

\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 566/2012**

DHARAM PAL SINGH

..... Petitioner

Represented by: Mr. Rajiv Bajaj, Adv.

versus

MANJU RANI

..... Respondent

Represented 1by: Mr. G.D. Sharma, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MUKTA GUPTA

ORDER

%

07.10.2016

The parties who are present in Court and are identified by their counsel have entered into a settlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioner informs that ₹1,32,000/- are lying deposited in the Mahila Court, however unfortunately the amount was not kept in a fixed deposit and thus no interest has accrued on the said amount. He further states that ₹63,000/- has already been paid to the respondent, which fact is admitted by the respondent. Another sum of ₹ 5,000/- is paid in cash today to the respondent. Thus on withdrawal of ₹1,32,000/- lying deposited before the learned MM, the respondent would have received ₹2 lakhs out of the total amount of ₹7 lakhs as agreed between the parties on the last date of hearing before this Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the further sum of ₹5 lakhs will be paid by the petitioner in

CRL.M.C. 566/2012

page 1 of 2

two instalments i.e. ₹2.5 lakhs within two months and ₹2.5 lakhs in four months.

Renotify on 7th December, 2016 on which date first instalment of ₹2.5 lakhs will be paid to the respondent.

Registrar General of this Court is directed to send an advisory to all the District and Sessions Judges for further circulation to all the Courts, advising them to ensure that whatever amount is deposited in the Court, if it is not to be released immediately, the same be kept in fixed deposits so that the amount deposited earns interest thereon.

MUKTA GUPTA, J.

OCTOBER 07, 2016
‘ga’