

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF LAWYER'S CHAMBERS ALLOTMENT COMMITTEE, SAKET COURTS HELD ON 04.04.2024 AT 04.00 PM IN THE CONFERENCE HALL, 3<sup>rd</sup> FLOOR, SAKET COURT COMPLEX, NEW DELHI.**

**In Chair:-**

**Ms. Madhu Jain, Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South) /Chairperson**  
**Sh. Rajneesh Kumar Gupta, Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South-East)/**  
**Co-Chairperson**

**Quorum:-**

Sh. Gurvinder Pal Singh, Principal Judge (Family Court)(South-East)/Officer In-Charge  
 Sh. Devendra Kumar Sharma, Addl. Sessions Judge (POCSO)/Member  
 Sh. Pooran Chand, Addl. Sessions Judge (South-East)/Member  
 Sh. Lovleen, Addl. Sessions Judge (South-East)/Member  
 Sh. Purshotam Pathak, Addl. Sessions Judge (South)/Member  
 Sh. Paras Dalal, Administrative Civil Judge (South)/Member Secretary  
 Ms. Naina Gupta, Administrative Civil Judge (South-East)/Member  
 Sh. Vinod Sharma, President, Saket Bar Association/Member  
 Sh. Vipin Chaudhary, Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association/Member

<b>SL. NO.</b>	<b>AGENDA</b>	<b>MINUTES</b>
<b>Representations for Allotment of Chamber (Initial Allotment Phase/ Subsequent Allotment Phase)</b>		
<b>1</b>	<p>Writ Petition (Civil) No. 11066/2023 &amp; CM Appl. 42928/2023 titled Vishal Raj Sehijpal, Advocate Vs. LCAC &amp; Anr.</p> <p><b>In cons: I)</b> Undated rpt. received on 02.02.2024 from Sh. Vishal Raj &amp; Ors.</p> <p><b>II)</b> Another undated rpt. Received on 20.02.2024 from Sh. Vishal Raj Sehijpal.</p> <p><b>III)</b> Letter dated 01.03.2024 received from Hon'ble High Court of Delhi regarding complaint received from various advocates including Sh. Vishal Raj Sehijpal, Advocate)</p>	<p>It is observed by the Committee that in the last meeting of LCAC held on 19.01.2024, the matter with respect to Writ Petition (Civil) No. 11066/2023 was considered and the Members of the Committee have unanimously resolved that inviting fresh applications at this stage will delay the allotment of available vacant chambers as the invitation of fresh applications may involve the policy issues like the revision of criteria etc. and simultaneously the procedure of their scrutiny and other aspects will take considerable time.</p> <p>Moreover, even if, Hon'ble High Court directs, fresh applications may be invited, scrutinized and eligible list will be prepared but the same may not be considered till the lists of eligible applicant lawyers of Initial &amp; Subsequent Allotment are exhausted as in these lists many lawyers are awaiting their allotment for more than 10 years, who are continuously practicing in Saket Court Complex and this will be great injustice to the such applicant lawyers, if the said lists are dispensed with.</p> <p>Above comments were communicated to the Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of this</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>Department before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>Sh. Vishal Raj, Advocate in his present two representations submitted that a number of Lawyers enlisted in eligibility list are either ineligible or left their practice in Saket Court Complex.</p> <p>He requested that scrutiny should be conducted with an aim to identify the chamber(s) secured by the lawyers who shifted their practice to other district court complexes and the chamber(s) unauthorizedly occupied by allottee's associate lawyer(s) after his/her death.</p> <p>Simultaneously, it is requested to identify and ensure eligibility of candidates who are awaiting allotments and to invite fresh applications to grant an opportunity to the lawyers who couldn't apply earlier.</p> <p>Copy of letter dated 20.02.2024, which was addressed to Hon'ble Chairperson, BMCC, Delhi High Court has also been received for consideration of LCAC vide it's letter dated 01.03.2024.</p> <p>Applicant has already preferred Writ Petition before Hon'ble High Court involving almost all the above mentioned issues which is listed for 13.05.2024.</p> <p>The Committee unanimously resolved that since a Writ Petition has been preferred by the applicant lawyer, the matter be kept pending till outcome of the Writ Petition.</p>
2	Representation dated 10.03.2022 received from Sh. Satish Kumar, Advocate BCD Enrl. No. D/1020/2008 for re-scrutiny of documents filed by the applicant with his application No. SA/0037 dated 31.07.2014 for allotment of Chamber.	<p>Report of Ld. Admn. Civil Judges was already received in the matter.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee in its report dated 16.01.2024 submitted that the report of Administrative Civil Judges has itself recommended eligibility of applicant lawyer after examination of documents and it approves the same.</p> <p>This Committee unanimously accept the recommendation made by the Sub-Committee and resolves that status of documents of applicant Sh. Satish Kumar, Advocate be updated in the amended seniority list of applicant lawyers and his name be placed as per his seniority at S.N. 186A i.e. after S.N. 186 in amended list of eligible applicant lawyers of</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>“Subsequent Allotment” as published vide MoM dated 09.03.2018.</p>
<p><b>3</b></p>	<p>A representation dated 20.11.2018 has been received from Sh. Neeraj P. Singh for reconsideration of his application for allotment of Chamber. He has stated that one of his document contain 2 signature, one of his and another of his wife.</p>	<p>Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 14 (fourteen) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases. Applicant in his representation has submitted that the invalidated document i.e. vakalatnama with multiple signature was actually co-signed by his wife Sweta, who is also an advocate.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Neeraj P. Singh, Advocate by both the Administrative Civil Judges. The Ld. Officers in their report have verified the facts and recommended that the document i.e. certified copy of vakalatnama filed with his application in the case “Harpal Singh Vs. Oriental Insurance Company etc.” be considered valid for establishing him “main counsel”, in terms of decision taken by this committee in similar matters vide its minutes of meeting dated 27.11.2017.</p> <p>This Committee unanimously accepts the recommendation made and resolves that status of documents of applicant Sh. Neeraj P. Singh, Advocate be updated in the amended seniority list of applicant lawyers and his name be placed as per his seniority at S.N. 55A i.e. after S.N. 55 in amended list of eligible applicant lawyers of “Subsequent Allotment” as published vide MoM dated 09.03.2018.</p>
<p><b>4</b></p>	<p>Representation dated 19.05.2023 received from Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Advocate for allotment of chamber applied in Subsequent Allotment phase.</p>	<p>Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 4 (four) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Ramesh Kumar, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. Both the Administrative Civil Judges have opined that the applicant could establish him main counsel in 6 (six) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>Report of Administrative Civil Judges is</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>accepted. Since the applicant does not fulfill the eligibility criteria and found to be ineligible, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation filed by the applicant.</p>
5	<p>Representation dated 07.09.2022 has been received from Sh. Chuman Mandal, Advocate for allotment of Chamber.</p>	<p>Applicant was applicant lawyer of “Subsequent Allotment” phase and found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 7(seven) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>In his present representation applicant has stated that he wasn't allowed to be established as Main Counsel on the basis of fresh documents filed by him, as was stated to be allowed in the matters of Sh. Sanjay Dutt, Sh. Vijay Pal Bidhuri, and Sh. Shiv Ashish Shukla, Advocates.</p> <p>On the recommendation of Sub-committee vide its report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Chuman Mandal, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges.</p> <p>In the report, the Ld. Officers have submitted their observations that the representation of Sh. Sanjay Dutt, Advocate for accepting fresh documents to consider his candidature afresh, was itself rejected by the Committee vide its MoM dated 01.02.2022 in terms of the resolution dated 27.11.2017 which states that <i>“it is unanimously resolved that further opportunity cannot be given to file fresh documents to an applicant lawyer in view of the fact that it would be prejudice to other applicants placed in similar situation that too after publishing seniority list”</i>.</p> <p>In remaining two matters as pointed out by the applicant, it is observed that Sh. Vijay Pal Bidhuri was applicant lawyer of “Initial Allotment” having different criteria/process for selection of eligible applicant lawyers and no applicant named Sh. Shiv Ashish Shukla was found on the record of “Subsequent Allotment”</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s), therefore, found no ground to opine differently in the above-said matter.</p> <p>Report of ACJ(s) is accepted. Since the applicant does not fulfill the eligibility criteria and found to be ineligible, it is unanimously resolved</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		to reject the representation filed by the applicant.
6	Representation dated 09.09.2022 has been received from Sh. Kapil Kumar, Advocate for allotment of Chamber.	<p>Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 12(twelve) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Kapil Kumar, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges.</p> <p>In their report the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. In the invalidated cases, the order sheet of the Court(s) does not reflect the name of the counsel and the Vakalatnama is signed by more than one lawyer including the applicant.</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s) found no ground to opine differently in the above-said case.</p> <p>Report of ACJ(s) is accepted. Since the applicant does not fulfill the eligibility criteria and found to be ineligible, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation filed by the applicant.</p>
7	Representation dated 02.01.2023, received from Sh. Dev Raj Sharma, Advocate for reconsideration of his eligibility for allotment of chamber (In subsequent Allotment phase).	<p>Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 10 (ten) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Dev Raj Sharma, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. Both the Administrative Civil Judges do not opined differently in the matter.</p> <p>Report of Administrative Civil Judges is accepted. Since the applicant does not fulfill the eligibility criteria and found to be ineligible, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation filed by the applicant.</p>
8	Representation dated 15.12.2022 received from Sh. Girish Gupta, Advocate for consideration of his application no. 00359 for allotment of chamber in subsequent allotment phase on the ground that he has submitted	<p>Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 14 (fourteen) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>The representation dated 15.12.2022 filed by the applicant states that he has filed 22 cases against required 15 cases and yet he was not found eligible.</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	ordersheets of 22 cases though eligibility was 15 cases.	<p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Girish Gupta, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. The applicant during personal hearing stated that he can trace the record of 16 cases with his application and he states that case at Sr. No.16 is a different bail application of different accused than the one appearing at Sr. No.15. He further states that the case at Sr. No.6 presently rejected by the Committee contains his Vakalatnama with his signature and he himself identified the signature of the accused on the Vakalatnama.</p> <p>Both the undersigned are of the opinion that case at Sr. No.6 contains the Vakalatnama signed by the applicant and he himself had identified the client on the Vakalatnama. Even though there is one more signature on the Vakalatnama, however, no name is mentioned of the said signatory and the identification of the client by the applicant on the vakalatnama may be considered as valid.</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s) are further of the opinion that Sr. No.15 and 16 are of same case, although those are of two bail applications of two accused persons in same FIR. Since both the bail applications are filed in the same FIR, the cases filed by the applicant at serial number 15 and 16 be considered as one case.</p> <p>Since earlier the applicant was found eligible in 14 cases which included cases at serial no 15 and 16 as one and now case at serial 6 is also opined as valid case, the applicant may be considered eligible having established main counsel in required 15 cases.</p> <p>This Committee unanimously accept the recommendations made and resolved that status of documents of applicant Sh. Girish Gupta, Advocate be updated in the amended seniority list of applicant lawyers and his name be placed as per his seniority at S.N. 231A i.e. after S.N. 231 in amended list of eligible applicant lawyers of "Subsequent Allotment" as published vide</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		MoM dated 09.03.2018.
9	A representation dated 18.02.2022 has been received from Mohd. Mashhood Sana, Advocate for allotment of Chamber.	<p>The Sub-Committee vide its report dated 16.01.2024 submitted that the applicant has applied for allotment of chamber in Initial Allotment phase and also in Subsequent Allotment phase.</p> <p>As regards to Initial Allotment, it was reported by office that some discrepancies were found in the application of applicant lawyer which was published through Master List dated 13.07.2011, giving opportunity to the applicant lawyers to correct the discrepancies. The applicants were given repeated opportunities vide notices dated 29.08.2011 &amp; 06.09.2011. The last date to avail this opportunity was 12.09.2011, which the applicant didn't avail. He responded to the notices after a considerable time i.e. on 23.12.2011. LCAC had already declined his representation being delayed response, vide Minutes of Meeting dated 17.01.2012.</p> <p>The applicant preferred Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court. In it's decision Hon'ble High Court didn't interfere with the decision of LCAC.</p> <p>It is observed that all the Minutes of Meeting, Lists, Notices etc. are invariably published on official website(s) of Delhi District Courts and copy of same is communicated to SBA for information of it's members.</p> <p>As regards to Subsequent Allotment, it is reported by office that he was found ineligible during the course of Subsequent Allotment as he could establish main counsel in only 07 cases against norms set by LCAC i.e. at least in 15 cases.</p> <p>The then Administrative Civil Judges scrutinized the matter and similar observations was submitted before the LCAC on 05.08.2023.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee unanimously recommended that report so received from Ld. Administrative Civil Judges may be approved and representation of applicant may be rejected.</p> <p>Recommendation of Sub-committee is</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		accepted. Accordingly, it is unanimously resolved to decline the representation of Mohd. Mashhood Sana, Advocate.
10	Representation dated 03.02.2023 has been received from Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate for allotment of chamber in Initial Allotment phase.	<p>Applicant was found to be eligible during process of allotments in “Initial Allotment” and ineligible during course of allotment in “Subsequent Allotment” being established main counsel in 10 (ten) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. In their report, the officers have observed that the applicant has given representation that he was given offer of one single chamber in D-2 list in the year 2011, however, he never received any communication of the same and he has requested that he may be allotted a chamber on the basis of the earlier offer.</p> <p>Vide notice dt 24.10.2011, the list D-2 of eligible candidates of “Initial Allotment” was published and vide notice dated 07.12.2011, time was given to the eligible candidates to accept the offer till 23.12.2011. Present representation has been made after a period of more than 13 years. The applicant has submitted that the offer given vide notice dt. 24.10.2011 was not communicated to him and, therefore, he did not file his representation earlier.</p> <p>Office report was called in this respect. As per report, all the Minutes of Meetings, lists, notices, etc. are invariably published on official website of Delhi District Court and copy of same is communicated to Saket Bar Association for information of its Members.</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s) have opined that no ground has been shown in the personal hearing of the applicant to condone the delay of around 13 years.</p> <p>The applicant had also applied in the year 2014 for allotment of chamber and he found</p>



SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>ineligible being established main counsel in 10 cases only instead of required 15 cases.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is unanimously resolved to decline the representation of Sh. Sanjeev Kumar Sharma, Advocate.</p>
<p><b>11</b></p>	<p>I) Representation dated 28.02.2023 received from Sh. N.S.Bhat, Advocate, BCD No. D/1230/2003 for allotment of chamber (applied during Initial Allotment phase).</p> <p>II) Another representation dated 02.06.2023.</p>	<p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. N.S. Bhat, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. It is observed by the ACJ(s) that vide MoM dated 18.05.2012, it was decided by the LCAC that the applicant is not primarily practicing at PHC, hence, he was found ineligible. He was excluded from list C1 and was treated as part of list C2 of ineligible applicants. Present representation has been made after a period of more than 11 years. The applicant has submitted that the result vide MoM dated 18.05.2012 was not communicated to him and therefore, he did not file his representation earlier. Office report has been called in this respect. As per report, all the Minutes of Meetings, lists, notices, etc are invariably published on official website of Delhi Districts Courts and copy of same is communicated to Saket Bar Association for information of its members.</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s) have opined that no ground has been shown in the personal hearing of the applicant to condone the delay of around 11 years.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Sh. N.S. Bhat, Advocate.</p>
<p><b>12</b></p>	<p>Representation dated 17.10.2022 received from Sh. Rajesh Kumar Bidhuri, Advocate for allotment of chamber.</p>	<p>Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 11 (eleven) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar Bidhuri, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. The applicant has given representation that the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 has held that suitable seniority in accordance with chamber allotment regular Rules and Policies be given to the applicant by the LCAC. He requested that his seniority be considered as per rules.</p> <p>Office report has been called. Office has reported that the applicant was found ineligible in 'Subsequent Allotment' initiated in the year 2014. The applicant had given representation against the same and vide minutes of meeting dated 27.11.2017, the said representation was rejected as the applicant didn't fulfil the eligibility criteria of being main counsel in 15 cases of South and South-East District(s).</p> <p>The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi upheld the decision of LCAC vide order dated 24.01.2020. The seniority of the applicant may be considered by the Committee in the next phase of allotment as and when fresh applications are invited.</p> <p>Similar representation of the applicant has been rejected by the LCAC vide minutes of meeting dated 20.09.2022 on similar grounds.</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s), therefore, see no ground to opine differently in the above-said case.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Sh. Rajesh Kumar Bidhuri, Advocate.</p>
13	Representation dated 17.01.2023 received from Ms. Kiran Dharam Vati, Advocate for allotment of chamber.	<p>It has been noticed that earlier the Member Secretary LCAC had given report that the applicant has established herself as Main Counsel in 13 cases against desired 15 cases. On the recommendations of Sub-committee, both the ACJ(s) had now given her personal hearing and reconsidered the three rejected cases. Earlier, the case of Ajay Kumar Vs. Smt. Kavita was not considered as documents of case no. 633/2012 were different to case no. 284/2011. It is found that the applicant had already submitted the documents in relation to case no. 633/2012 earlier also, with her original application. Now, the certified copy of the documents is also on record and accordingly, this case may be considered valid to establish her as main counsel. During personal hearing, the applicant</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>had submitted that she had submitted two separate vakalatnamas of two different cases bearing the same case title. The documents have been perused. The vakalatnamas do not bear case number and no other document has been placed on record to establish that the vakalatnamas pertain to two different cases. Therefore, the vakalatama may be considered valid for one case only. Earlier also, the Member Secretary LCAC had given similar view.</p> <p>With these observations, she has established herself as Main Counsel in 14 cases against 15 cases desired for her eligibility for allotment of chamber.</p> <p>Since the applicant does not fulfill the eligibility criteria and found to be ineligible, it is unanimously resolved by the Committee to reject the representation filed by Ms. Kiran Dharam Vati, Advocate.</p>
14	Representation dated 26.09.2023, received from Sh. Mandeep Kumar, Advocate for allotment of Chamber applied in Subsequent Allotment.	<p>The applicant has given representation that he was considered ineligible for subsequent allotment and was not given any opportunity to present his case before the LCAC. Earlier vide Minutes of Meeting dated 05.09.2017, it has been established that he was Main Counsel in 13 Cases instead of required 15 Cases. The applicant had filed objections and the LCAC vide Minutes of Meeting dated 27.11.2017 found no change in his status.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 16.01.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Mandeep Kumar, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. Both the ACJ(s) see no ground to opine differently in the above-said case.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Sh. Mandeep Kumar, Advocate.</p>
15	An undated representation received on 03.01.2024 from Sh. Ajit Singh, Advocate for allotment	It is observed by the Sub-Committee that applicant in his representation submitted that he noticed that allotments of chambers are being

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	<p>of chamber applied in Initial Allotment phase.</p>	<p>carried out, so he may be allotted chamber as per his seniority.</p> <p>Matter discussed by the Sub-Committee and it was observed that allotments are made to the eligible applicant lawyers of "Initial Allotment" and "Subsequent Allotment" in accordance to their candidature and availability of chamber/slot on surrender/death of allottee. It is pertinent to mention that when a chamber/ slot is vacated on surrender/ death of allottee of "Initial Allotment", offer is given to eligible applicant lawyers of "Initial Allotment" and same procedure in case of "Subsequent Allotment". Office has placed before the Sub-committee List C-2 (Supplementary "D") published in compliance to Minutes of Meeting dated 18.05.2012, which reflect the applicant Sh. Ajit Singh, Advocate ineligible for allotment of chamber in "Initial Allotment".</p> <p>Since applicant has already found ineligible for allotment of chamber as per the Minutes of Meeting dated 18.05.2012, he can't be considered for allotment of chamber. The Sub-Committee unanimously recommended to decline the request of applicant lawyer Sh. Ajit Singh.</p> <p>Recommendations of the Sub-committee is accepted and it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Sh. Ajit Singh, Advocate.</p>
<p><b>16</b></p>	<p>Representation dated 09.01.2024 received from Sh. Girish Kargeti, Advocate for allotment of chamber in both the phases of allotment i.e. Initial Allotment and Subsequent Allotment.</p>	<p>Representation of Sh. Girish Kargeti, Advocate for allotment of Chamber was considered by the Sub-Committee.</p> <p>Vide its report, the Sub-Committee observed that applicant Sh. Girish Kargeti, Advocate is one of the tentatively found eligible applicant lawyers of "Initial Allotment" and his name is reflected in List C-1 i.e. List of tentatively found eligible applicant lawyers of "Initial Allotment".</p> <p>As per the seniority drawn in accordance to membership of NDBA vis-a-vis List C-1, his name falls later on, he will have to wait for his turn.</p> <p>As regards to "Subsequent Allotment" his status falls in the category of ineligible applicant lawyers, being established "Main Counsel" in</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>only twelve (12) cases instead of required Fifteen (15) cases.</p> <p>With his representation, he submitted fresh documents to establish his eligibility for allotment in "Subsequent Allotment" which is inadmissible in terms of Minutes of Meeting dated 27.11.2017 of LCAC.</p> <p>Considering above, the Sub-Committee has recommended to file/ decline the request of applicant lawyer and that he may be informed about his status in "Initial Allotment".</p> <p>Recommendations of Sub-committee is unanimously accepted. Sh. Girish Kargeti, Advocate be informed about his status in "Initial Allotment".</p>
17	Representation dated 16.12.2023 received from Sh. Naveen Parewa, Advcoate, for allotment of Chamber.	<p>The Sub-Committee considered the representation of Sh. Naveen Parewa, Advocate.</p> <p>Applicant Sh. Naveen Parewa, Advocate has been observed to be applicant for allotment of chamber during course of "Subsequent Allotment". He was found ineligible in terms of criteria set for allotment of chamber in said phase.</p> <p>He could establish him as "Main Counsel" in three (3) cases instead of required fifteen (15) cases.</p> <p>In his present representation, he requested to consider his candidature afresh, but has no fresh submission.</p> <p>LCAC itself declined his earlier representation dated 06.09.2022 vide its Minutes of Meeting dated 05.08.2023.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee, therefore, do not find any fresh ground to consider his request and has recommended to decline the request of applicant lawyer.</p> <p>Recommendation of Sub-committee is hereby accepted and it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Sh. Naveen Parewa, Advocate.</p>
18	Representation dated 17.02.2024 received from Sh. Suhail Khan,	Applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 14 (fourteen) cases

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	Advocate for allotment of chamber.	<p>against required 15 (fifteen) cases.</p> <p>Sh. Suhail Khan has given representation that his invalidated vakalatnama i.e. Vakalatnama with multiple signatures was actually signed by his father and his real brother, which also bears his signatures.</p> <p>On the recommendations of Sub-committee vide its' report dated 02.03.2024, personal hearing was given to the applicant, Sh. Suhail Khan, Advocate, by both the Administrative Civil Judges .</p> <p>In their report, the documents were stated to be re-examined &amp; scrutinized. It is submitted in report that LCAC in its Minutes of Meeting dated 27.11.2017 has allowed multiple signed Vakalatnama to be valid where the vakalatnama is jointly signed by close relatives like father, brother(s) &amp; sister(s).</p> <p>The representation alongwith the documents filed by Sh. Suhail Khan were perused. The invalidated vakalatnama is stated to be signed by his father and his real brother namely Sh. Shahzad Khan and Zubair Khan, respectively.</p> <p>Both the ACJ(s) have checked the identity documents filed on record. The relationship between the applicant and Sh. Shahzad Khan &amp; Zubair Khan stands established as per the identity documents submitted.</p> <p>Accordingly, both the ACJ(s) are of the opinion that the Vakalatnama in the case of Syed Memhoob Vs. Nasruddin bearing signatures of the applicant as well as his father and brother may be considered valid in view of the Minutes of Meeting dated 27.11.2017.</p> <p>This Committee unanimously accept the recommendation made and resolved that status of documents of applicant Sh. Suhail Khan, Advocate be updated in the amended seniority list of applicant lawyers and his name be placed as per his seniority, at S.N. 170A i.e. after S.N. 170, in the amended list of eligible applicant lawyers of "Subsequent Allotment" as published vide MoM dated 09.03.2018.</p>
19	Representation dated 13.03.2024 received from Dr. Rajesh Kumar	It is apprised to the Committee that applicant was found to be ineligible being

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	Dev, Advocate for allotment of chamber.	<p>established main counsel in 3 (three) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases during course of "Subsequent Allotment"</p> <p>Members of the Committee unanimously resolved that representation of Dr. Rajesh Kumar Dev, Advocate be put up before the Sub-Committee for consideration with the request to submit a report.</p>
<b>20</b>	Representation dated 22.03.2024 received from Ms. Menka Rana, Advocate for allotment of chamber.	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that the applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 10 (ten) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases during course of "Subsequent Allotment".</p> <p>Applicant lawyer also moved a representation, which found no change in the status of her documents and also preferred a Writ Petition but could not get any relief.</p> <p>Members of the Committee unanimously resolved that representation of Ms. Menka Rana, Advocate be put up before the Sub-Committee for consideration with the request to submit a report.</p>
<b>21</b>	Representation dated 23.03.2024 received from Ms Rajkumari, Advocate for allotment of chamber.	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that the applicant was found to be ineligible being established main counsel in 14 (fourteen) cases against required 15 (fifteen) cases during course of "Subsequent Allotment".</p> <p>Applicant lawyer also moved representations twice but of no avail.</p> <p>Members of the Committee unanimously resolved that representation of Ms. Rajkumari, Advocate be put up before the Sub-Committee for consideration with the request to submit a report.</p>
<b>22</b>	<p>Order dated 23.02.2024 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the writ petition titled as Dharmendra Singh Vs. LCAC for considering representation dated 01.02.2024 of petitioner/ applicant lawyer regarding allotment of chamber.</p> <p>Representation dated 01.02.2024</p>	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that applicant Sh. Dharmendra Singh, Advocate was aspirant for allotment of chamber during course of "Subsequent Allotment". Vide his letter dated 01.02.2024, he has requested for allotment of chamber as per his seniority as member of SBA.</p> <p>The applicant found ineligible during above said course of allotment. He also preferred Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, but of no avail. However, Hon'ble High Court had</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	of Sh. Dharmendra Singh, Advocate	<p>directed that petitioner would not be precluded from applying for chamber as and when further allotment of chambers is contemplated.</p> <p>List of eligible applicant lawyers of “Initial Allotment” &amp; “Subsequent Allotment” are still live and allotments are being carried out from the said lists. The applicant has already found ineligible in “Subsequent Allotment”. Vide office letter dated 14.02.2024 he has been advised to apply for allotment as and when application are invited.</p> <p>He now preferred another writ petition before Hon’ble High Court and the Hon’ble court has directed to consider his representation dated 01.02.2024 in the meeting of LCAC proposed to be held on 01.03.2024. However, said meeting could not be held due to urgent meeting of all the Ld. Pr. District &amp; Sessions Judge(s) at Hon’ble High Court.</p> <p>Members of the Committee unanimously resolved that representation of Sh. Dharmendra Singh, Advocate be put up before the Sub-Committee for consideration with the request to submit a report.</p>
<b>Allotment of Vacant Chambers (Single Occupancy Chamber)</b>		
23	<b>Fresh Vacancy</b>  i) Chamber No. 369.  (Death Possession) Case-Deemed	<p>Office has informed that vacation report dated 22.03.2024 in respect of Chamber No.369 (Single Occupancy basis.) has been received vide letter dated 26.03.2024 of Genl. Branch.</p> <p>Said chamber be taken on record as vacant for further allotment.</p>
24	<b>Vacant Chambers available for allotment</b>  Chamber No. 823  Chamber No. 110 <b>(under change)</b>  Chamber No. 133 <b>(under change)</b>  Chamber No. 161 <b>(under change)</b>  Chamber No. 846	<p>Vide notices dated 06.10.2022 &amp; 05.12.2023 applications were invited from the allottees of the double occupancy Chambers (Initial Allotment) for up-gradation of their Chambers to single occupancy Chambers.</p> <p>In response thereof 27 (twenty seven) applications were received from the allottees of double occupancy chambers allotted during course of “Initial Allotment”. Their list was considered in the meeting of this Committee on 19.01.2024 as Annexure “A” to minutes.</p> <p>The Committee unanimously referred the</p>



SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	Chamber No. 441	<p>matter for comments of Sub-committee who submitted its report dated 02.03.2024 and recommended names of first 06(six) senior most applicants/allottees of double occupancy chambers, amongst the applicants herein, as per their seniority of NDBA taken in account for allotment of their existing chamber.</p> <p>This Committee unanimously accepts the recommendations and resolve to offer allotment of single occupancy chamber on upgradation to the advocates/ allottees Sh. Md. Naushad Siddiqui, D-83/90 (NDBA Enrol. no.1058), Sh. Joginder Singh Mann, D-105/85 (NDBA Enrol. no.1072), Sh. Tanveer Ahmed Khan, D-581/95 (NDBA Enrol. no.1084), Sh. Jagat Singh Baasta D-586A/96 (NDBA Enrol. no.1092), Sh. Umesh Pd. Singh, D-79A/91 (NDBA Enrol. no.1145) and Sh. Amit Kr. Sharma. D-259D/93 (NDBA Enrol. no.1156). The offer is subject to clearance of up-to-date dues of their existing chambers and an undertaking that they will ensure timely payment of license fee &amp; maintenance charges of chamber to be allotted on single occupancy basis. List D-36 (Initial Allotment)(Single Occupancy Chamber) is prepared. Be published as per past practice.</p>
<b>Change of Chamber (Single Occupancy Chamber)</b>		
25	<p>I) Letter dated 06.02.2024 received from Sh. Suresh Kumar, Advocate for change of chamber from 423 to other chamber on ground or first floor.</p> <p>II) Letter dated 23.02.2024 in respect of decision taken by the LCAC vide MoM dated. 19.01.2024</p> <p>III) Letter dated 21.03.2024 along with copy of order dated 13.03.2024 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in the writ petition filed by applicant lawyer and copy of medical papers.</p>	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that applicant in his representations having chamber on forth floor (Chamber No.423), has requested for allotment of chamber on ground or first floor and for reconsideration on his earlier request in the matter.</p> <p>It is noticed by the Committee that vide Minutes of Meeting dated 19.01.2024, this committee has declined his request for allotment of chamber on ground or first floor.</p> <p>Applicant then preferred Writ Petition before Hon'ble Delhi High Court. On 13.03.2024, the same is disposed of as withdrawn with the liberty to the petitioner i.e. the applicant herein, to make fresh representation before this Committee giving all the medical documents to show his incapacity</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>to go to fourth floor, for consideration in it's next meeting.</p> <p>Members of the Committee unanimously resolved that representation of Sh. Suresh Kumar, Advocate be put up before the Sub-Committee for consideration with the request to submit a report.</p>
<b>Allotment of Vacant Chambers (Double Occupancy Chamber)</b>		
<p><b>26</b></p>	<p><b><u>Double Occupancy Chambers</u></b> <b><u>Fresh Vacancy</u></b></p> <p>i) 817 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) - Sub. Allotment (On death)</p> <p>ii) 370 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) - Initial Allotment (On death)</p> <p>iii) 479 (1<sup>st</sup> slot) - Initial Allotment (Surrender)</p> <p>iv) 547 (1<sup>st</sup> slot) – Initial Allotment (Death case- Deemed possession)</p> <p>v) 447 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) – Initial Allotment (On death)</p>	<p>i) Office has informed that Vide note dated 27.01.2024 vacancy of chamber No.817 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot) has been received from General Branch. Simultaneously, it is informed that an application for allotment on compassionate grounds is placed at agenda item no. 46. Let the matter be taken up with said agenda item.</p> <p>ii) Vacancy of chamber No.370 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot) has been received from Genl. Branch vide its letter dated 12.03.2024. Said slot in chamber no.370 be taken on record as vacant.</p> <p>iii) Vacancy of Chamber No.479 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot) has been received from Genl. Branch vide its letter dated 11.03.2024. Said slot in chamber no.479 be taken on record as vacant.</p> <p>iv) Vacancy of 1<sup>st</sup> slot of Chamber no. 547 has been received from Genl. Branch vide its letter dated 26.03.2024. Said slot in chamber no.547 be taken on record as vacant.</p> <p>v) Vacancy of Chamber No. 447 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot) has been received from Genl. Branch vide its letter dated 28.03.2024. Said slot in chamber no.447 be taken on record as vacant.</p>
<p><b>27</b></p>	<p><b><u>Double Occupancy Chambers</u></b> <b><u>Vacant Chambers available for allotment</u></b></p> <p>1. 357 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot)</p> <p>2. 834 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot)</p> <p>3. 539 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot)</p> <p><b>(under change – 555 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot))</b></p>	<p>It is informed to the Committee that vide letter dated 12.10.2022 &amp; 06.12.2023 applications were invited from 30 eligible applicant lawyers against vacant slots on Double Occupancy Chambers. 16 (sixteen) lawyers responded to invitation.</p> <p>Vide Minutes of Meeting dated 19.01.2024, office was directed to prepare the lists of eligible applicants lawyers as per rules and in view of</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	<p><b>will be vacate)</b></p> <p>4. 544 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot)</p> <p>5. 557 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot)</p> <p>6. 224 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot)</p> <p>7. 229 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot)</p> <p>8. 553 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot)</p> <p>9. 575 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot)</p> <p>10. 850 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot)</p> <p><b>(under change – 354 (1<sup>st</sup> Slot) will be vacate)</b></p> <p>11. 247 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot)</p>	<p>past practice and to file report.</p> <p>Applications are placed before the Committee. Same are scrutinized and all the 16 (sixteen) applicant lawyers i.e. Sh. Sunil Kr., D-2149/2008(NDBA-3884), Ms. Geeta Vohra/Satija, D-411R/1997(NDBA-3892), Sh. Asim Naeem, D-540R/1998 (NDBA-3898), Sh. Vivek Pratap Rai, D-2045/2008 (NDBA-3906), Sh. Devesh Vikram Shukla, D-1587/2008 (NDBA-3911), Sh. Phool Singh, D-531A/2007 (NDBA-3917), Sh. Dhruv Kamra, D/468/2007 (NDBA) 3923, Sh. Amrendra Kumar, D/301/2009 (NDBA-3940), Sh. Mohd. Mustafa, D/1678A/2001 (NDBA-3944), Sh. Vishal Gera, D/1780/2007 (NDBA-3947), Sh. Syed Saad Nizami, D/904/2006 (NDBA-3954), Sh. Rakesh Raushan, D/2069/2003 (NDBA-3955), Sh. Prashant Rawat, D/2346/2008 (NDBA-3972), Sh. Vikram Singh, D/780/2009 (NDBA-3997), Sh. Naveen Kumar Chaudhary, D/790/1994 (NDBA-4006) and Ms. Ila Mani Mohapatra, D/447-B/2001 (NDBA-4007) are found eligible for allotment on Double Occupancy Basis.</p> <p>Since 16 applicants lawyers are eligible against available 11 slots, let 04 slots now made available as per agenda item No.26 be taken on record for allotments to such applicant lawyers. Offer is being unanimously given to above-named 15 senior most eligible applicant lawyers of Initial Allotment. List D-37 (Initial Allotment) (Double Occupancy Chamber) is prepared. Be published as per past practice.</p>
<b>Pending Chamber Dues (Double Occupancy Chamber)</b>		
<b>28</b>	A letter dated 25.10.2018 has been received from Ms. Sangeeta Batra for waiving off the Licence Fees and Maintenance Charges of her Chamber since her co-allottee has not allowed her to enter and use the Chamber.	Deferred for further discussion due to paucity of time.
<b>29</b>	Copy of note dated 22.01.2024	Deferred for further discussion due to

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	received from Genl. Branch alongwith copy of letter dated 09.01.2024 received from Sh. M.S. Khan, Advocate, 2 <sup>nd</sup> allottee of Chamber No. 479 to waive off the dues of his slot for 12 months.	paucity of time.
30	Note dated 27.01.2024 of Genl. Branch alongwith application dated 24.01.2024 of Ms. Geetika Bhatt, W/o Late Sh. Prem Mohan Bhatt, Advocate, allottee of Chamber no. 817 (2 <sup>nd</sup> slot) requesting for waiving off the dues w.e.f January, 2020.	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that the matter has been received from General Branch.</p> <p>Ms. Geetika Bhatt W/o late Sh. Prem Mohan Bhatt, Advocate (allottee) has requested for waiving of dues against Chambers No. 817 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot), as she is unable to pay the dues being housewife having minor children.</p> <p>The dues of said slot are pending since January, 2020 and the Chamber is vacated on 24.01.2024. The allottee had died on 13.07.2022.</p> <p>The matter has been discussed at length and it is unanimously resolved to waive off the pending dues against Chambers No. 817 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) on humanitarian ground, however, security amount deposited by the Allottee will be forfeited.</p>
31	Letter dated 23.09.2023 of Sh. Mohd. Arsalan, S/o Late Sh. Juber Ahmad Khan, 2 <sup>nd</sup> allottee of Chamber no. 370 requesting for waiving off the dues of chamber.	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that matter has been received from General Branch.</p> <p>Sh. Mohd. Arsalan S/o late Sh. Juber Ahmad Khan, Advocate (allottee) has requested for waiver of dues against Chambers No. 370 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot).</p> <p>It is noticed by the Committee that the dues of said slot are pending since August 2019, chamber is vacated on 28.02.2024 and the allottee had died on 17.12.2021.</p> <p>The matter has been discussed at length and it is unanimously resolved to waive off the pending dues against against Chambers No. 370 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) on humanitarian ground, however, security amount deposited by the allottee will be forfeited.</p>
32	Letter dated 14.03.2024 of Ms. Usha Raj Tomar, W/o Lt. Sh.	Matter has been received from General

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	Kuldeep Singh Tomar, 2 <sup>nd</sup> allottee of Chamber no. 447 requesting for waiving off the dues of chamber.	<p>Branch.</p> <p>Ms. Usha Raj Tomar W/o Lt. Sh. Kuldeep Singh Tomar has requested for waiving of dues against Chamber No. 447 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot).</p> <p>The dues of said slot are pending since April, 2020 and Chamber is vacated on 06.12.2023. The allottee had died on 11.05.2020.</p> <p>The matter has been discussed and it is unanimously resolved to waive off the pending dues against against Chambers No. 447 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) on humanitarian ground, however, security amount deposited by the allottee will be forfeited.</p>
<b>Change of Chamber (Double Occupancy Chamber)</b>		
33	Application dated 29.01.2024 received from Sh. Mukesh Kaushik, Advocate, 1 <sup>st</sup> allottee of Chamber no. 601 for change of chamber to chamber no. 224.	<p>It is brought to the notice of the Committee that applicant has requested for change of chamber to 1<sup>st</sup> Slot in Chamber No. 224.</p> <p>It is apprised to the Committee that said slot is vacant and 2<sup>nd</sup> slot is allotted to Sh. Joginder Singh Mann, Advocate.</p> <p>It is informed to the Committee that consent of Sh. Joginder Singh Mann has not been obtained by Sh. Mukesh Kaushik, the applicant lawyer.</p> <p>On the request of Executive of Saket Bar Association, the Committee unanimously resolved that Sh. Mukesh Kaushik, Advocate, is allowed to change his chamber from 1<sup>st</sup> slot of 601 to 1<sup>st</sup> slot of 224 and accordingly <b>List E-60 (Initial Allotment)(Double Occupancy basis)</b> be prepared and same be published as per past practice.</p>
34	<p>I) Application dated 18.07.2023 received from Sh. Abdus Samad 2<sup>nd</sup> allottee of Chamber no. 243 for change of chamber to 2<sup>nd</sup> slot of Chamber no. 370.</p> <p>II) Letter dated 20.03.2024 on the same subject along with NOC obtained on same letter from co-allottee of Chamber no. 370.</p>	Deferred for further discussion due to paucity of time.

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
35	Request dated 26.03.2024, received from Ms. Hnunpuii, Advocate for change of Chamber from 507 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Slot) to 817 (2 <sup>nd</sup> slot).	<p>It is noticed by the Committee that vide Minutes of Meeting dated 19.01.2024, applicant was allotted 2<sup>nd</sup> Slot of Chamber No.507. Possession of which has already taken by the applicant on 14.03.2024. However, vide present representation, she has requested to change her Chamber from 507 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot) to 817 (2<sup>nd</sup> slot) as the 1<sup>st</sup> allottee in Chamber No.817 is a lady advocate with whom she will be comfortable.</p> <p>The Committee unanimously resolved to accept the request of Ms. Hnunpuii, Advocate to change her Chamber from 2<sup>nd</sup> slot of Chamber No.507 to 2<sup>nd</sup> slot of Chamber No.817 and accordingly <b>List E-61 (Subsequent Allotment) (Double Occupancy basis)</b> be prepared and same be published as per past practice.</p> <p>Applicant is liable to pay, Licence Fee and Maintenance Charges of existing Chamber No.507 (2<sup>nd</sup> Slot) till she vacate the same.</p>
<b>Allotment of Vacant Chambers (Double Occupancy Chamber-Reserved for Physically Challenged Persons)</b>		
36	Allotment of chamber to Physically Challenged Advocates Chamber No. 181 (1 <sup>st</sup> slot)	<p>It is reported by the office that in compliance of Minutes of Meeting dated 19.01.2024, notice dated 03.02.2024 was issued inviting applications for one slot of double occupancy chamber reserved for Physically Challenged Advocates. In response applications from following advocates has been received:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Sh. Abani Kumar Dey,</b> BCD No. D/336/2011 &amp; SBA No. A-1342/09.09.2011</li> <li>2. <b>Ms. Manju Bala,</b> BCD No. D/723-A/2010 &amp; SBA No. M-929/30.08.2014</li> <li>3. <b>Sh. Amod Kumar Singh,</b> BCD No. D/213-J/2004 &amp; SBA No. A-2089/24.08.2018</li> <li>4. <b>Sh. Sagar Tanwar,</b> BCD No. D/1156/2021 &amp;</li> </ol>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>SBA No. S-2796/27.02.2021</p> <p>Office has placed Master <b>List “CC2/G”</b> before the Committee for consideration.</p> <p>Office has reported that the applicant at S. No. 1, Sh. Abani Kumar Dey, Advocate fulfill the eligibility criteria and he is the senior most applicant in abovesaid list.</p> <p>The Committee unanimously resolved to allot Chamber on Double Occupancy sharing basis against category specified in Rule 8(i) of Allotment rules to Sh. Abani Kumar Dey. Advocate.</p> <p>Decision of Committee is recorded in List <b>“FF1/J”</b>. Same be published as per past practice.</p> <p>Applications of applicant lawyers at S. No. 2 to 4, Ms. Manju Bala, Sh. Amod Kumar Singh, and Sh. Sagar Tanwar, respectively are filed considering non availability of further space/chamber under this specified category.</p>
37	<p>Request for change of chamber no. 112 (2nd slot) to chamber no. 181(1<sup>st</sup> slot) received from Sanjay Kumar.</p> <p>II) Letter dated 22.03.2024 received from Hon’ble High Court of Delhi alongwith copy of abovesaid letter at (I) to take appropriate action, if any.</p>	<p>It is observed by the Committee that vide Minutes of Meeting dated 19.01.2024, earlier representation of applicant on the subject was declined by the LCAC being request for change of chamber was on same floor as of his existing chamber.</p> <p>Applicant has been allotted slot in chambers reserved for lawyers under the category of “persons with disability”.</p> <p>In his representation he submitted that all the chambers earmarked for allotments to lawyers under the category of ‘persons with disability’, are situated on the first floor.</p> <p>Further, he has submitted that on earlier occasions LCAC has allowed change in chamber on same floor, whether it is a request of Sh. Satyavir Singh Grewal, Advocate (under the category of lawyers with disability) or a request of a lawyer of general category i.e. Sh. Rajeev Kr. Malik, Advocate.</p> <p>It is noticed by the Committee that 1<sup>st</sup> Slot of Chamber No.181 on Double Occupancy is</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>now available for allotment reserved for Physically Challenged Advocates.</p> <p>Members of the Committee are of the unanimous view to allot 1<sup>st</sup> Slot of Chamber No.181 to Sh. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate on Change.</p> <p><b>List GG-11</b> is prepared and same be published as per past practice.</p>
<b>Allotment on Compassionate Ground</b>		
38	<p>Letter dated 05.07.2022 from Sh. Kushal Seth, son of Late Sh. Ajay Seth, Advocate, 1<sup>st</sup> Slot of Chamber No. 351 (Initial Allotment) has been received for allot/ RETAIN the said Chamber as he is pursuing BA LLB (H).</p>	<p>It is noticed by the Sub-Committee that applicant should be eligible under Allotment Rules that he must be enrolled with B.C.D. and as per office report, the applicant does not fulfill the condition on the date of his representation.</p> <p>Considering above, the Sub-Committee unanimously resolved and recommended that the representation may be rejected for want of necessary eligibility.</p> <p>The Committee also observed that vide judgment passed in WP (Civil) No.48/2024 titled Anamika Dewan Vs. Registrar, Supreme Court of India, the Hon'ble Apex Court dismissed the Writ Petition on the ground that on the date of the death of the allottee, the daughter was not a practicing lawyer in Supreme Court of India.</p> <p>Recommendation of the Sub-Committee is unanimously accepted and in view of judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court, the representation of Sh. Kushal Seth is unanimously rejected. The applicant is directed to surrender the chamber/slot within 15 days of issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association. The Chamber be taken on record as a vacant chamber/slot.</p>
39	<p>I) Letter dated 05.04.2022 received from President, Saket Bar Association Regarding retention of Chamber No. 265, Lawyers Chambers, Saket Courts Complex allotted in the name of</p>	<p>Similar recommendations of Sub-Committee are received in this matter and the Committee takes same resolution as of Agenda Item No.38, in the matter.</p> <p>The applicant Ms. Sarah Aiman is directed to surrender the chamber within 15 days of</p>



SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	<p>Late Mr. Khalil Ansari, Advocate.</p> <p>II) Letter dated 27.09.2022 has been received from Ms. Sarah Aiman, D/o Late Sh. Khalil A. Ansari, Advocate, Allottee of Chamber No. 265.</p>	<p>issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association. The Chamber be taken on record as a vacant chamber.</p>
40	<p>Request letter dated 29.08.2022 received from Sh. Sachin Kasana, S/o Late Sh. Nihal Singh Gurjar, Advocate, 1<sup>st</sup> allottee of Chamber no. 544 for retaining the said slot in Chamber no. 544 as he is pursuing his LLB.</p>	<p>Similar recommendations of Sub-Committee are received in this matter and the Committee takes same resolution as of Agenda Item No.38, in the matter.</p> <p>The applicant Sh. Sachin Kasana is directed to surrender the chamber/slot within 15 days of issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association. The chamber be taken on record as a vacant chamber.</p>
41	<p>Note dated 23.05.2023 received from Genl. Branch along with request (through e-mail) dated 21-22.05.2023 received from Ms. Parul Verma, wife of Late Sh. Kumar Gaurav Verma, Advocate, 1<sup>st</sup> Allottee of Chamber No. 627 for retention of 1<sup>st</sup> slot of Chamber no. 627 as she is pursuing LLB and giving exam of 4<sup>th</sup> semester.</p> <p>I) Representation received from Sh. Dharam Pal Singh, Advocate, 2<sup>nd</sup> allottee of Chamber no. 437 on 15.04.2023 for change of chamber to 1<sup>st</sup> slot of Chamber no. 627. NOC from Sh. Shailender Jagwani, allottee of 2<sup>nd</sup> slot of Chamber no. 627 has also been submitted and placed in file.</p>	<p>Similar recommendations of Sub-Committee are received in this matter and the Committee takes same resolution as of Agenda Item No.38, in the matter.</p> <p>The applicant Ms. Parul Verma is directed to surrender the chamber/slot within 15 days of issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association and the chamber/slot be taken on record as a vacant chamber.</p> <p>The representation of Sh. Dharampal, Advocate is deferred for consideration in the next meeting.</p>
42	<p>Letter dated 14.07.2022 received from Sh. Kuljeevan Sidharath,</p>	<p>The Sub-Committee in its report submitted that said slot in Chamber No.806 is reserved for</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	<p>Advocate, to allot him Chamber No. 806 (1<sup>st</sup> slot), earlier allotted to his father Late Sh. Babu Lal, Advocate <b><u>(under category of allotment for Retired Judicial Officer)</u></b>.</p>	<p>allotment to retired Judicial Officers in terms of Rule 8(ii) of Allotment Rules and, therefore, applicant does not fulfill the condition for allotment to reserved pool on compassionate ground.</p> <p>The report of Sub-committee is unanimously accepted and the representation of Sh. Kuljeevan Sidharath, Advocate stands declined.</p> <p>The applicant is directed to surrender the chamber/slot within 15 days of issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association. The Chamber be taken on record as a vacant chamber/slot.</p>
43	<p>Note dated 18.08.2023 received from Branch Incharge, General Branch regarding letter received from Ms. Leena Kumari w/o Late Sharad Chand Jha, Advocate allottee chamber no. 442-C (Multiple Occupancy) to keep the chamber on hold.</p>	<p>Similar recommendations as were given in Agenda Item No. 38 by the Sub-Committee are received in this matter and the Committee takes same resolution.</p> <p>The applicant Ms. Leena Kumari is directed to surrender the chamber/slot within 15 days of issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association. The Chamber/Slot be taken on record as a vacant Chamber/Slot.</p> <p>Also offer of allotment is being given to Sh. Suhail Khan, Advocate (D/786/07) next eligible senior applicant lawyer of Subsequent Allotment as per his seniority at S.No.170 A.</p> <p>According List D-38 (Multiple Occupancy basis) (Subsequent Allotment) is prepared and same be published as per past practice.</p>
44	<p>I) Letter dated 28.08.2023 received from Hony. Secretary, SBA for retention of 2<sup>nd</sup> slot in Chamber no. 430 for Ms. Ritu Rani. The said slot was allotted to her husband late Sh. Gyanendra Singh.</p> <p>II) Note dated 05.09.2023 received from Genl. Branch with the request of Ms. Ritu Rani to retain the chamber till the</p>	<p>Similar recommendations as were given in Agenda Item No. 38 by the Sub-Committee are received in this matter and the Committee takes same resolution.</p> <p>The applicant Ms. Ritu Rani is directed to surrender the chamber/slot within 15 days of issuance of these minutes after clearance of all the dues. In default thereof deemed possession of said chamber be taken and articles lying therein be handed over to Saket Bar Association. The chamber/Slot be taken on record as a</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
	<p>completion of her LLB.</p> <p>III) Note dated 10.10.2023, received from General Branch regarding illegal occupation of Chamber no. 430. Intimation received from co-allottee Sh. Rajesh Kumar Bhatt through Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.</p>	<p>vacant Chamber/Slot.</p>
<b>Miscellaneous</b>		
<p><b>45</b></p>	<p>I) Note dated 27.01.2024 has been received from BMC alongwith undated letter of Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, Advocate Daughter In-law of late Sh. Raj Paul Sharma, Advocate, allottee of Chamber no. 15 with a request to allot her the said chamber on compassionate ground.</p> <p>II) Letter dated 05.02.2024 also received from Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on the same subject for taking appropriate action.</p>	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that the Chamber No.15 was sealed on the information that the allottee of said chamber has died.</p> <p>Applicant requested for allotment of Chamber no.15 (single occupancy chamber) on compassionate ground as she is daughter in law of the deceased allottee.</p> <p>It is noticed by the Committee that chamber on compassionate ground is being only allotted to Spouse, Son and Daughter.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Ms. Meenakshi Sharma, Advocate.</p> <p>The Chamber be taken on record as vacant.</p> <p>Belongings of allottee, if any, be handed-over to applicant on request and Chamber be resealed thereafter.</p>
<p><b>46</b></p>	<p>Letter dated 19.02.2024 has been received from Sh. Vijay Kumar Kaul, Advocate, Son-in-law of Late Sh. Prem Mohan Bhatt, Advocate, 2<sup>nd</sup> Allottee of Chamber No. 817, for withholding the abovesaid slot in Chamber no. 817 and allot him on compassionate ground.</p>	<p>It is apprised to the Committee that application has been received for allotment of chamber on compassionate ground, being son-in-law of deceased allottee.</p> <p>It is noticed by the Committee that chamber on compassionate ground is being only allotted to Spouse, Son and Daughter.</p> <p>In view of the above, it is unanimously resolved to reject the representation of Sh. Vijay Kumar Kaul, Advocate.</p> <p>Since the possession of chamber has already been taken, the same be taken on records as vacant. The offer of allotment is being given to Sh. Neeraj P. Singh, Advocate next eligible senior applicant lawyer of Subsequent Allotment as per his seniority at S.No.55A.</p> <p>According List D-39 (Double Occupancy basis) (Subsequent Allotment) is prepared and</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		same be published as per past practice.
47	<p>Letter dated 04.03.2024 received from Sh. Mahtab Khan, Advocate for allotment of 2<sup>nd</sup> slot of Chamber No. 348 due demise of his father Late Mohd. Safat Ulla Khan, allottee of said slot of Chamber no. 348.</p>	<p>It is noticed by the Committee that the applicant Sh. Mahtab Khan has submitted death certificate of his father Late Mohd. Safat Ulla Khan, Allottee of 2<sup>nd</sup> Slot of Chamber No. 348 (Double Occupancy Chamber), who expired on 15.02.2024 and also NOC of his two brothers.</p> <p>The request of applicant Sh. Mahtab Khan is considered by the Committee. It is noted by the Committee that applicant has submitted provisional BCD certificate which is valid till 18.09.2024. As per the past practice and in terms of Rule 27 of Allotment Rules, it is unanimously resolved that 2<sup>nd</sup> Slot of Chamber No. 348 (Double Occupancy Chamber) be transferred in the name of applicant Sh. Mahtab Khan, Advocate subject to furnishing of relevant documents, clearance of dues of respective Chamber and submission of regular BCD Certificate in due course.</p> <p>Accordingly, his name be incorporated in List <b>E-60 (Initial Allotment) (Double Occupancy basis)</b>. Same be published as per past practice. The Office is directed to take the necessary steps.</p>
48	<p>Letter received from SBA to convert chamber no. 666 from Judicial Quota to General Quota.</p>	<p>The Sub-Committee observed that as per Rule 8 (ii) that provides that "Five percent of the allotments shall be reserved and earmarked for retired Officers of the Delhi Higher Judicial Service and the Delhi Judicial Service practicing at Saket District Court, subject to other eligibility criteria".</p> <p>Considering above, 30 nos. of double occupancy chambers (60 slots) were reserved for allotment to Retired DHJS &amp; DJS Officers. One Retired Judicial Officer had shared chamber with a lawyer of General Category. Considering short coming of one slot in double occupancy chamber and in order to maintain 60 slots reserved for Retired Judicial Officers, the LCAC vide Minutes of Meeting dated 09.03.2018 reserved chamber no. 666 (Single Occupancy) for Retired Judicial Officer.</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>It is further submitted by the Sub-Committee that if the request of SBA finds approval, one slot in double occupancy chamber will be required to be in sharing of Retired Judicial Officer and General Category.</p> <p>Since it is a policy matter the Sub-Committee recommends that one slot from the pool of slot reserved for Retired Judicial Officer may be allotted in General Category till the occupation of one slot with General Category is held by the Retired Judicial Officer.</p> <p>The Committee accept the recommendations made by the Sub-Committee and unanimously resolved Chamber No.666 be placed for allotment in General Pool of Lawyers and one slot from said pool be shifted to Retired Judicial Officer's Pool.</p>
49	Representation dated 23.12.2023 received from Ms. Hiteshi Arora, Advocate requesting for revival of allotment of 2 <sup>nd</sup> slot of Chamber no. 425 of any other chamber.	Deferred for further discussion due to paucity of time.
50	Letter dated 17.11.2023 received from SBA regarding allotment of space for seats at Common Halls & Store Rooms situated at Lawyers Chamber Block, Saket Court Complex.	<p>The office has informed that Saket Bar Association through it's President &amp; Hony. Secretary requested for allotment of Hall Nos. 487-488, 587-588, 787-788, 887-888 &amp; Store Rooms for the use of Members of Bar.</p> <p>In this regard Office informed that above stated halls on 4<sup>th</sup> &amp; 7<sup>th</sup> floors are already in use by Advocates as common rooms separately for male &amp; female advocates.</p> <p>Similarly, halls at 5<sup>th</sup> &amp; 8<sup>th</sup> floor are already recommended to be created with work stations and cubicles for allotment on rotational day to day basis as per the directions of Hon'ble BMCC vide it's Minutes of Meeting dated 16.02.2021.</p> <p><b>As regard to use of Storerooms, PWD be asked to submit a feasibility report for use of these Store-rooms as seat(s) of lawyers.</b></p>
51	Representation dated 12.02.2024 received from Sh. Jasvinder, Advocate alongwith signature of other Advocates.	Office has put up a letter received from Sh. Jasvinder, Advocate whereby he stated that more than 50% of the chambers have been given on rent by their allottees who do not

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		<p>practice in Saket Court Complex.</p> <p>It is unanimously resolved that comments be called from Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association.</p>
52	<p>I) Letter dated 27.02.2024 received from Sh. Vinod Yadav, Advocate to adopt similar measures for upgradation of chamber from Double Occupancy to Single Occupancy as in Supreme Court of India in 2017.</p> <p>II) Letter dated 06.03.2024 received from Sh. Vinod Yadav and other advocates requesting to call fresh application for upgradation of chamber from double occupancy to single occupancy chamber.</p>	<p>The office has placed letter of Sh. Vinod Yadav, Advocate whereby he requested to adopt similar measures for upgradation of chamber from Double Occupancy to Single Occupancy as by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India vide their Notice dated 31.10.2017 for allotment of Chambers in the Complex of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.</p> <p>He further stated that he along with other advocates was not having any information regarding last notification for invitation of application for upgradation of chamber and requested for invitation of fresh applications.</p> <p>In this regard, office submitted that copy of notice was sent to SBA for display on their notice board and same was also displayed on the notice board of this office. Simultaneously, said notice was also uploaded on the official website of district courts, separately on the sites of south and south-east district and the common website of District Court of Delhi.</p> <p>It is unanimously resolved that comments be called from Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association.</p>
53	<p>Note dated 02.03.2024 received from General Branch alongwith letter dated 26.02.2024 of Sh. Rajesh Khari, Advocate intimating about illegal occupancy of chamber no. 50 in Lawyers Chamber Block, Saket Court Complex, New Delhi.</p>	<p>Office has put up a letter whereby Advocate Sh. Rajesh Khari submitted that allotment of Chamber No. 50 to Ms. Akanksha Mishra, Advocate on compassionate ground is against the Allotment Rules, since the allottee enrolled with Bar Council after the death of her father late Sh. Ashok Kumar Mishra.</p> <p>As per records, Genl. Branch has reported that Ms. Akanksha Mishra, Advocate was enrolled with BCD on 21.08.2014. Her father Sh. Ashok Kumar Mishra had died on 06.04.2014 and the said chamber was allotted to her vide Minutes of Meeting dated 23.09.2014 of LCAC on Compassionate ground.</p>

SL. NO.	AGENDA	MINUTES
		On the request of Hony. Secretary, SBA, comments be called from Ms. Akansksha Mishra.
<b>Additional Agenda in consequence of above resolutions of Committee.</b>		
1	Allotment against vacant Chamber.	<p>It has been noticed by the Committee vide above agenda items that 04 (Four) Chambers on Single Occupancy basis (as per Agenda Items No.23, 39, 45 &amp; 48) and 04 (Four) Chambers on Double Occupancy basis (as per Agenda Item Nos. 38, 40, 41 &amp; 44) are available for allotment under Initial Allotment.</p> <p>One slot in Chamber on Double Occupancy basis will be shifted to Judicial Officer's Pool as per Agenda Item No.48 above.</p> <p>Against one slot, offer is being given to 16<sup>th</sup> eligible applicant Sh. M.S. Ila Mani Mohapatra, Advcoate as per Agenda Item No.27. Accordingly her name is incorporated in list D-37 (Initial Allotment) on Double Occupancy basis.</p> <p>Considering above, it is unanimously resolved to issue Notice Inviting Applications from the allottees of Double Occupancy basis under Initial Allotment for allotment of <b>four Chambers</b> on Single Occupancy basis.</p> <p>Further Office is directed to invite applications from next 06 (six) senior eligible applicant lawyers (three against one slot) of List C-1 of "Initial Allotment" for allotment against two chambers on Double Occupancy basis.</p>

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

Sh. Vipin Chaudhary, Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Vinod Sharma, President, Saket Bar Association/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Ms. Naina Gupta, Administrative Civil Judge (South-East)/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Paras Dalal, Administrative Civil Judge (South)/Member Secretary \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Purshotam Pathak, Addl. Sessions Judge (South)/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Lovleen, Addl. Sessions Judge (South-East)/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Pooran Chand, Addl. Sessions Judge (South-East)/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Devendra Kumar Sharma, Addl. Sessions Judge (POCSO)/Member \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Gurvinder Pal Singh, Principal Judge (Family Court)(South-East)/Officer In-Charge \_\_\_\_\_

Sh. Rajneesh Kumar Gupta, Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South-East)/Co-Chairperson \_\_\_\_\_

Ms. Madhu Jain, Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South) /Chairperson \_\_\_\_\_



**Office of Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South), Saket, ND.**  
**List of applicants being offered provisional allotment of chamber under "INITIAL ALLOTMENT" on UPGRADATION**  
**FROM DOUBLE OCCUPANCY to SINGLE OCCUPANCY subject to the conditions**

S.No.	Applicati on Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant.	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Seniority as per NDBA record	Member- ship No. with SBA.	Chamber No. at Lawyers Chamber Block, Saket (Double Occupancy)	Remarks
1	8941 dtd. 07.12.23	MD. NAUSHAD SIDDIQUI	M.IBRAHIM SIDDIQUI	B-40, FLAT NO. 102, FIRST FLOOR, ABUL FAZAL ENCLAVE-I, JAMIA NAGAR, OKHLA, ND-2	D-83/1990	1058	M-499	225/1	---
2	9018 dtd. 09.12.23	JOGINDER SINGH MANN	LATE SH. ASHI RAM	H-126, VILLAGE, KILOKARI NEAR ASHRAM, ND	D-105/B-85	1072	J-240	224/2	---
3	8906 dtd. 06.12.23	TANVEER AHMED KHAN	LATE DR. J.M. KHAN	164, JOGA BAI, JAMIA NAGAR, ND-25	D-581/95	1084	T-23	231/1	---
4	33 dtd. 02.01.24	JAGAT SINGH BAASTA	RAM SWAROOP	VAKIL NIWAS, FIRST 60 FT. ROAD, K.G. KHOSHLA, BADARPUR BORDER, ND	D/586A/96	1092	J-10	103/1	---
5	7405 dtd. 28.10.22	UMESH PD. SINGH	LATE KAMESHWAR PRASAD SINGH	D-26 B, AYA NAGAR, PHASE-IV, ND-47	D-79A/1991	1145	U-41	248/1	---
6	9036 dtd. 11.12.23	AMIT KUMAR SHARMA	RAM DAS SHARMA	10/17, A-1/3, SIDDH KUNJ APPARTMENTS, YOGMAYA MANDIR WARD NO. 1, MEHRAULI, ND-30	D/259/D-93	1156	A-469	253/1	---

**Office of Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South), Saket, ND.**  
**List of applicants being offered provisional allotment of chamber under "INITIAL ALLOTMENT" on DOUBLE OCCUPANCY BASIS**  
**subject to the conditions**

Li

S.No.	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant.	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	NDBA Seniority as per Records	Member-ship No. with SBA.	Chamber No. at PHC as per NDBA Record.	Remarks
1	02233	SUNIL KUMAR	AJIT SINGH	H.No. RZ-191/16, TUGLAKABAD EXTN. ND-19	D/2149/08	3884	S-1288	NA	---
2	02092	GEETA VOHRA	HITESH VOHRA	126, 1st FLOOR, RAM NAGAR, KRISHNA NAGAR, DELHI-51.	D/411R/97	3892	G-122	NA	---
3	01796	ASIM NAEEM	LATE SH. M. NAIEM YASI	E-231, LGF, GK-II, ND-48	D/540-R/98	3898	A-1224	NA	---
4	01428	VIVEK PRATAP RAI	RUDRA PRATAP RAI	FLAT NO. 1/10042/A FIRST FLOOR, ST. NO. 3+1 WEST GIROKA PARK, SHAHADRA, DELHI-32	D/2045/08	3906	V-542	NA	---
5	01285	DEVESH VIKRAM SHUKLA	LATE SH. JAGDAMBA PRASAD SHUKLA	K.N. 29/181, JHARODA PART-II, YADAV CHOWK BURARI, DELHI-84	D/1587/08	3911	D-228	NA	---
6	02515	PHOOL SINGH	LATE SH. SUKKER DASS	L-1/257-B LIG DDA FLATS, ND-19	D/531-A/07	3917	P-189	NA	---
7	01975	DHRUV KUMAR	DINESH KUMAR	36, BASEMENT, AUGUST KRANTI MARG, UDAY PARK, DELHI-49	D/468/07	3923	D-455/11	NA	---
8	00726	AMRENDRA KUMAR	SHYAM NANDAN SINGH	F-93, FLAT NO. 9 FOURTH FLOOR, KATWARIA SARAI, ND-16	D/301/09	3940	A-541	NA	---
9	00668	MD. MUSTAFA	MOHD AMIN	CH. NO. 97, PATIALA HOUSE COURTS, ND	D/1678A/01	3944	M-498	NA	---
10	00468	VISHAL GERA	B.L. GERA	59/9, FIRST FLOOR, KALKAJI EXT. NEW DELHI-19	D/1780/07	3947	V-472	NA	---
11	01046	SYED SAAD NIZAMI	S.H. NIZAMI	T-384-B, HAZRAT NIZAMUDDIN, ND-13	D/904/06	3954	S-1576	NA	---
12	01449	RAKESH RAUSHAN	SURESH KUMAR THAKUR	FLAT NO. 16, PLOT NO. 125, GAUTAM NAGAR, DELHI-49	D/2069/03	3955	R-595	NA	---

**Office of Pr. District & Sessions Judge (South), Saket, ND.**  
**List of applicants being offered provisional allotment of chamber under "INITIAL ALLOTMENT" on DOUBLE OCCUPANCY BASIS**  
**subject to the conditions**

Li

S.No.	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant.	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	<u>NDBA Seniority as per Records</u>	Member-ship No. with SBA.	<u>Chamber No. at PHC as per NDBA Record.</u>	Remarks
13	02075	PRASHANT RAWAT	P.C. RAWAT	B-333, SEC. 51, KENDRIYA VIHAR, NOIDA, UP	D/2346/08	3972	P-403	NA	---
14	01973	VIKRAM SINGH	RAMPAL SINGH	80A, KALLU MOHALLA, GARHI, EAST OF KAILASH, ND-65	D/780/09	3997	V-272	NA	---
15	00076	NAVEEN KUMAR CHAUDHARY	MAHINDER SINGH	A-193, DEFENCE COLONY, LOWER GROUND FLOOR, N.D-24	D/790/94	4006	N-172	NA	---
16	01087	ILA MANI MOHAPATRA	MADHU SUDAN MOHAPATRA	H.NO. 1364, 1st FLOOR, STREET NO. 40, MADANGIRI DDA FLATS, NEW DELHI-110062.	D/447-B/01	4007	I-8	NA	---

Office of Pr. District and Sessions Judge (South), Saket, New Delhi.											List D-38	
List of applicants being offered provisional allotment of chamber in Subsequent Allotment Phase (Multiple Occupancy Basis) subject to conditions											Vide MoM dt. 04.04.2024	
Sl. No.	S. No. of Amended Seniority list of Eligible Advocate	Applicati on Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)	S/D/W/O (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)	Address of the applicant	Registratio n No. with Bar Council of Delhi	Date of enrollment with other Bar Council (YYYY.MM .DD)	Member- ship No. with SBA	Existing Chamber No. and location as declared by applicant	Whether still in control or parted with possession of or surrendered the chamber with date of surrender	Whether spouse has been allotted, acquired or is in possession of chamber. (Y/N)	Whether spouse/ children has applied or is applying anywhere
01	170A	00405	SUHAIL KHAN	SHAHZAD KHAN	C-642, NEW FRIENDS COLONY, NEW DELHI-110025.	D/786/07	NA	S-1161	NA	NA	NA	NA

**Office of Pr. District and Sessions Judge (South), Saket, New Delhi.**  
**List of applicants being offered provisional allotment of chamber in Subsequent Allotment Phase(Double Occupancy Basis) subject to conditions**

**List D-39**  
**Vide MoM dt. 04.04.2024**

Sl. No.	S. No. of Amended Seniority list of Eligible Advocate	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)	S/D/W/O (Mr./Mrs./Ms.)	Address of the applicant	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi	Date of enrollment with other Bar Council (YYYY.MM.DD)	Member-ship No. with SBA	Existing Chamber No. and location as declared by applicant	Whether still in control or parted with possession of or surrendered the chamber with date of surrender	Whether spouse has been allotted, acquired or is in possession of chamber. (Y/N)	Whether spouse/ children has applied or is applying anywhere
1	55-A	00761	NEERAJ PRASAD SINGH	RAM TIRTH PRASAD SINGH	H.NO.278/7, KHASRA NO. 114/1, RAJPUR EXTENSION, P.O. MAIDANGARHI, NEW DELHI-110068.	D/444A/1998	BC's Certificate of Bihar. Date of Enrollment 1998.08.19	N-275	604A (Dwarka Court)	In possession of Double Occupancy basis chamber as on application	NA	NA

## List E-60(Initial Allotment-Double Occupancy)

Mts. dt.04.04.2024

## OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT &amp; SESSIONS JUDGE : SOUTH DISTT : NEW DELHI

Recommendations of the Allotment Committee for Initial Allotment of Chambers (on Double Occupancy Basis-Change) at Saket, subject to conditions"

S.N o.	Chamber no.	ALLOTTEE NO. 1					ALLOTTEE NO. 2				
		Name of applicant  Sh./Ms/Mr s.	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per NDBA record	S.No of List D	Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per NDBA record	S. No. Of List D
1	224	<b>MUKESH KAUSHIK</b>	Request dtd. 29.01.2024	D-772/05	Allotment against change of chamber from chamber no. 601/1 to chamber no. 224/1	Already allotted in Initial Allotment Phase					
2	348	Already allotted in Subsequent Allotment Phase				<b>MAHTAB KHAN</b>	Request dtd. 04.03.2024	D/7867/2022	Allotment on Compassionate Ground on demise of his father Lt. Mohd. Safat Ulla Khan, Advocate (D/437A/98)		

\*As per MoM dtd.04.04.2024 - Name shown in bold is new allottee

List E-61(Subsequent Allotment-Double Occupancy)											
Mts. dt.04.04.2024											
OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE : SOUTH DISTT : NEW DELHI											
Recommendations of the Allotment Committee for Subsequent Allotment of Chambers (on Double Occupancy Basis-Change of Chamber) at Saket, subject to conditions"											
S.N o.	Chamber no.	ALLOTTEE NO. 1					ALLOTTEE NO. 2				
		Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Appl. No.	Registration no. With Bar Council of Delhi	Seniority as per NDBA record	S.No of List D	Name of applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Appl. No.	Registra tion no. With Bar Council of Delhi	SBA Registration No.	S. No. Of List D
1	817	Already allotted in Subsequent Allotment Phase					<b>HNUN PUI HANGZO</b>	Request letter dated 26.03.2024	D/5/2003	Allotment against change of chamber from chamber no. 507/2 to chamber no. 817/2	

\*As per MoM dtd.19.01.2024 - Name shown in bold are new allottees

**O/o Pr. District and Sessions Judge (South) Saket, ND.  
Application received from Physically Challenged Advocate for allotment of Chamber at Saket.**

**List FF1/J  
Mts. dt.04.04.2024**

S. No.	Application Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant. Mr./Ms./Miss	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Membership No./ Date with SBA.	Nature of Disability.	Percentage of disability.	Documentary proof of disability and extent	Whether allotted or in possession of Chamber at any Complex in the name of self. (Y/N)	Whether spouse allotted or in possession of chamber. (Y/N)	Decision of the Committee.
001	002	ABANI KUMAR DEY	LATE SH.ANIRUDHA DEY	T-93G, KHIRKI VILLAGE, OPPOSITE DISTRICT COURT SAKET, MALVIYA NAGAR, NEW DELHI-110017	D/336/2011	A-1342/ 09.09.11	MULTIPLE DISABILITY (Left Upper Limb)	63%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Board, Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, New Delhi-17	NO	NO	Recommended to be allotted a chamber on double occupancy sharing basis against category specified in Rule 8(i) of Allotment Rules being senior most amongst four (4) applicants and found to be eligible.
002	001	AMOD KUMAR SINGH	LATE RAM LAGAN SINGH	H.NO. 62, NEAR SHIVALIK MANDIR, KUAN MOHALLA, TUGHLAKABAD VILLAGE, NEW DELHI 110044	D/213-J/2004	A-2089/ 24.08.18	POST TRAUMATIC OPERATIVE STIFFNESS IN RIGHT KNEE "e" WAISTING OF MUSCLES	40%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Physical Health Centre, Jandana (Vaishali)	NO	NO	No vacancy of chamber under this category is left. Application is filed.
003	003	MANJU BALA	SH. SANJAY SINGH	PLOT NO. 1-B, FLAT NO.3, 1 <sup>st</sup> FLOOR, JAI HIND APPARTMENT, BIRLA FARM, A-1 BLOCK, CHATARPUR, NEW DELHI 110074	D/723-A/2010	M-929/ 30.08.14	HEARING IMPAIRMENT BOTH THE EARS	100%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Board, Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, New Delhi-17	NO	NO	No vacancy of chamber under this category is left. Application is filed.
004	004	SAGAR TANWAR	SH. KARAMBIR	PLOT NO. 1-B, FLAT NO.3, 1 <sup>st</sup> FLOOR, JAI HIND APPARTMENT, BIRLA FARM, A-1 BLOCK, CHATARPUR, NEW DELHI 110074	D/1156/21	S-2796/ 27.02.21	HEARING IMPAIRMENT BOTH THE EARS MODERATE SEVERE MIXED HEARING LOSS	50%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Board, Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, New Delhi-17	NO	NO	No vacancy of chamber under this category is left. Application is filed.



**O/o District and Sessions Judge (South) Saket, New Delhi (LCAC).  
List of Physically Challenged Advocate, who have applied for Chamber under Rule 8(i) of Allotment Rules.**

**List CC2/G  
Mts. dt. 04.04.2024**

S. No.	Applicati on Sr. No.	Name of the Applicant. Mr./Ms./Miss	S/D/W/O	Address	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Member- ship No./ Date with SBA.	Nature of Disability.	Percenta ge of disability.	Documentary proof of disability and extent	Whether allotted or in possessi on of Chamber at any Complex in the name of self.	Whether spouse allotted or in possessi on of chamber. (Y/N)	Remarks
001	001	AMOD KUMAR SINGH	LATE RAM LAGAN SINGH	H.NO. 62, NEAR SHIVALIK MANDIR, KUAN MOHALLA, TUGHLAKABAD VILLAGE, NEW DELHI 110044	D/213-J/2004	A-2089/ 24.08.18	POST TRAUMATIC OPERATIVE STIFFNESS IN RIGHT KNEE "e" WAISTING OF MUSCLES	40%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Officer, Physical Health Centre, Jandana (Vaishali)	NO	NO	Vide Notice dated 03.02.2024, the Committee has required to submit the Disability Certificate issued by Medical Board of Govt. Hospital preferably from the Medical Board of Hospital in NCT of Delhi
002	002	ABANI KUMAR DEY	LATE SH.ANIRUDHA DEY	T-93G, KHIRKI VILLAGE, OPPOSITE DISTRICT COURT SAKET, MALVIYA NAGAR, NEW DELHI- 110017	D/336/2011	A-1342/ 09.09.11	MULTIPLE DISABILITY (Left Upper Limb)	63%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Board, Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, New Delhi-17	NO	NO	---
003	003	MANJU BALA	SH. SANJAY SINGH	PLOT NO. 1-B, FLAT NO.3, 1 <sup>st</sup> FLOOR, JAI HIND APPARTMENT, BIRLA FARM, A-1 BLOCK, CHATARPUR, NEW DELHI 110074	D/723-A/2010	M-929/ 30.08.14	HEARING IMPAIRMENT BOTH THE EARS	100%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Board, Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, New Delhi-17	NO	NO	---
004	004	SAGAR TANWAR	SH. KARAMBIR	PLOT NO. 1-B, FLAT NO.3, 1 <sup>st</sup> FLOOR, JAI HIND APPARTMENT, BIRLA FARM, A-1 BLOCK, CHATARPUR, NEW DELHI 110074	D/1156/21	S-2796/ 27.02.21	HEARING IMPAIRMENT BOTH THE EARS MODERATE SEVERE MIXED HEARING LOSS	50%	Copy of Disability Certificate issued by the Medical Board, Pt. Madan Mohan Malviya Hospital, New Delhi-17	NO	NO	---

**Office of District and Sessions Judge (South), Saket, ND.  
(LCAC)**  
**Recommendations of the Allotment Committee for allotment (change) of Chambers under Rule 8(i) at Saket, subject to conditions.\***

**List GG-11**  
**Mts. dt.04.04.2024**

S. No.	Chamber No.	Allottee No 1					Allottee No. 2				
		Name of the Applicant Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Application Dairy No./ Dtd.	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Membership of SBA	S.No. of List FF-1	Name of the Applicant. Sh./Ms./Mrs.	Application Sr. No.	Registration No. with Bar Council of Delhi.	Membership of SBA	S.No. of List FF-1
001	181	<b>SANJAY KUMAR</b>	Request no. 62 dtd.12.03.24 for change of chamber	D/2069/2014	S-2008	1-FF 1/I	Already Allotted Earlier				

\*As per minutes dated 04.04.2024 - Names shown in bold are new allottees.