

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE LAWYER'S CHAMBERS ALLOTMENT COMMITTEE, SAKET COURTS HELD ON 09.03.2018 IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 3rd FLOOR, SAKET COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI.

In Chair:- Ms. Asha Menon, District & Sessions Judge (South) /Chairperson
Mr. Girish Kathpalia, District & Sessions Judge (SE) /Co-Chairperson
Quorum:- Ms. Renu Bhatnagar, ASJ (SFTC)(South-East)/Member/Officer In-Charge
Mr. Atul Krishna Agrawal, Administrative Civil Judge (S), Member Secretary
Mr. Karnail Singh, President, Saket Bar Association/Member
Mr. Dhir Singh Kasana, Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association/Member

Spl. Invitee:- Mr. Sanjiv Jain, Spl. Judge (PC Act), CBI/Officer In-Charge (LCMC)

I Subsequent Allotment

(A) Representations of Ineligible Applicant Lawyers:-

1. Representation dated 11.01.2018 of Mr. Babu Malayil, Advocate.

2. Representation of Mr. Bhanu Pant, Advocate.

It is noticed by the Committee that in the last meeting held on 16.01.2018, the Member Secretary, LCAC was requested to scrutinize the documents of Mr. Babu Malayil, Advocate and Mr. Bhanu Pant, Advocate.

The report has been submitted by the Member Secretary in a sealed envelope. Same has been opened and no changes in the status of Mr. Babu Malayil has been found. He does not meet the criteria prescribed or expanded by the Committee as he has 12 cases and 03 vakalatnamas.

The representation of Mr. Bhanu Pant will be taken in Agenda Item No.9.

3. Representation dated 08.02.2018 of Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate.

Since the representation of Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate has been submitted beyond the prescribed time limit i.e. 18.11.2017, the Committee is of the unanimous view that it cannot be considered. Hence it is unanimously resolved that his representation be rejected and be filed. He be informed.

4. Representation of Mr. Krishan Paul.

The representation of Mr. Krishan Paul, Advocate has been considered by the Committee whereby it is submitted that he has filed vakalatnama having his signature alongwith signature of his wife and so same should be considered as single signature and he be allotted a chamber.

It is unanimously resolved that Member Secretary, LCAC may confirm whether the vakalatnama is of the wife of the applicant by confirming the identity of the person who has signed the vakalatnama and submit a report in the next meeting. The Office shall call the documents from applicant to establish the identity of his wife and place the same before the Member Secretary, LCAC. The Executive of the Bar may also submit a report in this regard.

5. Representation dated 16.02.2018 of Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate.

Since the representation of Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate has been submitted beyond the prescribed time limit i.e. 18.11.2017, the Committee is of the unanimous view that it cannot be considered. Hence it is unanimously resolved that his representation be rejected and be filed. He be informed.

6. Representation dated 20.02.2018 of Mr. Vishal Singh, Advocate.

Since the representation of Mr. Vishal Singh, Advocate has been submitted beyond the prescribed time limit i.e. 18.11.2017, the Committee is of the unanimous view that it cannot be considered. Hence it is unanimously resolved that his representation be rejected and be filed. He be informed.

(B) Writ Petitions:-

7. Writ Petition filed by Ms. Reena Jain Malhotra, Advocate.

It is apprised by the office that the Writ Petition filed by Ms. Reena Jain Malhotra, Advocate has been dismissed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 12.01.2018. Since the Writ Petition has been dismissed, no further action is required in the matter and the same be deleted from the agenda.

8. Writ filed by Ms. Hnunpuii, Advocate.

It is noticed by the Committee that vide order dated 30.01.2018 in Writ Petition No.831/2018, the Hon'ble High Court directed to ensure that at least one cubicle in any one of the Multiple Chambers will be kept vacant till the next date of hearing i.e. 09.05.2018. Furthermore, it is also directed that in case possession is not given of chambers which are allotted on dual basis, the allotment, if any, will be subject to the final orders passed in the Writ Petition.

The Office is directed to note these directions and to record that the allotments henceforth shall be subject to the final orders passed in the WP (Civil) No.831/2018.

9. Writ Petition No. WP (C) 11180/2017, filed by Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh & Ors. (Including Mohd. Shankeel Khan, Mr. Nanak Chand Saini, Mr. Partheshwar Giri Gorakh, Mr. Om Prakash, Mr. Arun Kaushal, Ms. Sangita, Mr. Rahul Jain, Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Mr. Bhanu Pant & Mr. Kausar Akhtar Khan).

The Writ Petition was filed initially by the Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh & Ors. (Including Mohd. Shankeel Khan, Mr. Nanak Chand Saini, Mr. Partheshwar Giri Gorakh, Mr. Om Prakash, Mr. Arun Kaushal, Ms. Sangita, Mr. Rahul Jain). The applications of Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Mr. Bhanu Pant & Mr. Kausar Akhtar Khan were allowed and they were impleaded as petitioners by orders of the Hon'ble High Court.

In the said Writ Petition, the counsel for the Applicants/Petitioners submits that they would like to take the benefit of the judgment passed in WP (C) 11084/2017 titled Shoeb Shakeel Vs. Lawyers' Chambers Allotment Committee & Anr.

The Hon'ble High Court dismissed the petition in view of the these submissions of the Counsel, with the liberty to approach the Lawyers' Chambers Allotment Committee in accordance with the extant guidelines. It was also made clear that the Hon'ble Court had not examined the veracity of the assertions made on behalf of petitioner.

Representation were received thereafter from Mr. Bhanu Pant, Mr. Kausar Aktar Khan, Mr. Arun Kaushal, Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Mohd. Shakeel Khan, Mr. Om Prakash, Mr. Partheshwar Giri Gorakh and Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh. They were called for personal hearing at this meeting. Two advocates Ms. Sangeeta and Mr. Rahul Jain, who were applicants before the Hon'ble High Court within Writ Petition but were unable to file their representation, were also called by this Committee.

i). Representation dated 02.02.2018 of Mr. Bhanu Pant, Advocate.

Mr. Bhanu Pant, Advocate has been invited to explain his grievance. On scrutiny of the documents i.e. 14 cases & 03 vakalatnama originally submitted by him, it is found by the Committee that in complaint case no.966/2010, Jindle Sanitary & Hardware Vs. Silver Marx, besides vakalatnama bearing multiple signatures, Mr. Bhanu Pant had filed certified copy of final order of the case. Mr. Bhanu Pant has identified the complainant when he had made a statement before the Court acknowledging the settlement. The Committee is therefore, of the unanimous view that on parity with the orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in WP (C) No.11084/2017 titled as Shoeb Shakeel Vs. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee, the representation of Mr. Bhanu Pant can be allowed.

In view of abovesaid observations, the representation of Mr. Bhanu Pant, Advocate has unanimously been accepted. Office is directed to place the seniority of Mr. Bhanu Pant, Advocate in the light of the abovesaid decision to consider allotment of chamber to him.

ii). Representation dated 01.02.2018 of Mr. Kausar Aktar Khan, Advocate.

Mr. Kausar Aktar Khan, Advocate has been invited and he personally examined his documents originally submitted by him with his application. He has not been able to show any error in the decision of the LCAC/ Sub-Committee that he has not satisfied the prescribed criteria as his application was annexed with certified copies of documents in bail applications of same FIR. These have to be considered as one case.

Mr. Kausar Aktar Khan has been apprised of the order of the Hon'ble High Court passed in WP (C) 216/2018 titled Reena Jain Malhotra Vs. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee & Anr. observing that the Lawyers' Chambers Allotment Committee had rightly considered four cases as singular case as they were bail applications relating to the same FIR.

Since Mr. Kausar Aktar Khan, Advocate has failed to fulfill the eligibility criteria, his representation has been duly considered and the Committee unanimously rejects the same.

iii). Representations dated 01.02.2018 & 20.02.2018 of Mr. Arun Kaushal, Advocate.

Mr. Arun Kaushal, Advocate did not turn up despite invitation. However, the Committee rescrutinized his application and found that there is no change in status. His documents disclose that he is main counsel in only 10 cases and other 05 documents submitted by him do not show his appearance. The documents enclosed with his application do not establish any parity with the facts in WP (C) No.11084/2017 titled as Shoeb Shakeel Vs. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee. Accordingly, his representations are unanimously rejected by the Committee.

iv). Representations dated 05.01.2018 and 21.02.2018 of Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Advocate.

Mr. Yogesh Sharma has appeared before the Committee and has personally scrutinized the documents submitted by him at the time of filing of his application. The Committee also scrutinized the same and finds that he has submitted 14 cases and one vakalatnama. But the vakalatnama submitted by Mr. Yogesh Sharma, Advocate cannot be considered due to multiple signatures. Since the applicant has not been able to show parity of his case with the facts in WP (C) No.11084/2017 titled as Shoeb Shakeel Vs. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee nor has he fulfilled the eligibility criteria, his representations are unanimously rejected by the Lawyers' Chambers Allotment Committee.

v). Representation dated 17.02.2018 of Mohd. Shakeel Khan.

Mohd. Shakeel Khan has been called and he expressed his grievance. He has explained the documents submitted by him to show parity with the facts in WP (C) No.11084/2017 titled as Shoeb Shakeel Vs. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee. In view of the same, Committee unanimously decided to consider his representation on the parity with the case of Shoeb Shakeel. The office is directed to place him accordingly his seniority, for consideration of allotment of chamber to him.

vi). Representation dated 06.02.2018 of Mr. Om Prakash, Advcoate.

Representation dated 19.02.2018 of Mr. Partheshwar Giri Gorakh, Advocate

Representation dated 19.02.2018 of Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate.

All the three applicants have appeared turn-wise, in person. Since all the said applicants even after personally scrutinizing the documents submitted along with their applications, have not been able to show their eligibility as also the parity of their case with facts in WP (C) No.11084/2017 titled as Shoeb Shakeel Vs. Lawyers Chambers Allotment Committee, their representations have been duly considered by the Committee and unanimously rejected.

10. Writ Petition filed by Pawan Kumar Jha along with representation dated 16.02.2018

The Committee has been apprised that the Writ Petition filed by the applicant has been disposed of vide order dated 05.02.2018 with the direction to the Lawyers' Chamber Allotment Committee i.e. Respondent No.1 to treat the present Writ Petition as a representation and dispose of the same with a reasoned order expeditiously within six weeks. The applicant was invited in the meeting.

The matter has been deferred as the applicant has been asked to supply the copy of Writ Petition filed by him in the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi so that it can be taken up for consideration in the next meeting.

11. (a). Writ Petition No.89/2018 titled as Bijay Kumar Vs. LCAC

It is noted by the Committee that the said Writ Petition was dismissed vide order dated 31.01.2018 for non-prosecution. No further action is required in the matter and hence same be deleted from the agenda.

(b). Representation dated 20.01.2018 of Mr. Bijay Kumar.

With regard to the representation filed by Mr. Bijay Kumar, Advocate, the Committee finds that even on scrutiny, he does not meet the eligibility criteria. Hence his representation is rejected by the Committee unanimously.

12. Writ Petition filed by Mr. Jagat Singh Nagar along with representation dated 20.02.2018.

As per the directions of the Hon'ble High Court, the copies of his application form along with annexures were supplied to him. He submitted a representation dated 20.02.2018. However, on rescrutiny by the Committee, it is clear, he does not fulfill the eligibility criteria as in two cases, he did not annexe any documents in support and in the 3rd case, the documents filed are from the court of Deputy Commissioner/Collector. In a 4th case, he submitted the vakalatnama with multiple signatures. Since he does not fulfill the eligibility criteria having only 11 valid "cases", his representation is unanimously rejected by the Committee.

(C). Representation of Eligible Applicant Lawyers:-

13. Representation dated 03.02.2018 of Mr. Rajnish Kumar, Advocate.

It is informed by the office that in view of the decision taken vide minutes of meeting held on 05.09.2017, seniority list was prepared as per SBA and seniority in enrolment. As per the seniority list of SBA, the seniority of applicant lawyer is 00393. Date of Membership of SBA of the applicant is 01.12.2010 i.e. common date of registration for founder members. The date of Membership of BCD is 18.12.2014.

It is further reported that advocate whose name is reflected immediately above the name of Mr. Rajnish Kumar i.e. Mr. Sumit Khari is also a founder Member with date of SBA

membership is 01.12.2010. But the date of registration with BCD is 30.11.2014. The advocate whose name is mentioned immediately below Mr. Rajnish Kumar i.e. Mr. Azad Ali is also a founder Member and date of SBA membership is 01.12.2010. But his registration with BCD is 15.02.2015.

Accordingly, the date of SBA membership being the same i.e. 01.12.2010, the seniority in the list is placed as per registration with BCD. The Committee finds that there is no error in the said list and also unanimously rejects the representation of Mr. Rajnish Kumar.

(D). Representation with regard to List D-21.

14. (a) Complaint dated 18.12.2017 of Mr. Rajeev Verma, Advocate.

Complaint dated 18.12.2017 received from Mr. Rajeev Verma, Advocate objecting offer of allotment given to applicant lawyers namely Mr. Braham Prakash Dhalla, Mohd. Asif, Mr. Kamal Deep and Mr. Ashok Kumar Kotnala in List D-21, was considered by the Committee in the meeting held on 16.01.2018 and the response of advocates were directed to be called.

However, on 13.02.2018, Mr. Rajeev Verma, Advocate has withdrawn his complaint dated 18.12.2017. No further action is required in the matter and hence same be deleted from the agenda.

(b). Joint complaint dated 18.12.2017 of Mr. Krishan Pal Baisoya, Mr. Rajesh Kumar and Mr. Vinod Kumar against Mr. Ashok Kotnala, advocate.

With regard to complaint against Mr. Ashok Kotnala, response has been received from him whereby he submitted that he had only a seat in the B.S. Mehta Square, Patiala House Courts, which was transferred to Mr. Parveen by the NDBA. It was also submitted that seat in the B.S. Mehta Square, Patiala House Court has not been considered as chamber.

Since the seat has not been considered as chamber, there is no weight in the joint complaint against Mr. Ashok Kotnala, Advocate and hence the Committee unanimously resolved to reject the same.

15. Complaint dated 01.02.2018 of Mr. Vinod Kumar Sharma, Advocate against Mr. B.R. Sharma, Advocate

It is noticed by the Committee that an earlier complaint dated 15.12.2017 was considered by the Committee in its meeting held on 16.01.2018 and it was unanimously resolved that since Mr. B.R. Sharma has not given his response to the notice of offer of allotment in the prescribed time, no further action was called.

Now it is apprised to the Committee by the office that Mr. B.R. Sharma, Advocate has submitted his acceptance letter and affidavit on 20.02.2018 although the last date for submission of said acceptance for chamber allotment was 19.12.2017. It is further informed by the office that LCAC vide minutes dated 16.01.2018 has rejected candidature of Mr. B.R. Sharma, Advocate and offered allotment to the next senior in the eligibility list.

Since the candidature of Mr. B.R. Sharma has already been rejected by the Committee when no acceptance was submitted by him, the Committee unanimously resolves that now his belated acceptance cannot be held to be valid and further resolves that Mr. B.R. Sharma cannot be allotted a chamber. The fresh complaint of Mr. Vinod Sharma dated 01.02.2018 calls for no further action accordingly, as his grievance has been addressed.

16. Representation of Mr. Naushad Ali for change of chamber.

Mr. Naushad Ali, 2nd allottee of Chamber No.534 has requested to change his chamber to a lower floor due to age factor and difficulty in climbing the stairs.

It is informed by the office that first slot in chamber in 257 is available for allotment. Hence it is unanimously resolved that chamber No.257 be allotted to Mr. Naushad Ali on double occupancy basis. Accordingly **List E-28** (Subsequent Allotment) has been prepared and same be published as per past practice.

17. Representation of Mr. Ashish Kumar Sharma, Advocate, allottee of Chamber No.437.

The representation for change of chamber of Mr. Ashish Kumar Sharma, allottee of Chamber No.437 to chamber No.711 has been considered by the Committee. Mr. Ashish Kumar Sharma has also enclosed the consent of of 1st allottee in Chamber No.711.

The office has informed that 2nd slot in Chamber No.711 is allotted to Ms. Vandana Kehlon, who has not given any consent to change of her chamber.

Hence the representation of Mr. Ashish Kuamr Sharma cannot be considered for want of consent from Ms. Vandana Kehlon.

18. Representation of Ms. Pooja Bhasin, Advocate, 1st allottee of chamber No.455 for change of chamber of her co-allottee

Mr. Pooja Bhasin 1st allottee of chamber No.455 has requested that Ms. Suman Sharma be allotted chamber No.786 in place of Ms. Mir Baby Lal the 2nd allottee in that chamber and allot 2nd slot in chamber No.455 to Ms. Mir Baby Lal in place of her co-allottee Ms. Suman Sharma.

The office has reported that Ms. Mir Baby Lal has not given any consent to change her chamber. Office has put up a letter dated 09.03.2018 received from Ms. Suman Sharma, Advocate requesting for change of her chamber or for permission to partition her presently allotted Chamber no.455 on double occupancy basis.

After extensive discussions, it is unanimously resolved by the Committee that a vertical temporary wooden partition be created in chamber No.455 under the supervision of Asstt. Engineer (Civil), PWD, the Caretaker and Mr. P.K. Saxena, Branch In-Charge (LCAC) to divide it equally between the two allottees of chamber No.455. It is further made clear to the allottees that the cost of partition would be recovered from both the allottees.

The office has apprised the Committee that there are adjustment problems between Ms. Sangeeta Batra and Ms. Ritu Mishra, Allottees of Chamber No.644, who have made allegations against each other. It is unanimously resolved that a vertical temporary wooden partition be also created in chamber No.644 under the supervision of Asstt. Engineer (Civil), PWD, the Caretaker and Mr. P.K. Saxena, Branch In-Charge (LCAC) to divide the chamber equally between the two allottees. The Committee unanimously resolves that the cost of partition would be recovered from all the allottees in these two chambers. .

19. Representation dated 16.02.2018 of Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sachdeva for change of chamber.

Representation was considered. Since no reasonable grounds are found for effecting a change of chamber, the representation of Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sachdeva is unanimously rejected by the Committee.

20. Representation dated 20.02.2018 of Ms. Neelam for change of chamber.

Ms. Neelam has requested to change her chamber from 817 to chamber No.654 and she has also enclosed the NOC of Mr. Dinesh Prasad, 2nd allottee in chamber No.654.

The Office has reported that 1st slot in Chamber No.654 is available for allotment due to demise of allottee, hence the request of Ms. Neelam is accepted and she has been allotted chamber No.654 on double occupancy basis. Accordingly her name be incorporated in **List E-28** (Subsequent Allotment).

21. Representation dated 22.02.2018 of Mr. Kalimur Rehman for change of chamber.

Representation was considered. Since no reasonable grounds are found for the change of chamber, the representation of Mr. Kalimur Rehman is unanimously rejected by the Committee.

22. Joint representation of Mr. Arzoo Kamal and Mr. Sher Afgon for change of chamber.

Joint representation of both the Advocates has been considered by the Committee and unanimously allowed. Accordingly they are allotted Chamber No.850 on double occupancy basis.

Since Mr. Arzoo Kamal was 1st allottee in chamber No.535 and was allotted chamber in Initial Allotment, he has been allotted 1st Slot of Chamber No.850 and the slot will be treated as Initial Allotment. Similarly, since Mr. Sher Afgon was 1st allottee in Chamber No.671 and was allotted chamber in Subsequent Allotment, he has been allotted 2nd Slot in Chamber No.850 and the slot will be treated as Subsequent Allotment.

Accordingly, **List E-29** (Initial Allotment) is prepared & name of Mr. Arzoo Kamal, Advocate is incorporated therein. Name of Mr. Sher Afgon has been incorporate in **List E-28** (Subsequent Allotment).

23. Complaint dated 01.02.2018 of Mr. Wazir Haider Zaidi, Advocate against allotment of chamber to Mr. J.C. Kaushik, Advocate.

After discussion, since Committee does not find any merit in the complaint, same has been unanimously rejected.

II. Initial Allotment:-

24. Representation of Mr. Sidhartha Mohan regarding allotment of chamber.

Deferred.

25. Representation of ineligible applicants

Deferred.

26. Vacant Chamber:-

Vacancy report submitted by the office is noted by the Committee.

It is noticed by the Committee that vide representation dated 09.02.2018, Ms. Vimmi Mahant, Advocate 2nd allottee of chamber No.676 has requested for change of chamber from 676 to 330. She has also enclosed the consent of Mr. Rajesh Kumar Patoha, Advocate, 1st allottee of Chamber No.330.

The office has reported that one slot in chamber No.330 is available for allotment on double occupancy basis. The Members of the Committee unanimously resolve to allot the 2nd slot in chamber No.330 to Ms. Vimmi Mahant, Advocate in view of the 2nd slot in chamber No.676 (on double occupancy basis) already allotted to her.

It is also noticed by the Committee that in meeting held on 16.01.2018, the office has reported that one slot in Chamber No.330, on double occupancy basis, (now one slot in chamber No.676 after allowing the request of Ms. Vimmi Mahant) and one slot in Chamber No.666 are available for allotment under Initial Allotment. In order to save the time, the office was directed to inform the next six senior most persons as reflected in list C-1 (under the Initial Allotment) to submit the application as per format along with requisite documents and to place the same for further decision before the Committee in the next meeting.

In this regard the office has reported that letters of offer was sent to Ms. Vineeta Narender Kumar, Mr. Amit Kumar Basoya, Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Ms. Bhaswati Anukampa, Mr. Muqeem Ahmad and Mr. Mukesh Chand Sharma.

However, in response, documents have been received from Mr. Amit Kumar Basoya, Mr. Rajesh Kumar & Mr. Muqeem Ahmad only, whereas, the letter sent to Ms. Vineeta Narender Kumar at the two addresses provided by her have been received back unserved with the remarks of postman, "Addressee left without address" and "No such person in address" and the letter sent through Process Server has also remained unserved.

Letter sent to Ms. Bhaswati Anukampa, Advocate and Mr. Mukesh Chand were duly served through Process Server. However, no documents have been received from them yet.

The Office has reported that one Chamber No.666 which was earlier reported to be on double occupancy basis is actually a single occupancy chamber.

After discussions it is unanimously resolved that Chamber No.666 be reserved under the category of Retired Judicial Officer and one slot earlier reserved under that category i.e. one slot in chamber No.780 be made available for allotment to advocates in general category.

Office has also put up vacation report of Ist slot in Chamber No. 562 (Double Occupancy Basis) and Ist slot in Chamber No. 654 (Double Occupancy Basis) now one slot in chamber No.817 after allowing the request of Ms. Neelam in item No.20. Same be taken on record.

Consequent thereof four slots were available for allotment to applicant lawyers of "Initial allotment" phase.

In these circumstances, the committee unanimously resolves to offer them allotment of 2nd slot in chamber No.751(Double Occupancy Basis) to Mr. Amit Kumar Basoya (NDBA No.3821), 2nd slot in Chamber No.676 (Double Occupancy Basis) to Mr. Rajesh Kumar (NDBA No.3824) and 2nd slot in Chamber No. 562 (Double Occupancy Basis) to Mr. Muqem Ahmad (NDBA No.3829). Accordingly, **List D-23** (Initial Allotment) prepared and be published as per past practice.

For remaining one slot office is directed to inform the next three senior most persons as reflected in list C-1 (under the Initial Allotment) to submit the application as per format along with requisite documents and to place the same for further decision before the Committee in the next meeting.

27. Representation dated 06.07.2017 of Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate 1st allottee of Chamber No.216 .

Since no chamber has been left on single occupancy basis, the representation of Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate be filed and same be deleted from the agenda.

28. Letter of Mr. Karan Saluja, Advocate.

Letter of Mr. Karan Saluja, Advocate has been considered by the Committee whereby he requested transfer of Chamber No.219 in his name which was allotted to Mr. Rajan Saluja who has passed away.

As per the report from Genl. Admn. Branch & General Branch, no intimation has been received regarding death of Mr. Rajan Saluja, Allottee of Chamber No.219 (Single Occupancy Basis) and Licence Fees & Maintenance Charges of the said chamber has been received upto October, 2017.

The Hony. Secretary, SBA has been requested to verify the same and submit the report.

III. Allotment of Chamber under Category reserved for Retired Judicial Officer:-

29. Fresh application for allotment of chamber of Mr. Harnam Singh Sharma.

Deferred.

IV. Category reserved for physically Challenged Persons:-

30. Application dated 11.03.2016 received from Mr. Satyevir Singh Grewal, Advocate.

It is noticed by the Committee that vide representation dated 16.02.2018, the applicant has submitted that he has been called alongwith original certificate at the Rehabilitation OPD at Safdarjung Hospital and his Disability Certificate was verified and the same will be dispatched to this office through post.

The Office has reported that vide letter dated 19.02.2018, report has been received from Safdarjung Hospital in respect to Mr. Satyavir Singh Grewal, Advocate through Speed post which reflected 75% locomotive disability verified.

It is unanimously resolved to offer Mr. Satyavir Singh Grewal for allotment of first slot in chamber No. 112 on double occupancy basis under the reserve category for Physically Challenged Persons. Accordingly **List FF-1/E** is prepared and same be published as per past practice.

31. Application of Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate

The Office has reported that Mr. Arun Kumar has submitted requisite certified copies of cases to support his candidature vide his letter dated 26.02.2018. It is unanimously resolved to allot first slot of chamber No. 184 to Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate on double occupancy basis under the reserve category for Physically Challenged Persons. Accordingly **List GG-6** is prepared and same be published as per past practice.

32. Representation dated 25.01.2018 & 12.02.2018 of Mr. Rajeev Nandan Singh Advocate.

Mr. Rajeev Nandan, Advocate in his representation dated 12.02.2018 stated that he along with his associates have been utilizing chamber No.182 since 2014, as an associate of previous allottee namely Mr. Y.P. Singh and have spent big amount in this chamber as tiles flooring, false ceiling, lighting doors, etc. and has requested to allot the said chamber to him.

The office has reported that Chamber No.182 was previously allotted to Mr. Y.P. Singh as 1st allottee and Mr. Raj Kumar Raju as 2nd allottee but both the allottees have already surrendered the said chamber on 07.11.2016 & 29.06.2017 respectively. It is further submitted by the office that now the said chamber has already been allotted to Mr. Janmejy Barik as 1st allottee and Mr. Mirza Shahe Alam Baig as 2nd allottee vide minutes of meeting dated 16.01.2018.

Since the chamber has already been allotted, the representation dated 12.02.2018 of Mr. Rajeev Nandan is unanimously declined by the Committee.

With regard to representation dated 25.01.2018 of Mr. Rajeev Nandan for allotment of chamber under the Physically Challenged Persons, the same will be considered after due scrutiny.

33. Representation for change of chamber.

Vide representation dated 29.01.2018, Mr. Mirza Shahe Alam Baig, 2nd Allottee of Chamber No.182 has requested to allot chamber No. 182 on Single Occupancy Basis under Physically Challenged Category as 3 seats are still vacant. He has also requested to transfer his partner/co-allottee to another chamber.

Since the said chamber has been allotted on double occupancy basis, the representation of Mr. Mirza Shahe Alam Baig cannot be considered and hence is unanimously rejected by the Committee.

V. Non-Payment of Dues:-

34. Representation dated 15.11.2017 of Mr. Wahid Hussain, Advocate.

35. Representation of Mohd. Sadiq Advocate, 2nd Allottee of Chamber No.315.

36. Representation dated 21.11.2017 from Mr. Shyam Phool Bhati, 2nd Allottee of Chamber No.485.

All the above said agendas are Deferred.

VI. Miscellaneous Letters:-

37. Copy of report of ACJ's received on 02.07.2016 regarding inspection of Lawyers Chambers Block.

38. Arrangement of Electricity connection for office & library of SBA.

39. Report of ACJ (South) regarding various shops in Lawyers Chamber Block.

40. Letters of Mr. Ayub, Advocate and Mohd. Nauman Khan.

41. Letter dated 01.02.2017 received from Hony. Secretary, SBA for allotment of Halls situated on different floors at Lawyers' Chambers Block.

42. Letter dated 09.11.2017 of Director, Department of Women & Child Development regarding Chamber No.203 at Lawyer's Chambers Block.

43. Undated complaint received from Mr. S.K. Tiwari, Advocate, 2nd allottee of chamber No.576 against his co-allottee Mr. S.P. Tripathi.

All the above said agendas are Deferred.

Additional Agenda with permission to Chair:-

1. Complaint dated 07.03.2018 received from Mr. Akshay Kumar Angrish & Mohd. Zahid Iqbal 1st & 2nd allottee of Chamber No.739 against Mr. M.S. Khan regarding unlawful possession of their chamber.

Matter has been considered and unanimously resolved that the matter will be addressed at the earliest. Both allottees had appeared before the Committee personally with request for handing over possession of chamber No.739 to them soon.

2. Representation dated 07.03.2018 of Ms. Deepika Kaushik for reconsideration of her application for allotment of chamber.

Since the representation of Ms. Deepika Kaushik, Advocate has been submitted beyond the prescribed time limit i.e. 18.11.2017, the representation has been rejected and be filed.

3. Representation dated 28.02.2018 of Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate.

Taken up with agenda item no. 9 (vi).

4. Representation dated 08.03.2018 of Mr. Rakesh Malhotra, 2nd allottee of Chamber No.551 for change of chamber.

Since no reasonable grounds are found for change of chamber, the representation of Mr. Rakesh Malhotra, 2nd allottee of chamber no. 551 is unanimously rejected.

5. Representation dated 08.03.2018 of Mr. Hari Shankar Sisodia, Advocate.

Representation of Mr. Hari Shankar Sisodia, Advocate for change of his chamber from 683 to 751 has been considered and declined unanimously by the Committee as he did not enclose the consent of 1st allottee of chamber No.751.

6. Complaint of Mr. Satish Sharma, Advocate regarding trespassing in unallotted chambers & Store Rooms.

The matter has been discussed with Mr. Sanjiv Jain, Chairperson, Lawyers Chambers Monitoring Committee.

The Chairperson, LCMC has been requested to submit a report regarding chambers/halls/stores which are unauthorizedly occupied by the advocates. He assured to submit the same within a week.

7. Complaint dated 08.03.2018 received from Mr. Mashih Alam, 1st Allottee and Mohd. Rashid, 2nd Allottee of Chamber No.639 against Ms. Prem Lata, Advocate.

It is informed by the President and Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Associates that Chamber No.639 has been got vacated and same has been handed over to Mr. Mashih Alam and Mohd. Rashid. Since no further action is required in the matter, same be deleted from the agenda.

8. Complaint against Mr. Kuldeep Singh Tomar, Advocate, 2nd allottee of Chamber No.447.

Deferred.

9. Letter dated 06.03.2018 signed by the various Advocates regarding joint allotment of seats in one Multiple Occupancy Chamber.

Deferred.

10. Allotment against List D-22 issued by Minutes of Meeting dated 16.01.2018.

Deferred.

11. Letter dated 09.03.2018 of Ms. Suman Sharma regarding Chamber No.455.

Taken up with agenda item no. 18.

The meeting ended with vote of thanks.

Submitted for confirmation

sd/-

(Atul Krishna Agrawal)

Administrative Civil Judge (South)/
Member Secretary

Mr. Dhir Singh Kasana, Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association/Member sd/-

Mr. Karnail Singh, President, Saket Bar Association/Member sd/-

Ms. Renu Bhatnagar, ASJ (SFTC)(South-East)/Member/Officer In-Charge sd/-

Mr. Girish Kathpalia, District & Sessions Judge (SE) /Co-Chairperson sd/-

Ms. Asha Menon, District & Sessions Judge (South) /Chairperson sd/-

Office of District Judge (South), Saket, New Delhi.
List of applicants being offered provisional allotment of chamber in INITIAL ALLOTMENT PHASE subject to conditions*

List D-23
As per minutes
dt. 09.03.2018

S.No.	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant.	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Seniority as per NDBA record	Member-ship No. with SBA.	Chamber No. at PHC as per NDBA Record.	Remarks
1	2062	SH. AMIT KUMAR BESOYA	SH. CHANDER PAL	89, GARHI, PRAKASH MOHALLA, EAST OF KAILASH, NEW DELHI-110065	D/1005/07	3821	A-446	NA	OFFERED 2nd SLOT IN CHAMBER NO.751 ON DOUBLE OCCUPANCY BASIS
2	247	SH. RAJESH KUMAR	SH. ROOP CHAND	H.NO. A-174/1, CHATTARPUR ENCLAVE, PHASE-II, NEW DELHI-110074	D/2286/08	3824	R-365	NA	OFFERED 2nd SLOT IN CHAMBER NO. 676 ON DOUBLE OCCUPANCY BASIS
3	1411	SH. MUQEEM AHMED	SH. JALALUDDIN	H.NO. C-108, 4TH FLOOR, FRONT SIDE, SHAHEEN BAGH, ABUL FAZAL ENCLAVE, PART-II, JAMIA NAGAR, NEW DELHI-110025	D/1036/04	3829	M-750	NA	OFFERED 2nd SLOT IN CHAMBER NO. 562 ON DOUBLE OCCUPANCY BASIS

*As per minutes dated 09.03.2018.

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE (SOUTH)
(LAWYERS' CHAMBERS ALLOTMENT CELL)
Room No. 401, Administrative Block, District Courts Complex
Saket, New Delhi – 110 017.

Notice

Date:24.03.2018

Subject:- **Initial allotment of Lawyers' Chambers in Lawyers' Chamber Block, District Court Complex, Saket, New Delhi.**

Whereas, applications were invited vide notice published on 16.05.2011 for initial allotment of lawyers' chambers in Lawyers Chambers Block in District Court Complex, Saket, New Delhi in accordance with the Saket District Court Lawyers' Chambers (Allotment and Occupancy) Rules, 2010 (hereinafter referred to as the "Allotment Rules") followed by notices issued/published from time to time, *inter alia*, indicating the availability of 666 chambers in three categories, including 240 chambers on single occupancy basis, 412 chambers on double occupancy basis and 14 chambers available on multiple (6 per chamber) occupancy basis;

And whereas, due to availability of three slots in Double Occupancy Chambers by advocate, the same are available and to be allotted on double occupancy basis.

And whereas, *inter alia*, on the basis of further scrutiny, the Allotment Committee has prepared **List D – 23** containing name of Three (03) advocates and has decided to provisionally offer them allotment of chamber.

And whereas, in view of the decision of the Allotment Committee in the meeting held on 09.03.2018, three applicants included in **List D – 23**, have been found provisionally eligible, are hereby offered allotment of chamber at Saket on **double occupancy basis**, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The applicants included in **List D – 23** shall surrender the chamber in their possession, if any, at Patiala House Courts Complex, as may be /have been declared by him in his application, as mentioned in the **List D – 23** in terms of the Allotment Rules to the District Judge (New Delhi) **on or before 31.03.2018** and obtain a certificate (specifying, amongst others, the full description of the chamber, its size and if held on sharing basis, with full details) of such surrender, to be submitted in original along with acceptance of this provisional & conditional offer, to the office of District Judge (South) **within the period specified as above**;
- (ii) The advocate being offered the allotment as above shall furnish along with letter of acceptance an affidavit, *inter alia*, affirming the following (duly supported by attested copies of requisite documents):-
 - (a) The enrollment with Bar Council of Delhi;
 - (b) Membership of New Delhi Bar Association;
 - (c) Membership of Saket Bar Association;-
 - (d) That aside from the chamber at Patiala House Court Complex (if any) as

declared, neither he nor his spouse have ever acquired, built, been allotted or have been in possession of any space or chamber in any Courts Complex in Delhi;

(e) Copy of original letter of allotment of chamber by NDBA at Patiala House;

(f) That there is no dispute of any nature with regard to the chamber being surrendered;

(g) Certificate from NDBA about there being no dispute of any kind concerning the chamber being surrendered and that all dues in such regard have been cleared by the advocate surrendering the chamber at Patiala House.

(iii) Declaration as to whether the chamber being surrendered is held singly or jointly or whether it has been created as additional space in basement or alongside or at the level of upper floor or whether the existing chamber originally allotted is being shared with/without permission/authorisation of competent authority;

(iv) Declaration that all the co-sharers/co-allottees or those sharing/holding possession of partitioned space or space additionally created below/over/alongside the chamber are submitting No Objection in favour of the applicant for the allotment to be given in the name of applicant only (with such undertaking to be separately obtained and attached);

(v) In case of spouse of the applicant being practising advocate and also an applicant, both spouses surrendering the space/chamber in their respective possession and agreeing to joint allotment of one unit at Saket Courts Complex, with undertaking of the spouse also attached surrendering his/her independent claim for such allotment;

(vi) It is made clear that in case the chamber is not surrendered or compliance with the above conditions is not made **on or before 31.03.2018**, the provisional offer of allotment of chamber of **double occupancy basis** shall stand withdrawn/forfeited forthwith and without any further notice;

(vii) The above-mentioned date, i.e. **31.03.2018** shall not be extended under any circumstances nor any representations for such purposes shall be entertained; and

(viii) Upon compliance being made as above, the Allotment Committee shall take up the claims of the advocates included in **List D-23**, in order of seniority, for specific allotment, subject to confirmation on basis of documents submitted and further formalities being complied with.

And whereas, the Allotment Committee has decided to publish the aforementioned **List D – 23** on the website of Delhi District Courts for information of all concerned;

And now, therefore, the aforesaid list is hereby published and can also be downloaded from the website of Delhi District Courts (<http://delhidistrictcourts.nic.in>), and Saket District Courts (<http://ecourts.gov.in/south-delhi/lcac>)

Issued under directions of, for and on behalf of the Allotment Committee.

Sd/-
(ATUL KRISHNA AGRAWAL)
Member-Secretary
Lawyers' Chambers Allotment Committee
District Court Complex, Saket, New Delhi

No. LCAC/Saket/2018/2336-2357

Dated:24.03.2018

Copy to:

1. The Registrar General, High Court of Delhi, New Delhi with the request to give wide publicity by being put up on the notice boards of the High Court.
2. The District & Sessions Judges (HQ), North District; West District; New Delhi District; East District; North-East District; Shahdara District; North-West District; South-West District and South-East District, Delhi & New Delhi with the request to give wide publicity by being put up on the notice boards of their respective District Courts Complex.
3. The Honorary Secretary, Supreme Court Bar Association, Supreme Court Complex, New Delhi with the request to give wide publicity by being put up on the notice boards.
4. The Honorary Secretary, Delhi High Court Bar Association, High Court Complex, New Delhi with the request to give wide publicity by being put up on the notice boards.
5. The Honorary Secretary, Saket Bar Association, Saket Court Complex, New Delhi with the request to give wide publicity by being put up on the notice boards.
6. The Honorary Secretary, Delhi Bar Association, Tis Hazari Court Complex; New Delhi Bar Association, Patiala House Court Complex; Shahdara Bar Association, Karkardooma Court Complex; Rohini Bar Association, Rohini Court Complex, and Dwarka Bar Association, Dwarka Court Complex, Delhi & New Delhi with the request to give wide publicity by being put up on the notice boards.
7. The Caretaker, Saket Court Complex, New Delhi with the directions to display on the notice boards of Saket Court Complex, New Delhi.

Sd/-
(ATUL KRISHNA AGRAWAL)
Member-Secretary
Lawyers' Chambers Allotment Committee
District Court Complex, Saket, New Delhi

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE : SOUTH DISTT : NEW DELHI
Recommendations of the Allotment Committee for Subsequent Allotment of Chambers (on Double Occupancy Basis) at Saket, subiet to conditions"

S.No.	Chamber no.	ALLOTTEE NO. 1					ALLOTTEE NO. 2				
		Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per SBA record	S.No of List D	Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per SBA record	S. No. Of List D
0001	257	NAUSHAD ALI	663	D-406A/1986	36	D-21/10	GAJINDER KUMAR	Already Allotted in Initial Allotment Phase		D-7/77	
0002	654	NEELAM	062	D-914/2000	250	D-21/84	DINESH PRASAD	Already Allotted in Subsequent Allotment Phase		D-21/30	
0003	850	ARZOO KAMAL	Already Allotted in Initial Allotment Phase (E-29)			D-7/367	SHER AFGON	00768	D-3415/1999	227	D-21/74

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE : SOUTH DISTT : NEW DELHI

Recommendations of the Allotment Committee in Initial Allotment Phase of Chambers (on Double Occupancy Basis) at Saket, subject to conditions*

S.No.	Chamber no.	ALLOTTEE NO. 1					ALLOTTEE NO. 2				
		Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per SBA record	S.No of List D	Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per SBA record	S. No. of List D
0001	330	RAJESH KUMAR PATOHA	Already Allotted in Initial Allotment Phase		D-7/114	VIMMI MAHANT	1246	D-638/99	3477	D-9/48	
0002	850	ARZOO KAMAL	804	D-501/03	2232	D-7/367	SHER AFGON	Allotted in Subsequent Allotment Phase (E-28)		D-21/74	

*As per MoM dtd. 09.03.2018 - Names shown in bold are new allottees

Office of District and Sessions Judge (South), Saket, ND.

Subsequent Amended Seniority List of Advocates as per SBA Enrolment for "Subsequent Allotment" of Chambers at Saket Court Complex.

S. No.	Sn.Rev. SBA	Applica-tion Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)	S/D/W/O (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)	Address of the applicant	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi	Date of initial enrolment with Bar Council (YYYY.MM.DD)	Date of enrolment with other Bar Council (YYYY.MM.DD)	Member-ship No. with SBA	Date of becoming Member of SBA (YYYY.MM.DD)	Existing Chamber No. and location as declared by applicant	Whether still in control or parted with possession of or surrendered the chamber with date of surrender	Whether spouse has been allotted, acquired or is in possession of chamber. (Y/N)	Whether spouse/ children has applied or is applying anywhere	Remarks	Office Report
39-A	00110	00241	MOHD. SHAKEEL KHAN	MOHD. AMIR KHAN	A-17, KHARAK CHHATTARPUR, NEW DELHI-110074	D-904/94	1994-10-27	NA	M-567	2010-12-01	NA	NA	NA	NA		15 Cases (amended vide MoM dtd. 09.03.2017)
162-A	00481	00652	BHANU PANT	B.C. PANT	J-3/25, DDA FLATS, KALKAJI, NEW DELHI	D/2643/06	2006-12-23	NA	B-111	2010-12-01	NA	NA	NA	NA		15 Cases (amended vide MoM dtd. 09.03.2018)

Note: In compliance to Hon'ble High Court's decision in Writ Petition No. 11180/17 titled as Manoj Kumar Singh & Ors. Vs. LCAC, the application of the abovenamed applicant lawyers were re-scrutinized and are included in Amended Seniority List of Eligible Applicant Lawyers published vide MoM dated 27.11.2017. Sh. Mohd. Shakeel Khan is placed immediate after SI. No. 39 & Sh. Bhanu Pant is placed immediate after SI. No. 162 vide Minutes of Meeting dated 09.03.2018. Seniority of applicant lawyers in the Amended Seniority List of Eligible Advocates shall shift accordingly.

O/o District and Sessions Judge (South) Saket, New Delhi (LCAC).
List of Physically Challenged Advocate, who have applied for Chamber under Rule 8(i) of Allotment Rules.

List CC2/E
Mts. dt. 09.03.2018

S. No.	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant. Mr./Ms./Miss	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Member-ship No. with SBA.	Nature of Disability.	Percentage of disability.	Documentary proof of disability and extent	Whether allotted or in possession of Chamber at any Complex in the name of self. (Y/N)	Whether spouse allotted or in possession of chamber. (Y/N)	Remarks
001	020	SATYEVIR SINGH GREWAL	SH. HIRANAND RAM GREWAL	FLAT NO. 6117/8, SANTUSHTHI APPTT., D-6, VASANT KUNJ, NEW DELHI-110070	D/2251/2013	S-1895	1. Bill Sensorineuran Hearing Loss (Post Operative) & ID 2. Locomotor disability.	1(a).100% 2(a). 75%	1(b). Copy of letter 21.09.2000 issued by Under Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi. 2(b). Disability certificate issued on Form IV by VMCC & Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi	NO	NO	Pursuant to directions in MoM dated 27.11.2017, a letter dated 08.01.2018 was sent to the Medical Suptt, Safdarjung Hospital to submit verification report in respect of Sh. Satyevir Singh Grewal. Vide letter dated 19.02.2018, Safdarjung Hospital has submitted the verification report in respect of Certificate no. 282537 dated 28.07.2017 issued to Sh. Satyevir Singh Grewal.
002	026	ARUN KUMAR	GIAN PRAKASH	H.NO.53, GHITORNI, DELHI-110030	D/1132/11	A-1378	Permanent hyscial impairment in relation to Right Lower Limb	45%	Copy of disability certificate issued by the Office of the Medical Superintendent, Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi dated 04.09.1999.	NO	NO	Pursuant to direction in MoM dated 16.01.2018, Sh. Arun Kumar was asked to submit the certified copy of the cases to support his candidature. Vide letter dated 26.02.2018, Sh. Arun Kumar has submitted the certified copies of the cases in support of his candidature.

Prepared by
(Brij Pal Singh)

Checked by
(Mr. P.K. Saxena)

O/o District and Sessions Judge (South) Saket, ND.
Application received from Physically Challenged Advocate for allotment of Chamber at Saket.

List FF1/G
Mts. dt. 09.03.2018

S. No.	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant. Mr./Ms./Miss	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Member-ship No. with SBA.	Nature of Disability.	Percentage of disability.	Documentary proof of disability and extent	Whether allotted or in possession of Chamber at any Complex in the name of self. (Y/N)	Whether spouse allotted or in possession of chamber. (Y/N)	Decision of the Committee.
001	020	SATYEVIR SINGH GREWAL	SH. HIRANAND RAM GREWAL	FLAT NO. 6117/8, SANTUSHTHI APPTT., D-6, VASANT KUNJ, NEW DELHI-110070	D/2251/2013	S-1895	1. Bill Sensorineuran Hearing Loss (Post Operative) & ID 2. Locomotor disability.	1(a).100% 2(a). 75%	1(b). Copy of letter 21.09.2000 issued by Under Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi. 2(b). Disability certificate issued on Form IV by VMCC & Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi	NO	NO	Recommended to be allotted a chamber on double occupancy sharing basis against category specified in rule 8(i) of Allotment Rules.
002	026	ARUN KUMAR	GIAN PRAKASH	H.NO.53, GHITORNI, DELHI-110030	D/1132/11	A-1378	Permanent hysical impariment in relation to Right Lower Limb	45%	Copy of disability certificate issued by the Office of the Medical Superintendent, Safdarjang Hospital, New Delhi dated 04.09.1999.	NO	NO	Alloted chamber.

**Office of District and Sessions Judge (South), Saket, ND.
(LCAC)**

List GG-6
Mts. dt. 09.03.2018.

Recommendations of the Allotment Committee for allotment of Chambers under Rule 8(i) at Saket, subject to conditions.*

		Allottee No 1					Allottee No. 2				
S. No.	Chamber No.	Name of the Applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Application Sr. No.	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Membership of SBA	S.No. of List FF-1	Name of the Applicant. Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Application Sr. No.	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Membership of SBA	S.No. of List FF-1
001	184 (First Floor)	ARUN KUMAR	026	D/1132/2011	A-1378	F/7	AMAR NATH	Allotted in Initial Allotment Phase			D/2

*As per minutes dated 09.03.2018