Astha Singh, Adv.

Mr. Avijit Mani Tripathi, AOR
Mr. T.K. Nayar, Adv.

Mr. Sahil Bhalak, AOR
Mr. Tushar Gir, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Anil Khanna, Adv.
Mr. Ritik Arora, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Mihir Joshi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Pursuant to the order dated 04.12.2025, around 17 High Courts have sent the details of pending cases concerning 'Acid Attacks'. It appears that the maximum number of cases, i.e., 198 cases are pending in the State of Uttar Pradesh, followed by 160 pending cases in West Bengal, 114 pending cases in Gujarat, 68 pending cases in Bihar, and 58 pending cases in Maharashtra.

2. Except for the 17 High Courts mentioned in the Office Report, the status reports from other High Courts are still awaited.

3. We request all the High Courts to consider the desirability of taking a decision for expeditious conclusion of the trials in the matters concerning acid attacks, in a time-bound manner and if required, even on an out-of-turn basis.

4. While we have directed the High Courts to expedite and take the trials in the matters concerning acid attacks to their logical conclusion, it is equally incumbent upon the State and all concerned authorities to consider, formulate, and act upon appropriate rehabilitation measures for victims of acid attacks. As such, all the State Legal Services Authorities are directed to submit a report with respect to the scheme, if any, implemented by them for the grant of compensation, rehabilitation and medical aid to the victims of acid attack. If no such specific scheme has been formulated so far, we request the Executive Chairpersons of the State Legal Services Authorities to do the needful and submit a compliance report.

5. In addition, all the States and Union Territories are also directed to furnish the following information:

(i) The incidents of acid attack reported (year-wise).

(ii) Whether charge-sheets in those cases were filed or not?

(iii) How many cases have been decided and how many are still pending at the trial stage?

(iv) How many appeals arising out of the trials of acid attacks are pending?

(v) The brief particulars of each victim of acid attack, their academic qualifications, current employment status, marital status, the medical treatment and the details of the expenditure incurred or committed to be incurred by the State on such treatment.

6. The States are further directed to furnish separate details, including particulars of the victims of forceful ingestion/consumption of acid.

7. The needful shall be done by the State Governments, the High Courts, as well as the State Legal Services Authorities within a period of four weeks.

8. Post the matter on 09.03.2026.

(ARJUN BISHT)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR