

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF LAWYER'S CHAMBERS ALLOTMENT COMMITTEE,
SAKET COURTS HELD ON 11.08.2021 AT 2:00 PM, THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCING**

In Chair:-

Ms. Poonam A. Bamba, Principal District & Sessions Judge (South) /Chairperson

Ms. Neena Krishna Bansal, Principal District & Sessions Judge (South-East)/Co-Chairperson

Quorum:-

Sh. Vinay Kumar Khanna, District Judge (Commercial)(South)/ Officer In-Charge

Sh. Rohit Gulia, Administrative Civil Judge (South)/ Member Secretary

Ms. Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan, Administrative Civil Judge (South-East)/ Member

Sh. Karnail Singh, President, Saket Bar Association/Member

Sh. Dhir Singh Kasana, Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association/Member

Sl. No.	Agenda	Remarks
1	A representation dated 20.11.2018 has been received from Sh. Neeraj P. Singh for reconsideration of his application for allotment of chamber. He has stated that one of his documents contain 2 signature, one of his and another of his wife.	<p>Committee has noticed that during the "Subsequent Allotment" process eligibility of Shri Neeraj P. Singh, advocate could not established due to non-filing of sufficient documents to support his claim of being "main counsel" in 15 court cases of South & South East District. Status of documents filed by applicant lawyers is 14 Cases & 1 Vakalatnama with multiple signature. He has filed a representation earlier also which was rejected by committee vide MoM dated 27.11.2017 after due re-scrutiny by a Sub Committee.</p> <p>Now, the plea of the applicant is that his representation be considered on similar criteria as adopted in accepting the plea of Sh. Narendra Kumar and Ms. Vasundhara, Advocates that their vakalatnama were signed by husband-wife. The said vakalatnama were treated as valid document to establish applicant lawyer as "main counsel" in the particular case (s). The vakalatnama invalidated by LCAC has been stated to have actually signed by husband-wife i.e. he & his spouse who is also a lawyer.</p> <p><i>After due deliberation, Committee is of unanimous view to get the documents re-examined by the Ld. ACJ (S) on above plea of applicant lawyer.</i></p>
2	A representation dated 16.01.2019 has been received from Sh. Phool Singh, Advocate for allotment of chamber.	<p>The applicant lawyers had applied for chamber in "Subsequent Allotment" phase vide application no. 0229 and found ineligible by this committee. Status of documents submitted by him was 12 Cases & 1 Vakalatnama.</p> <p>The committee noticed that all the applicant lawyers were given an opportunity to submit their representation till 18.10.2017. The present applicant didn't avail the same.</p>

		<p><i>Taking a lenient view Committee is of unanimous view that before proceeding further, documents furnished by the applicant lawyer be re-scrutinized by the Ld. ACJ (S).</i></p>
3	<p>A representation dated 02.02.2019 has been received from Sh. Sanjeev Sabharwal, Advocate for allotment of chamber on the basis of the representation made by him dated 12.02.2016 as per the order dated 29.04.2014 passed in Writ Petition(Civil) No. 1350/2014.</p>	<p>Sh. Sanjeev Sabharwal was applicant in “Initial Allotment” Phase. Hon'ble High court in its order dated 29.04.2014 directed to consider allotment of chamber to Sh. Sanjeev Sabharwal in forthcoming round (Subsequent Allotment). Applicant applied in Subsequent Allotment vide application no. 00624. On scrutiny of documents he was found ineligible being status of documents as 14 Cases & 03 Vakalatnamas (with multiple signatures).</p> <p><i>Committee is of unanimous view that before proceeding further in the matter, documents be re-scrutinized by the Ld. ACJ (S).</i></p>
4	<p>I) <u>Double Occupancy Chambers</u> Ch. No. 357 (1st Slot) Ch. No. 479 (2nd Slot) Applications received for (Double Occupancy Chamber) from 1. Sh. Surat Singh Yadav dtd. 11.12.2020. 2. Sh. Sushil Kumar Kohli dtd. 08.10.2020. 3. Sh. Rajesh Kumar Singh dtd. 19.03.2020. Scrutiny of documents is required to be done by LCAC.</p> <p>II) <u>Single Occupancy Chambers</u> for Up-gradation of chamber from Double Occupancy to Single Occupancy Vacant Chambers:- Ch. No. 772 (Single Occupancy) Ch. No. 874 (Single Occupancy) Ch. No. 823 (Single Occupancy)</p> <p>Applications received in response to notice dated 06.03.2021 :-</p> <p>1. Sh. Devinder Singh Tokas dtd. 23.03.2021 (NDBA No. 1048) 2. Sh. Jagat Singh Baasta dtd. 24.03.2021(NDBA No. 1092) 3. Ms. Archana Gupta dtd. 19.03.2021 (NDBA No. 1290) 4. Ms. Anita Sharoha dtd. 24.03.2021 (NDBA No. 2544-A) 5. Sh. Abdus Samad dtd. 12.03.2021 (NDBA No. 3017) 6. Sh. Ashok Kumar Kotnala dtd. 26.03.2021 (received on 31.03.2021) an allottee of Subsequent Allotment.</p>	<p>I) In compliance of Minutes of Meeting dated 27.02.2020, the office has issued notices to next six seniors namely Sh. Rajesh Kumar Singh, Sh. Sunil Kumar, Nirmala Devi, Sh. Sushil Kumar Kohli, Sh. Surat Singh Yadav and Ms. Nitika Mangla out of the list of eligible applicant lawyers of “Initial Allotment” phase. In response thereof, applications are received from three lawyers named as Sh. Surat Singh Yadav, Sh. Sushil Kumar Kohli and Sh. Rajesh Kumar Singh.</p> <p><i>Committee considered the applications and is of unanimous view that before proceeding further, documents furnished by the applicant lawyers be scrutinized by both the ACJs.</i></p> <p>II) LCAC vide notice dated 13.03.2020 invited applications from the allottees of the Double Occupancy Chambers (Initial Allotment) for upgradation of their chambers to Single Occupancy Chambers. In response thereof, only two applications were received, one is from Sh. Naresh Kumar, Advocate and another is from Ms. Archana Gupta, Advocate. The Committee vide MoM dated 02.03.2021 observed that due to COVID-19 Pandemic, most of the lawyers may be unaware of this notice and resolved to issue fresh notice. Accordingly, fresh notice dated 06.03.2021 was issued.</p> <p>Now after issuance of fresh notice dated 06.03.2021, six applications have been received against three vacant chambers. Applicant at sl. no. 6 i.e. Sh. Ashok Kumar Kotnala, Advocate who filed his representation after due date i.e. 26.03.2021, is an allottee of Double Occupancy Chamber in Subsequent Allotment phase. As such he is not entitled for above said allotment/upgradation. His application is unanimously declined.</p>

		<p>Committee perused the seniority status of applicants at sl. no. 1 to 5 as per their NDBA Membership enrollment and their requests are considered. Sh. Devinder Singh Tokas (NDBA Seniority No. 1048), Sh. Jagat Singh Baasta (NDBA Seniority No. 1092) & Ms. Archana Gupta (NDBA Seniority No. 1290) being the senior most amongst the said five representationists, their request is allowed. Thus Sh. Devinder Singh Tokas is allotted chamber no. 772 (on single occupancy basis) in lieu of 1st slot of chamber no. 224 (on double occupancy basis) earlier allotted to him , Sh. Jagat Singh Baasta is allotted chamber no. 823 (on single occupancy basis) in lieu of 1st slot of chamber no. 103 (on double occupancy basis) earlier allotted to him and Ms. Archana Gupta is allotted chamber no. 874 (on single occupancy basis) in lieu of 1st slot of chamber no. 308 (on double occupancy basis) earlier allotted to her.</p> <p>Subject to following conditions:-</p> <p>(i) the allotment of space in the chamber earlier made in their favour stands cancelled.</p> <p>(ii) the vacant possession of the space in the chamber earlier allotted shall be surrendered within 07 days clearing all up-to-date dues; and</p> <p>(iii) after compliance with condition no. (ii) above, the possession of the chamber now allotted shall be taken over making all necessary payments within 15 days.</p> <p>The representation of other advocates to above effect were, thus, filed.</p> <p>Subject to compliance with conditions of above upgradation, 1st slot in chamber no. 224, ch. no. 103 & ch. no. 308 on double occupancy basis shall additionally become available for allotment. The Branch In-charge, is directed to mention this vacancy when cases come up for consideration on allotments.</p>
5	<p>(a) Request of Sh. Niranjana Saha, Allottee of ch. no. 216 to allow physical partition in his chamber vide letter dated 26.07.2019.</p> <p>(b) Request of Sh. Niranjana Saha, allottee of Chamber no. 216 for transfer of chamber from his current chamber vide letter dated 19.11.2019.</p> <p>(c) Request dtd. 07.04.2021 of Sh. Niranjana Saha, to allow him for <u>transfer of chamber</u> or a separate, <u>single allottee chamber</u>. Also requesting for <u>personal hearing either physically or virtually</u>.</p>	<p>Matter is considered and discussed with the President & Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association.</p> <p>Committee unanimously disapprove of the partition in chamber. Hony. Secretary (SBA) has assured that they will resolve the issue at their end.</p> <p>Matter is accordingly deferred.</p>
6	<p>I) Request for transfer of chamber no. 567 (Single Occupancy) in favour of Mrs. Firozah Sultanah, Advocate W/o Late Seikh Abdus Sattar from allottee Lt. Sh. Seikh Abdus Sattar, Allottee of said chamber.</p> <p>II) Request of Sh. Gaurav Bhardwaj, for</p>	<p>I & II) It is noticed by the Committee that applicant Ms. Firozah Sultanah, Advocate has submitted Death Certificate of her husband Late Sh. Seikh Abdus Sattar, Allottee of Ch. No. 567 who expired on 27.08.2020 and Sh. Gaurav Bhardwaj has submitted death certificate of his father Late Sh. V.M. Bhardwaj, Allottee of Ch. No. 162 who expired on 30.04.2021.</p>

	<p>transfer of chamber no. 162 (Single Occupancy) on compassionate ground due to demise of his father Sh. V.M. Bhardwaj, Advocate, Allottee of Ch. No. 162.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">17.</p>	<p>The request of above mentioned applicants are considered by the Committee. As per the past practice and in terms of Rule 27 of Allotment Rules, it is unanimously resolved that chambers no. 567 & 162 (Single Occupancy Basis) be transferred in the names of applicant Advocates respectively subject to furnishing of relevant documents and clearance of dues of their respective chambers. The Office is directed to take the necessary steps.</p> <p>Accordingly, List E-44 (Initial Allotment)(Single Occupancy basis) is prepared and names of Ms. Firozah Sultanah, Advocate & Sh. Gaurav Bhardwaj, Advocate have been incorporated therein. Same be published as per past practice.</p>
--	--	---

Additional Agenda with the permission of Chairperson

Sl. No.	Agenda	Remarks
1.	<p>Applications for allotment of chamber under the category for reserved for Retired Judicial Officers.</p> <p>(i) Letter of acceptance of Sh. Gulshan Kumar dtd. 09.08.2021.</p> <p>(ii) Letter of acceptance of Sh. Laxmi Kant Gaur dtd. 07.08.2021.</p> <p>(iii) Letter of acceptance of Sh. Om Prakash Gupta dtd. 11.08.2021</p>	<p>The decision taken vide Minutes of Meeting dated 26.07.2021 was communicated to Sh. Gulshan Kumar, Sh. Laxmi Kant Gaur and Sh. Om Prakash Gupta vide letters dated 04.08.2021 and in response thereof, they have submitted the requisite documents vide their letters dated 09.08.2021, 07.08.2021 & 11.08.2021 respectively.</p> <p>The Office has submitted its report as Annexure "A" to this minutes. Members of the committee have perused the documents.</p> <p>It is unanimously resolved by members of the Committee that Sh. Gulshan Kumar, Sh. Laxmi Kant Gaur and Sh. Om Prakash Gupta are eligible for allotment of chamber under Rule 8(ii) of Saket District Court Lawyers Chamber (Allotment and Occupation) Rules 2010 vide List FF2/O as per decision taken in the meeting held on 26.07.2021.</p> <p>The allotment of first slot in Chamber No. 811 (Double Occupancy Basis) to Sh. Gulshan Kumar, allotment of first slot in Chamber No. 875 (Double Occupancy Basis) to Sh. Laxmi Kant Gaur and the allotment of second slot in Chamber No. 804 to Sh. Om Prakash Gupta are finalized as per List HH-16 enclosed with this minutes. It be published as per past practice.</p> <p>Office is directed to take necessary follow up action.</p>
2	<p>Application for allotment of chamber under the category for reserved for Retired Judicial Officers has been received from Ms. Pinki, Advocate/ Retired Judicial Officer.</p>	<p>The office has reported receipt of application of Ms. Pinki, Advocate (Retired Judicial Officer) for allotment of chamber, against the reserved category of Retired Judicial Officers with reference to notice published on 21.08.2013.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The Office has prepared and submitted a Master List CC-3/P of the abovesaid applicant.</p>

		<p>The application was subjected to scrutiny and considered. It is unanimously resolved to approve the request of the applicant.</p> <p>The Committee recommends for allotment of chamber to Ms. Pinki, Advocate under reserved category of Retired Judicial Officer, under Rule 8 (ii) of the Saket District Court Lawyers Chambers (Allotment & Occupancy) Rules, 2010. The decision is shown in the List FF-2/P. The Branch In-Charge, LCAC is directed to take follow up action.</p>
--	--	---

Submitted for confirmation

(Sheetal Chaudhary Pradhan)

Administrative Civil Judge (South-East)/Member

Sh. Dhir Singh Kasana, Hony. Secretary, Saket Bar Association/Member _____

Sh. Karnail Singh, President, Saket Bar Association/Member _____

Sh. Rohit Gulia, Administrative Civil Judge (South-)/Member Secretary _____

Sh. Vinay Kumar Khanna, District Judge (Commercial Court-02)(South)/ O.I.C. _____

Ms. Neena Bansal Krishna, Principal District & Sessions Judge (South-East)/Co-Chairperson _____

Ms. Poonam A. Bamba, Principal District & Sessions Judge (South) /Chairperson _____